Also, resolution of the Board of Trade of Baltimore, relative to Senate bill 1261—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, resolution of Wilson Post, No. 1, Grand Army of the Republic, Department of Maryland, in favor of a service-pension law—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. WADSWORTH: Petition of R. E. Fuller and others, of Waterport, N. Y.; L. M. Haines and others, of Barre, N. Y.; E. A. Capen and others, of North Tonawanda, N. Y., and James Walcott and others, of Medina, N. Y., for the passage of the Hepburn-Dolliver bill—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. WANGER: Petition of Thomas Callaghan and others, of Cheltenham, Pa., in favor of bill H. R. 62, providing for the erection of a monument to the memory of Commodore John Barry—to the Committee on the Library.

By Mr. WILLIAMS of Illinois: Resolutions of George W. Cain Post, No. 771, of Carriers Mills; Martin Baker Post, No. 245, of Jeffersonville, and W. W. Burnett Post, No. 527, of Eldorado, Ill., Grand Army of the Republic, in favor of a service-pension law—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, petition to accompany bill for relief of Michael Fitz-

Also, petition to accompany bill for relief of Michael Fitz-gerald—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also resolutions of the city board of Grayville, Ill., for improvement of Wabash River—to the Committee on Rivers and Harbors.

SENATE.

FRIDAY, January 22, 1904.

Prayer by the Chaplain, Rev. Edward Everett Hale, D. D. The Secretary proceeded to read the Journal of yesterday's proceedings, when, on request of Mr. Burrows, and by unanimous consent, the further reading was dispensed with.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Journal will stand ap-

proved.

SITES FOR PUBLIC BUILDINGS.

The PRESIDENT protempore laid before the Senate a communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting a list of certain cases in which he has been unable to purchase suitable sites for public buildings within the amount appropriated; which was referred to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds, and ordered to be printed.

JOSEPH TAGG.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore laid before the Senate a communication from the assistant clerk of the Court of Claims, transmitting a certified copy of the findings of fact filed by the court in the cause of Joseph Tagg v. The United States; which, with the accompanying paper, was referred to the Committee on Claims, and ordered to be printed.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

A message from the House of Representatives, by Mr. W. J. BROWNING, its Chief Clerk, announced that the House had pas the joint resolution (S. R. 32) to fill the vacancies in the Board of Regents of the Smithsonian Institution.

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED.

The message also announced that the Speaker of the House had signed the following enrolled bills and joint resolution; and they were thereupon signed by the President pro tempore:

A bill (S. 465) to amend an act entitled "An act to permit the

A bill (S. 405) to amend an act entitled "An act to permit the Pintsch Compressing Company to lay pipes in certain streets in the city of Washington," approved May 19, 1896;

A bill (S. 652) making Chester, Pa., a subport of entry;

A bill (S. 1496) supplemental to the act of February 9, 1821, incorporating the Columbian College, in the District of Columbia, and the acts amendatory thereof; and

and the acts amendatory thereof; and A joint resolution (S. R. 31) authorizing the erection and maintenance of a monument in memory of the late President Benjamin Harrison upon land owned by the United States in the city of Indianapolis, State of Indiana.

CREDENTIALS.

Mr. FORAKER presented the credentials of Marcus A. Hanna, chosen by the legislature of the State of Ohio a Senator from that State for the term of six years beginning March 4, 1905; which were read, and ordered to be filed.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS.

Mr. BURROWS presented petitions of A. Stewart Post, No. 259; of Saginaw Post, No. 38, and of Phil McKernan Post, No. 53, all of the Department of Michigan, Grand Army of the Republic, in the State of Michigan, praying for the enactment of a ervice-pension law; which were referred to the Committee on

Pensions.

Mr. PLATT of New York presented petitions of the congregation of the First Presbyterian Church of Pittsford, of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Wawarsing, and of the Woman's Educational and Industrial Union of Rochester, all in the State of

New York, praying for an investigation of the charges made and filed against Hon. REED SMOOT, a Senator from the State of Utah; which were referred to the Committee on Privileges and Elections.

which were referred to the Committee on Privileges and Elections. He also presented petitions of Harry Lee Post, No. 21, of Brooklyn; of Henry Van Aernam Post, No. 232, of Ellicottsville; of Armstrong Post, No. 104, of Rhinebeck; of John M. Hutchinson Post, No. 243, of Pavilion; of Wilson Dean Post, No. 416, of Millport; of G. D. Bailey Post, No. 200, of Lowville; of Edward Hunting Post, No. 353, of Greenport: of Captain William A. Jackson Post, No. 301, of Middletown, and of H. H. Beecher Post, No. 582, of New York, all of the Department of New York, Grand Army of the Republic, in the State of New York, praying for the enactment of a service-pension law: which were referred to the Comment of a service-pension law: which were referred to the Comment of a service-pension law; which were referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. HOPKINS presented a petition of the National Association of Retail Druggists of Chicago, Ill., praying for the adoption of an amendment to the so-called pure-food bill as reported by the Committee on Manufactures of the Senate; which was referred to

the Committee on Manufactures.

Mr. GORMAN presented a petition of the Woman's Home Missionary Society of the Methodist Episcopal Church of East Baltimore, Md., praying for an investigation of the charges made and filed against Hon. REED SMOOT, a Senator from the State of Utah; which was referred to the Committee on Privileges and Elections.

Mr. CULLOM presented a petition of Tri City Lodge, No. 617, Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, of Rock Island, Ill., and a petition of E. T. Jeffery Lodge, No. 412, Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, of Centralia, Ill., praying for the passage of the so-called anti-injunction bill; which were referred to the Committee

on the Judiciary.

He also presented petitions of Tom White Post, No. 529, of Mount He also presented petitions of 1 cm white Post, No. 323, of Mount Zion; of Stephenson Post, No. 30, of Springfield; of Alexander Sympson Post, No. 455, of Carthage; of Lee W. Myers Post, No. 182, of Lincoln; of Post No. 734, of Rockwood; of Alexander Post, No. 89, of Flora; of Eph Scott Post, No. 464, of Mahomet, all of the Department of Illinois, Grand Army of the Republic; of William McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Union Veterans' Union, of Mainer and of Post No. 25, Door Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Onion Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Onion Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Onion Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Onion Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Onion Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Onion Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Union Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Union Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Union Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Union Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Union Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Union Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Union Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Union Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Union Veterans' Union, of Mainer and McKinley Regiment, No. 29, Union Veterans' Union, of McKinley Regiment, rion, all in the State of Illinois, and of Post No. 25, Department of Florida, Grand Army of the Republic, of Gainesville, Fla., praying for the enactment of a service-pension law; which were referred to the Committee on Pensions.

referred to the Committee on Pensions.

He also presented petitions of the congregation of the Presbyterian Church of Trenton; of the congregation of the Missionary Baptist Church of Hamilton County; of the congregation of the Methodist Episcopal Church of Champaign; of the congregation of the Galum Presbyterian Church, of Pinckneyville, of the Congregation of the Presbyterian Church of Macomb; of the Woman's Foreign Missionary Society of Jacksonville; of the Woman's Club of Rochelle; of the Woman's Missionary Society of Ottawa; of the congregation of the First Presbyterian Church of Peoria; of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Swanwick; of the congregation of the First Presbyterian Church of Carrhalton, all in the State of Illinois, praying for an investigation of ton, all in the State of Illinois, praying for an investigation of the charges made and filed against Hon. REED SMOOT, a Senator from the State of Utah; which were referred to the Committee on Privileges and Elections.

Mr. COCKRELL presented a petition of George R. Smith Post, No. 53, Department of Missouri, Grand Army of the Republic, of Sedalia, Mo., praying for the enactment of a service-pension law; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

He also presented a petition of F. A. Jones Post, No. 23, Department of Missouri, Grand Army of the Republic, of Macon, Mo., to accompany the bill (S. 1716) granting pensions to soldiers and sailors confined in so-called Confederate prisons; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. PATTERSON presented a petition of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Monument, Colo., and a petition of the Woman's Home Mission of Monument, Colo., praying for an investigation of the charges made and filed against Hon. Reed Smoot, a Senator from the State of Utah: which were referred to the

a Senator from the State of Utah; which were referred to the Committee on Privileges and Elections.

Mr. DUBOIS presented a petition of sundry citizens of Ada County, Idaho, praying for the enactment of legislation to protect prohibition States and districts against "original-package" tricks; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. BEVERIDGE presented a petition of the Anti-Saloon League of Crawfordsville, Ind., praying for the enactment of legislation to provide the interval of the control of the Anti-Saloon League of Crawfordsville, Ind., praying for the enactment of legislation to provide the control of the Anti-Saloon League of Crawfordsville, Ind., praying for the enactment of legislation to provide the control of the Anti-Saloon League of Crawfordsville, Ind., praying for the enactment of legislation to protect the control of the Anti-Saloon League of Crawfordsville, Ind., praying for the enactment of legislation to protect prohibition states and districts against "original-package" tricks;

islation to regulate the interstate transportation of intoxicating

liquors; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented a petition of sundry citizens of Westville and
Stilwell, Ind. T., praying that the laws governing railroad work
in that Territory be so amended that railroad employees be allowed a day of rest and Sabbath observance; which was referred to the Committee on Territories.

He also presented a petition of the Ladies' Missionary Society

of Madison, Ind., and a petition of the congregation of the United Presbyterian Church of Leroy, Ind., praying for an investigation of the charges made and filed against Hon. Reed Smoot, a Senator from the State of Utah; which were referred to the Committee on Privileges and Elections.

He also presented a memorial of the Retail Merchants' Associa-

He also presented a memorial of the Retail Merchants' Association of Kendallville, Ind., remonstrating against the passage of
the so-called parcels-post bill; which was referred to the Committee on Post-Offices and Post-Roads.

He also presented petitions of the Ladies' Aid Society of Woodford and of sundry citizens of Webbers Falls and Afton, all in
the Indian Territory, praying for the enactment of legislation
providing for continued protection against the sale of intoxicating
lignors and also for source to statched for that Territory, which liquors, and also for separate statehood for that Territory; which

were referred to the Committee on Territories.

Mr. GAMBLE presented the petitions of Bishop W. H. Hare,
Hon. O. S. Gifford, Rev. Robert Doherty, Rev. H. K. Warren,
Rev. B. G. Matson, and Maj. J. T. Coxhead, all in the State of
South Dakota, praying for the enactment of legislation to recognize and promote the efficiency of army chaplains; which were
ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. NELSON presented a memorial of District Council of Duluth and Superior United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners

luth and Superior, United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners, of Duluth, Minn., remonstrating against the employment of soldiers in the place of civilian mechanics upon buildings at the Presidio, San Francisco, Cal.; which was referred to the Commit-

tee on Military Affairs.

Mr. DOLLIVER presented a petition of the congregations of the Methodist Church, the Congregational Church, and the Christian Church, all of Glenwood, in the State of Iowa, praying for the enactment of legislation to regulate the interstate transporta-tion of intoxicating liquors; which was referred to the Committee

on the Judiciary.

on the Judiciary.

He also presented petitions of Hartman Post, No. 149, of Goldfield; of G. W. Guthrie Post, No. 252, of Woodward; of Robert Mitchell Post, No. 206, of Marion; of Marshall Post, No. 43, of Redfield; of F. S. Shuler Post, No. 166, of Brandon; of Stephen A. Hurlbut Post, No. 82, of Alta; of E. L. Sheldahl Post, No. 439, of Story City; of Sulley Post, No. 180, of Lake Mills; of Opedyke Post, No. 322, of Rockwell City, all of the Department of Iowa, Grand Army of the Republic, in the State of Iowa, praying for the enactment of a service-pension law; which were referred to the Committee on Pensions. the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. ELKINS presented a memorial of the Fishermen's Protective Union of the Pacific Coast and Alaska, remonstrating against the reenactment of the law authorizing payment of allot-ment in the coastwise trade; which was referred to the Commit-

tee on Commerce.

Mr. FAIRBANKS presented a petition of the Commercial Club of Terre Haute, Ind., praying that an appropriation be made for the improvement of the Wabash River above Vincennes, Ind.; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

He also presented a petition of the Chamber of Commerce of

He also presented a petition of the Chamber of Commerce of Baltimore, Md., praying for the enactment of legislation to provide for the reorganization of the consular service; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

He also presented a petition of the Association of the Blues and Grays of Fitzgerald, Ga., praying that an appropriation be made for the completion of a park at that place and for the erection therein of a monument to the memory of the late President William Mc-Kinley; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

TORPEDO BOATS AND TORPEDO-BOAT DESTROYERS.

Mr. HALE. I present a statement relative to the cost of torpedo boats and torpedo-boat destroyers, authorized by the act of May 4, 1888, in connection with the relief of the contractors therefor. I move that the statement be printed as a document and referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs to accompany the bill (S. 3537) for the relief of the Bath Iron Works and others. The motion was agreed to.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

Mr. BATE, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom

Mr. BATE, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom were referred the following bills, reported them severally without amendment, and submitted reports thereon:

A bill (S. 2177) for the relief of Daniel H. Snyder; and
A bill (S. 2433) to amend the military record of John H. Skinner.
Mr. DUBOIS, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the bill (S. 3170) to quiet the titles of certain lands in the State of Mississippi, and for the relief of the estate of Eli Ayers, deceased, reported it with amendments, and submitted a report thereon.

report thereon.

Mr. GALLINGER, from the Committee on the District of Columbia, to whom were referred the following bills, reported them

severally without amendment, and submitted reports thereon:

A bill (H. R. 7023) to amend an act to regulate the height of buildings in the District of Columbia;

A bill (H. R. 3584) to authorize the resubdivision of lots or blocks in the District of Columbia;

A bill (S. 3600) to provide for the removal of snow and ice from the sidewalks of the District of Columbia, and for other purposes;

A bill (S. 3644) to regulate the issue of licenses for Turkish,

Russian, or medicated baths in the District of Columbia.

Mr. GAMBLE, from the Committee on the District of Columbia, to whom was referred the bill (S. 2885) to transfer jurisdiction and control over reservation No. 32 in the city of Washington, D. C., reported it without amendment, and submitted a report thereon.

He also, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill (S. 580) to extend the provisions of section 2455 of the Revised Statutes of the United States as amended by act of February 26, 1895, relating to public lands, reported it without amendment, and submitted a report thereon.

He also, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the bill (S. 582) to ratify an agreement with the Lower Brule band of the Sioux tribe of Indians in South Dakota, and making appropriation to carry the same into effect, reported it

making appropriation to carry the same into enect, reported it without amendment, and submitted a report thereon.

Mr. PETTUS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the bill (S. 228) for the relief of William H. Hugo, reported it without amendment, and submitted a report thereon.

Mr. CLAPP, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the bill (S. 2302) to amend section 3 of the act entitled it is a section of the act entitled it.

titled "An act making appropriations for the current and contingent expenses of the Indian Department, and for fulfilling treaty stipulations with various Indian tribes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1902, and for other purposes," reported it without amend-

ment, and submitted a report thereon.

Mr. QUARLES, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the bill (S. 2357) to correct the military record of Samuel F. Hall, reported it without amendment, and sub-

mitted a report thereon.

Mr. BEVERIDGE, from the Committee on Territories, to whom was referred the bill (8, 3658) ratifying an act of the legislative assembly of the Territory of Oklahoma, legalizing the waterworks-bond election held by the city of Geary, in said Territory, reported it with an amendment, and submitted a report thereon.

HEARINGS BEFORE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS.

Mr. KEAN, from the Committee to Audit and Control the Contingent Expenses of the Senate, to whom was referred the resolution submitted by Mr. Allison on the 20th instant, reported it without amendment; and it was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to, as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on Appropriations be, and it is hereby, authorized to employ a stenographer from time to time, as may be necessary, to report such testimony as may be taken by the committee or its subcommittees in connection with appropriation bills, and to have the same printed for its use, and that such stenographer be paid out of the contingent fund of the Senate.

CLERK IN SENATE POST-OFFICE.

Mr. KEAN. I also reported day before yesterday a resolution from the Committee to Audit and Control the Contingent Expenses of the Senate, to which the Senator from Maine [Mr. Hale] objected at the time. I have since given him the data and he has withdrawn his objection. The resolution has already been read. I should like to call it up.

There being no objection, the resolution reported by Mr. Kean on the 20th instant was read, considered by unanimous consent.

and agreed to, as follows:

Resolved, That the Sergeant-at-Arms of the Senate be authorized to employ one clerk in the Senate post-office at a compensation of \$1,200 per annum, to be paid out of the contingent fund of the Senate until otherwise provided by law.

EMPLOYEES IN THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE.

Mr. PLATT of New York. I am directed by the Committee on Printing, to whom was referred the bill (S. 2665) to provide for the allowance and payment to the employees of the Government Printing Office of the same leave of absence as is allowed to the clerks and employees of the Executive Departments of the Government, to report it unanimously, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute, and to submit a written report thereon. I

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The proposed substitute will be read for information.

The Secretary. The amendment of the Committee on Printing is to strike out all after the enacting clause and to insert:

That from and after the date of the passage of this act the thirty days' annual leave of absence with pay in any one year to employees of the Government Printing Office authorized by the existing law shall be exclusive of Sundays and legal holidays. Provided, That the existing laws relating to the granting of annual leave with pay to clerks and employees in the Executive Departments shall apply to clerks and employees of the Government Printing Office who are paid annual or monthly salaries or compensation.

Mr. GALLINGER. Mr. President— Mr. PLATT of New York. I ask that the accompanying letter from the Public Printer be read.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is there objection to the present consideration of the bill?

Mr. GALLINGER. Pending that, I should like to hear the letter from the Public Printer, which the Senator from New York asks shall be read. I do not quite understand the bill.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The letter will be read.

The Secretary read as follows:

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PRINTER, Washington, D. C., January 12, 1904.

Hon. T. C. PLATT,
Chairman Committee on Printing,
United States Senate,

Chairman Committee on Printing,
United States Senate.

Sir: I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 9th instant, calling my attention to the bill (S. 2665, Fifth-eighth Congress, second session) providing for the allowance and payment to the employees of the Government Printing Office of the same leave of absence as is allowed the clerks and employees of the Executive Departments of the Government.

Replying to your request that I furnish the Committee on Printing with an expression of my views in respect to the feasibility of the enactment of this bill into law, stating about what cost would be entailed, I have to advise you that as the law is at present administered, under decisions of the Comptroller of the Treasury, the employees of this Office are allowed pay for not exceeding twenty-six days accrued in any one fiscal year. It is estimated that it will require \$328.000 to pay the leaves accrued to June 30, 1903. Four additional days would add four twenty-sixths to this sum, or about \$50,500.

In the event that the Committee on Printing shall agree that such legislation is desirable, I would suggest that the language of the proviso in section 4 of the legislative act of February 24, 1899 (30 Stat., 890), be followed. The additional law will then read, "That from and after the date of the passage of this act the thirty days' annual leave of absence with pay in any one year to employees of the Government Printing Office authorized by existing law shall be exclusive of Sundays and legal holidays."

It would facilitate the keeping of individual accounts in the office if a proviso should be added as follows:

"Provided, That the existing laws relating to the granting of annual leave with pay to clerks and employees in the Executive Departments shall apply to clerks and employees of the Government Printing Office who are paid annual or monthly salaries or compensation."

Respectfully,

F. W. Palmer, Public Printer.

Mr. GALLINGER. I wish to ask the chairman of the Committee on Printing, Mr. President—possibly it is an unnecessary question—whether this bill carries any arrears of pay.

Mr. PLATT of New York. It does not.
Mr. GALLINGER. And it simply puts these employees on
the same basis with the clerks and other employees in the sev-

eral Departments?

Mr. PLATT of New York. Exactly.

Mr. GALLINGER. I see no objection to it.

Mr. CULLOM. I only want to say that I believe I myself introduced a bill for the exact purpose, as stated by the Senator from New Hampshire, to treat all the clerks and employees alike.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is there objection to the present consideration of the bill?

Mr. MALLORY. I should like to ask—

Mr. HALE. Mr. President—

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is there objection to its consideration? sideration?

Mr. MALLORY. I should like to ask the Senator from New York a question for information. I notice that there is a distinction drawn between clerks and employees who draw an annual or monthly salary and those who do not. I should like to inquire if the Senator can inform us what proportion of the employees

are paid by the day or week.

Mr. PLATT of New York. I do not know what the proportion is, but I know that this bill will increase the appropriation \$50,000.

Mr. HALE. Let me ask the Senator one other question. Is there any provision, any positive provision, in the bill that it shall not be construed as authorizing or admitting any claim for ar-

rears of pay?

Mr. PLATT of New York. I do not think there is any such provision in the bill, but I will accept that amendment.

Mr. HALE. After the bill has been read, I will prepare an

Mr. HALE. After the bill has been read, I will prepare an amendment which will cover that point.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The bill has been read. The question before the Senate now is whether it shall be considered. Mr. HALE. Let it be read again.

Mr. TILLMAN. As I did not hear it read, before I give my consent for its consideration I should like to know what it is.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The amendment proposed by the Committee on Printing, striking out all after the enacting clause will be again read. clause, will be again read.

clause, will be again read.

The Secretary again read the proposed substitute.

Mr. ALLISON. Is that all there is of the bill?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. That is all there is to it.

Mr. ALLISON. It is proposed to strike out the text of the bill and insert the provision which has been read?

Mr. PLATT of New York. That is right.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. What has been read is a substitute for the bill as originally introduced.

stitute for the bill as originally introduced.

Mr. ALLISON. These matters of leaves of absence and pay are usually disposed of in appropriation bills. I think it will turn out that if this provision is made applicable to the Government Printing Office it will also later on be applied to a great many people who are not included now.

Mr. TELLER. Mr. President, it is quite impossible for any-one to understand what the effect of the bill will be. I under-

stand that it has just come from the committee. If there is an

stand that it has just come from the committee. If there is an opportunity to object to it, I want to object.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. There is. Objection is made. Mr. TELLER. I wish to say that I do not know that I am opposed to the bill, but I do not know what the bill is, and I can not satisfy myself by the hasty reading at the desk.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The bill goes to the Calendar. Mr. HALE. Before it goes to the Calendar, I will offer the amendment, that it may be printed and go with the bill.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The amendment will be read. The SECRETARY. It is proposed to add at the end of the proposed substitute the following proviso:

Provided, That nothing in this act shall be construed as authorizing or

Provided, That nothing in this act shall be construed as authorizing or admitting any claim for arrears of pay or compensation.

The PRESIDENT protempore. The amendment will be printed. and it will be regarded as pending.

Mr. HALE. As pending.

MISBRANDED SALMON FISH.

Mr. FULTON. I am directed by the Committee on Fisheries, to whom was referred the bill (S. 220) prohibiting the introduction into any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, from any other State or Territory or the District of Columbia, or from any foreign country, or shipment to any foreign country, any misbranded salmon fish prepared or intended for use as an article of food, to report it with amendments and to submit a written report. I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the bill. of the bill.

of the bill.

The Secretary read the bill.

Mr. PLATT of Connecticut. I should like to make an inquiry of the Senator from Oregon. It is hard to catch the full effect of the bill in its reading. Does this proposed penalty for shipping misbranded fish apply to people who have bought the fish in market, who have no means of knowing whether they are misbranded?

Mr. FULTON. Mr. President, it does not apply to them. It only applies to persons who buy fish for the purpose of misbranding or knowing them to be misbranded.

I will state briefly the effect of the bill. It does not require the article or package to be labeled, but if it is labeled it requires that it should be labeled truthfully. It applies to only one article, and that is salmon fish, and prohibits mislabeling or misbranding as to the locality where the fish are taken, the season of the year when taken, or the species of the fish.

The practice has grown up on the Pacific coast of labeling all

when taken, or the species of the fish.

The practice has grown up on the Pacific coast of labeling all salmon as being the very best fish in the Columbia River. For instance, the Columbia River chinook salmon are supposed to be the very best salmon, and probably the Puget Sound sock-eye are the next. Very inferior fish are taken in other waters, but they are seldom ever labeled other than Columbia River chinook salmon or Puget Sound sock-eye salmon. The object of the bill is to require them to label the fish exactly what they are and the season of the year in which they are taken, because a fish taken in the springtime is far superior to one taken in the fall. So it should be labeled

spring or fall, that is, if labeled at all.

Mr. TELLER. Mr. President—

Mr. PLATT of Connecticut. Will the Senator yield to me?

Mr. TELLER. I want to object to the consideration of the

bill.

Mr. PLATT of Connecticut. Before the Senator objects The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Objection being made—Mr. TELLER. I will waive the objection, in order to give the

Senator from Connecticut an opportunity to make a remark.

Mr. PLATT of Connecticut. The bill appears to apply to everybody who may in the usual course of business buy canned salmon which may have been misbranded. I think the bill ought to go over, that we may have an opportunity to look at it.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The consideration of the bill

is objected to. Mr. TELLER.

I object to it.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The bill goes to the Calendar. BILLS INTRODUCED.

Mr. SCOTT introduced a bill (S. 3712) for the extension of Wyoming avenue to Twenty-third street west, and for the extension of Kalorama avenue westward to Prescott place; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on the Dis-trict of Columbia.

He also introduced a bill (S. 3713) for the relief of Richard Parrish; which was read twice by its title, and, with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on Claims.

He also introduced a bill (S. 3714) for the relief of the heirs of A. J. Hickman; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Claims.

Mr. McCREARY introduced a bill (S. 3715) for the relief of Merril Denham; which was read twice by its title, and referred

to the Committee on Claims.

He also introduced a bill (S. 3716) to carry out the findings of the Court of Claims in the case of Sidney R. Smith & Co.; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on

He also introduced a bill (S. 3717) granting a pension to Rupert S. Rives; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the

Committee on Pensions.

Mr. GORMAN introduced a bill (S. 3718) to quitclaim all the interest of the United States of America in and to all lands lying in the District of Columbia and State of Maryland to heirs of John C. Rives, deceased; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

He also introduced a bill (S. 3719) for the relief of Richard R. Conner; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the

Committee on Claims.

Mr. COCKRELL introduced a bill (S. 3720) to authorize the St. Joseph and Grand Island Railway Company, in the reconstruction of the bridge across the Missouri River at or near St. Joseph, Mo., to lower said bridge and to shorten the draw spans thereof; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Commerce

He also introduced a bill (S. 3721) for the purchase of a bronze portrait statue of George Washington; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on the Library.

Mr. ALLEE introduced a bill (S. 3722) granting a pension to John W. Victor; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

He also introduced a bill (S. 3723) for the relief of William Donnelly and Patrick Egan; which was read twice by its title, and, with the accompanying paper, referred to the Committee on

He also introduced a bill (S. 3724) to place John S. Appleton on the retired list of the United States Army; which was read twice by its title, and, with the accompanying papers, referred to the

Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. FOSTER of Washington introduced a bill (S. 3725) to establish a light-house and fog-signal station at the entrance to Bellingham Bay, State of Washington; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. McCUMBER (by request) introduced a bill (S.3726) to carry into effect the findings of the Court of Claims in the (Congressional Case No. 23193) case of the Washington Loan and Trust Company, legal representative of the estate of Aaron Van Camp, deceased, and Mary M. U. Chapin and Rua P. Chapin, legal representatives of the estate of Virginius P. Chapin, deceased; which was read twice by its title, and, with the accompanying paper, referred to the Committee on Claims the Committee on Claims.

Mr. HOPKINS introduced a bill (S. 3727) granting an increase of pension to Eli Headley; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. NELSON introduced a bill (S. 3728) to provide for the construction and maintenance of roads, the establishment and maintenance of schools, and the care and support of insane and destitute persons in the district of Alaska, and for other purposes; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Territories

Mr. ELKINS introduced a bill (S. 3729) to relieve Eli Shuman from the charge of desertion; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

He also introduced a bill (S. 3730) for the relief of T. J. Coagar;

which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Claims.

He also introduced a bill (S. 3731) granting an increase of pension to Arthur F. McNally; which was read twice by its title, and

referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. ALDRICH-introduced a bill (S. 3732) to restore to the active list of the Navy the name of Homer Lycurgus Law; which was read twice by its title, and, with the accompanying paper, referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

He also introduced the following bills; which were severally read twice by their titles, and referred to the Committee on Pen-

A bill (S. 3733) granting a pension to Ellen S. Pickering (with

an accompanying paper);
A bill (S. 3734) granting an increase of pension to Martha W. Cushing; and

A bill (S. 3735) granting an increase of pension to Susie G.

Seabury.

Mr. PLATT of New York introduced a bill (S. 3736) for the relief of certain enlisted men in the Twentieth Regiment of New

York Volunteer Infantry; which was read twice by its title, and

referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. PLATT of Connecticut introduced a bill (S. 3737) to authorize the President to appoint Capt. Edward O. C. Ord to the grade of major in the United States Army and place him on the retired list; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

He also (for Mr. HAWLEY) introduced a bill (S. 3738) granting an increase of pension to Linus S. Ludington; which was read

wice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. McCREARY (by request) introduced a bill (S. 3739) for
the relief of the heirs of Charles M. Strader, James Thompson,
and others; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Claims.

Mr. CULLOM introduced a bill (S. 3740) granting a pension to Mary A. Newberry; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

He also introduced a bill (S. 3741) granting a pension to Mattie J. Phillips; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the

Committee on Pensions.

Mr. HALE introduced a bill (S. 3742) granting an increase of pension to Juliet C. Bainbridge-Hoff; which was read twice by

Mr. HALE. I present a memorandum of the service in this

case, which I move be printed, and referred with the bill to the Committee on Pensions

The motion was agreed to.

Mr. CLAY introduced a bill (S. 3743) granting a pension to
Julia F. Stewart; which was read twice by its title, and referred
to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. DANIEL introduced a bill (S. 3744) granting a pension to Maria Elizabeth Horner; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. MARTIN introduced the following bills; which were severally read twice by their titles, and referred to the Committee on Claims:

A bill (S. 3745) for the relief of the Presbyterian Church of Lovettsville, Va. (with an accompanying paper);
A bill (S. 3746) for the relief of Salem Baptist Church, of Clarke County, Va.;
A bill (S. 3747) for the relief of the legal representatives of the

estate of John Heater, deceased; and A bill (S. 3748) for the relief of the Mount Zion Church of United Brethren, of Frederick County, Va. (with an accompanying

Mr. FRYE introduced a bill (S. 3749) granting an increase of pension to Milton W. Burnham; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

He also introduced a bill (S. 3750) granting a pension to Daniel G. Webber; which was read twice by its title, and, with the ac-

companying paper, referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. BLACKBURN introduced a bill (S. 3751) for the relief of
James C. Bacon; which was read twice by its title, and referred

to the Committee on Military Affairs.

He also introduced a bill (S. 3752) for the relief of the heirs of James W. Wilkins; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Claims.

AMENDMENTS TO APPROPRIATION BILLS.

Mr. ALDRICH submitted an amendment proposing to appropriate \$152.13 to pay the salary due Franklin Moses, as register of the land office at St. Michael, Alaska, from May 31 to July 7, intended to be proposed by him to the general deficiency appropriation bill; which was referred to the Committee on Appropriations, and ordered to be printed.

He also submitted an amendment proposing to appropriate \$1,500 for consul at Colonia, Uruguay, intended to be proposed by him to the diplomatic and consular appropriation bill: which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations, and ordered

to be printed.

Mr. FAIRBANKS submitted an amendment proposing to increase the salary of the Assistant Secretary of the Department of the Interior from \$4,000 to \$5,000, intended to be proposed by him to the legislative, executive, and judicial appropriation bill; which was referred to the Committee on Appropriations, and ordered to be printed.

RECESS APPOINTMENTS.

Mr. TILLMAN. Mr. President, in pursuance of the notice I gave yesterday, I ask leave to call up Senate resolution No. 51, in order that I ma

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from South Carolina asks unanimous consent that the resolution indicated by him may be brought before the Senate for consideration. It is one that has been lying on the table.

Mr. TILLMAN. I wish to make some remarks on it before

asking whether the Senate will pass it or not or seeing what they

will do with it.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does not the Senator desire to have the resolution read?

Mr. TILLMAN. Yes, sir; I want to have it read.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is there objection to the resolution being taken from the table now for consideration?

Mr. ALDRICH. There is no objection to its being taken up for discussion.

Mr. TILLMAN. That is all I want.

Mr. THLLMAN. That is all I want.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The resolution is before the Senate. The Secretary will read the resolution.

The Secretary read the resolution submitted by Mr. TILLMAN December 11, 1903, as follows:

Whereas Article II, section 2, of the Constitution of the United States pro-

Whereas Article II, section 2, of the Constitution of the United States provides:

"The President shall have power, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, to make treaties, provided two-thirds of the Senators present concur; and he shall nominate, and, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, shall appoint * * * all other officers of the United States whose appointments are not herein otherwise provided for and which shall be established by law."

And further:

"The President shall have power to fill up all vacancies which may happen during the recess of the Senate by granting commissions, which shall expire at the end of the session;" and

Whereas it is known that certain officers appointed during the recess of Congress from March 4 last to November 9, and whose appointments were not confirmed by the Senate, are now in possession of and exercising the powers and functions of said offices: Be it

Resolved, That the Judiciary Committee of the Senate be, and it is hereby, authorized and instructed to report to the Senate:

First. What constitutes a "recess of the Senate," and what are the powers and limitations of the Executive in making appointments in such cases.

Second. What legislation is necessary to prevent the holding of an office by any person or persons whose commissions issue or are held by Executive exercise of unlawful authority, if any there be.

Mr. TILLMAN, Mr. President, there has been considerable

Mr. TILLMAN. Mr. President, there has been considerable confusion. Most Senators are familiar with these two quotations from the Constitution anyway, but I notice that in the print there are two mistakes. I should like to have those corrected and to have a reprint of the resolution ordered, even if the Senate puts it in the wastebasket.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. If the Senator will call the attention of the clerks to the mistakes they will make the corrections he desires

Mr. TILLMAN. The resolution is not lined, but in the second paragraph the word "which," in the second line, should be "that;" and at the end of the third line the phrase "at the end of the session" should read "at the end of their next session."

The Constitution is quoted wrongly.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Those corrections will be

Mr. TILLMAN. Mr. President, I trust Senators will not consider that this is an academic proposition or a moot question which I have submitted here; and I would beg, if possible, that no one shall inject into it any partisan feeling or any suspicion that I am actuated by partisan feeling. To quote the language of the Senator from Connecticut [Mr. Platt] yesterday evening, he did me the honor to say that I am an adroit debater and sometimes change the point of attack. I want to say that this is not a new attack on the Executive, who has been under fire for some time. In other words, I wish to impress Senators with the fact that in bringing this subject to the attention of this body I am actuated solely by a desire that we shall not, by negligence or seeming acquiescence, establish a precedent in the construction of the Constitution and the interpretation of it by the President, which will be of immense danger and harm in the future.

Now, why did I introduce this resolution? I simply want to

find out what the Senate itself thinks of the new doctrine of a constructive recess. We have been passing along the road of our national life for one hundred and twenty-odd years, and never before has any President, or Vice-President who succeeded to the office, undertaken to claim that this clause of the Constitution is not perfectly plain and, to an honest mind, unmistakable in its meaning. Let me read it:

The President shall have power to fill all vacancies that may happen during the recess of the Senate, by granting commissions which shall expire at the end of their next session.

Language can not be made plainer. Nobody in the past has had any doubt about the meaning of these words, but it has remained for our present Executive, under that excess of knowledge which has been given to him alone, apparently, or that insight into constitutional law which enables him to see farther than other people, to claim or assert a right and exercise the power of making appointments between 12 o'clock and 12 o'clock of the same day. In other words, there is at least one officer holding an important position, and I think a good many others, though I have not

taken the trouble to verify my information, who hold commissions to-day which are said to have been given in the recess of the Senate, who, under those commissions, are exercising the powers

and functions of their offices, and who are receiving or will receive compensation for their work

Mr. HALE. Mr. President

Mr. HALE. Mr. President—
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from South
Carolina yield to the Senator from Maine?
Mr. TILLMAN. I do.
Mr. HALE. I did not know, Mr. President, that the President

of the United States had in any way by any act of his committed himself to the proposition that when the extra session called by him closed at 12 o'clock on December 7, 1903, and immediately the regular session began there was a constructive recess between them. I have not learned, and have yet to learn, that the Presi-dent has committed himself to that proposition. Appointments afterwards were made where the commissions had expired. But I had supposed the whole question whether or not if anybodynot the President, but if any officer appointed afterwards-whose nomination was sent to the Senate and confirmed claims that he

has the same right, the same salary that he would have if there had been an actual recess, that the Comptroller would settle that.

I have never doubted, Mr. President, that the Comptroller would make short work of this question. The Constitution does not deal in tricks and surprises. The recess contemplated in it is an actual recess, a recess that may be used properly in the administration of the Government when there is time, and should

be, in order that a new appointment be made.

I never took any stock in the theory that there was a constructive recess between 12 o'clock and 12 o'clock, and I do not know, but I doubt, whether many or any Senators have taken that ground. Therefore I say that I am rather surprised that the Senator from South Carolina states that the President of the United States has committed himself to that proposition. I did not so

understand, and I hope that he has not.

Mr. TILLMAN. I am making a statement of facts.

Mr. BEVERIDGE. Will the Senator state just what the President has done?

Mr. TILLMAN. Well, my dear sir, will you not let me proceed in my own way? Mr. BEVERIDGE.

If the Senator does not want to answer

the question, very well.

Mr. TILLMAN. I expect to answer any proper question presented in the proper way, and in my own way.

Mr. BEVERIDGE. Mr. President—

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from South Carolina yield to the Senator from Indiana;

Mr. TILLMAN. With pleasure.
Mr. BEVERIDGE. I certainly owe the Senator from South Carolina an apology for asking him a question from my seat. should have risen and asked him the question. I merely asked him to give us information as to just what the President had done of which he complained. But, further, I will say to the Senator that I have merely asked the question for the purpose of getting information. I do not know what information the Senator possesses to vindicate his comments in this case.

Mr. TILLMAN. The Senator has now complied with the requirements which are sometimes observed here when the Senator from Alabama [Mr. Pettus] is in the chair; and I was not protected, as he usually does protect the Senator who has the floor, by the present occupant of the chair [Mr. FRYE], who is always lenient and kindly in dealing with all Senators, including me, who am—well, a chronic breaker of the rules, not intentionally, but through ignorance or from lack of aptitude to learn them, or something of that sort.

I want to answer the Senator's question; I want to answer frankly, and I want to answer without any tinge of resentment or any indication whatever that I have any feeling in the matter,

for I have none.

I was interrupted in my answer to the Senator from Maine [Mr. I was interrupted in my answer to the Senator from Maine [Mr. Hale]. I am sorry that I have mislaid for the moment a letter which I received in reply to an inquiry I addressed to the Secretary of the Treasury, in which the matter is put in official form. I will either get the original, which I am having looked up, or I will send to the honorable Secretary of the Treasury and ask for a copy. But, as I recall the facts, they are these: I directed an inquiry to Mr. Shaw as to when or on what date a commission was issued to William H. Crum as collector at Charleston. He had been appointed, I believe, four or five times, but he had never been appointed, I believe, four or five times, but he had never been confirmed.

I asked Mr. Shaw to tell me whether Mr. Crum was now holding office, and, if so, under what authority. In answer to that, he replied, giving the date when Mr. Crum received his recess commission, and then went on to state that he was now in office and the authority for it was that precisely at 12 o'clock on the 7th of December a new appointment was made and a new commission issued under it. So we have one of the Cabinet officers—and undoubtedly under the instruction or by the authority of the President—taking the position that such a thing as a constructive

recess between the adjournment of a session of Congress and the convening of a session, even though there be no time intervening, is one of the things which we have come to have in our governmental affairs.

Now, so far as Mr. Crum is concerned, it may be considered by Senators that I am interested in the matter because of his consenators that I am interested in the matter because of his connection with it. That is not the case. I am interested in it because of the fact that the Constitution of the United States does not recognize, according to my interpretation of it, any such thing; and the presence in the office of collector of customs at the port of Charleston of this man, under a commission issued by the President of the United States, exercising its functions and performing its duties, and who in time is to claim its emoluments, is a direct violation of the Constitution itself and of the law also.

a direct violation of the Constitution itself and of the law also.

That is my contention and that is my idea. I would contend for the rights of Congress and of the Senate just as quickly and as promptly and as zealously if my State was not interested as I do now. I simply want this body to take cognizance of a new interpretation of the organic law of the land and to decide what it will do to protect its ancient rights. That is all.

In examining into the status of this question to see what had been the habit and custom heretofore, and whether such a thing as this had ever been attempted, I came on some very, very interesting history. I found that before the expiration of the Thirty-ninth Congress, by an act of Congress approved January 22, 1867, Congress itself enacted a law which changed the time of the meet-Congress itself enacted a law which changed the time of the meeting of the following Congress from the first Monday in December

to March 4; in other words, the expiring Congress called its successor by law to meet the moment that it itself died.

Mr. SPOONER. Mr. President—

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. PERKINS in the chair).

Does the Senator from South Carolina yield to the Senator from Wisconsin?

Mr. TILLMAN. I do with pleasure, always.
Mr. SPOONER. The Senator contends that there was no re-

cess, then, as I understand him?

Mr. TILLMAN. When the President pro tempore arose in his seat and announced that the time for the assembling, as I recall his words, of the first regular session of the Fifty-eighth Congress had arrived, he said: "I declare the extra session adjourned sine die. The Senate will come to order." If any man who claims to have any common sense can stand up and undertake to say that the telegraph is a reconstruction of the property of the same and the same and the same are the same and the same and the same are the same and the same are same are same and the same are same are same are same and the same are same are same are same are same and the same are s that there can be any recess under those conditions I do not un-derstand the meaning of the word "recess."

Mr. SPOONER rose.

Mr. TILLMAN. I do not know what the Senator is going to contend, of course, but if he should now, contrary to all of my anticipations and expectations and my most valuable admiration for him, destroy it all, why, of course, I shall have to take whatever comes. [Laughter.]

Mr. SPOONER. Of course, Mr. President, it is not for the Senator to say how valuable his admiration for me is. It is for me to say that. [Laughter.]

me to say that. [Laughter.]

Mr. TILLMAN. No, it is for me to give my estimation of it, my friend. I do not give my admiration without it is deserved first; therefore it is valuable.

Mr. SPOONER. And that is why I value it. [Laughter.]
Mr. TILLMAN. Thank you.
Mr. SPOONER. I do not intend to disappoint the Senator from
South Carolina in anything I may say. I do not intend to give
approval to the notion that there can be a constructive recess.
Mr. TILLMAN. I know that you can not. But I will sit down
until the Senator gets through

until the Senator gets through.

Mr. SPOONER. The Senator once in a while is an accurate prophet. The law takes no account of the fraction of a day and the Constitution takes no account of the fraction of a minute; but the point to which I desire to attract the attention of the Senator for only a moment, and not by way of argument, is this: He contends that the President had appointed this officer in an

Mr. TILLMAN. I did not contend it. I only stated that the

Secretary of the Treasury had informed me of the facts.

Mr. SPOONER. But when we adjourned at the last regular session—I mean the session ending in March—there of course was

Mr. TILLMAN. Yes.
Mr. SPOONER. The officer not having been confirmed he was recommissioned; and that was lawful.

Mr. TILLMAN. He was reappointed and commissioned. Mr. SPOONER. He was reappointed and commissioned.

Mr. TILLMAN. And that was lawful.
Mr. SPOONER. That was lawful. Now, here is what troubles
me: His name was sent in again for confirmation, was it not,
when Congress met in extra session in November?

Mr. TILLMAN. Yes; for confirmat Mr. SPOONER. For confirmation? Yes; for confirmation as a recess appointment.

Mr. TILLMAN. Yes. Mr. SPOONER. Now, if there has been no recess since that time, there has been a continuous session, and would he not hold until the adjournment of this session? If that be not true, then is this difficulty not presented, that where one session runs into another, with no moment of time intervening, the office would be vacant on the Senator's theory? Does not the way the Senate is Mr. TILLMAN. Does the Senator make that point?
Mr. SPOONER. Yes.
Mr. TILLMAN. It is the finest one I have ever heard my

Mr. TILLMAN. It is the mest one I have ever heard my friend make, but it will not go. [Laughter.]

Mr. HALE. Let me ask a question.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from South Carolina yield to the Senator from Maine?

Mr. TILLMAN. With pleasure.

Mr. HALE. I want to put before you the whole scope of the

Mr. SPOONER. I am not justifying a new commission.

Mr. SPOONER. I am not justifying a new commission.
Mr. HALE. That is the point I was coming to. The actual result of that would be that if there were no recess, no interposition of a recess, and the Congress continues straight along, the old appointment would hold good.
Mr. SPOONER. That is what I say.
Mr. HALE. But the old commission, received in an undoubted

recess, which was lawful, would run over and still live. That would be the Senator's idea?

Mr. SPOONER. And that office would be vacant after the ad-

Mr. SPOONER. And that onice would be vacant after the adjournment of this session.

Mr. HALE. That would not justify a new appointment.

Mr. SPOONER. No; and that is the only question.

Mr. TILLMAN. That is the sharpest legal point or quibble I have ever known the Senator to raise here, but he himself, if he will just dismiss the idea that I am attacking his party or his

President and will discuss this question and analyze it as a law-

Mr. SPOONER. Mr. President—
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from South
Carolina yield to the Senator from Wisconsin?
Mr. TILLMAN. I do not impugn the Senator's loyalty to his
convictions, but I hope he will not get up and defend himself
until I attack him. I relied on him a moment ago and he did not until I attack him. I relied on him a moment ago and he did not disappoint me, and I am going to rely on him again, but I want to elucidate my position a little before he proceeds. [Laughter.]

Let us look at the language of the Constitution itself. It de-

clares that-

The President shall have power to fill up all vacancies that may happen during the recess of the Senate, by granting commissions which shall expire at the end of their next session.

Not during the recess. Now, the fact that we never did adjourn the extraordinary session, the fact that the two sessions merged, can not by any legal quibble be distorted into the contention or the acknowledgment that the two sessions created a recess, or that because the two sessions did not separate, except for a moment of time while the Chair announced the death of one and the beginning of the other therefore there was no end of the other beginning of the other, therefore there was no end of the other session.

Mr. SPOONER. I agree—
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from South

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from South Carolina yield to the Senator from Wisconsin?

Mr. TILLMAN. Yes, sir.

Mr. SPOONER. Mr. President, I agree with the Senator from South Carolina upon the question of a constructive recess. I only presented to him what presented itself to me as a matter of the practical consequence—I do not undertake to solve it—of the situation. I do not pretend to say what the law is about it, although I do say it would seem to be clear that where the nomination of a person who had been appointed in actual recess and ination of a person who had been appointed in actual recess, and whose nomination had been sent to the Senate at an extra session, and he was in possession of the office under the recess appointment, he would continue in possession of the office until the adjournment of the Senate without his confirmation. If where the sessions run into each other he is not to continue to hold, then the office is without an occupant. There is nothing technical about it. I am not

out an occupant. There is nothing technical about it. I am not controverting the position of the Senator.

Mr. TILLMAN. If the Senator is endeavoring to help me throw light on this question, I will give him the credit of that until I discover that he has got a cat in the meal tub somewhere.

Mr. SPOONER. I do not keep cats. [Laughter.]

Mr. TILLMAN. The Senator will excuse my homely comparison, but the Senator is so sudden and so resourceful, he is so keen and shrewd and alert and adroit, and all that kind of thing, that I sometimes fear him even when he brings me crifts thing, that I sometimes fear him even when he brings me gifts. [Laughter.]
Mr. SPOONER. The Senator does me injustice.
Mr. TILLMAN. Then I apologize and take it all back. I be-

lieve that we are all honest and that the Senator agrees with me.

Mr. SPOONER. I want to call the attention of the Senator from South Carolina—and the Senator from Virginia [Mr. Dan-IEL] sees the point that troubles me about this— Mr. TILLMAN. Will the Senator let me cut the Gordian knot?

I can do it in a second.

Mr. SPOONER. One moment. I want to call the attention of the Senator to the fact that there is a distinction between the Senate and the House of Representatives.

Mr. TILLMAN. That the Senate never dies. I know that.

Mr. SPOONER. That is right. The Senate is a continuing body.

Mr. TILLMAN. But a commission does die.

Mr. SPOONER. But I am not talking about a commission. My question is whether a man, having been commissioned in an actual recess, having taken possession of an office and being in the actual recess, having taken possession of an office and being in the discharge of its duties—this being a continuing body—whether, where two sessions of the Senate run into each other, there being no interregnum, he does not of necessity and to safeguard the public interest, as intended by the constitutional provision, continue to discharge the duties of the office until the end of the session?

Mr. HALE. Under his old commission?

Mr. SPOONER. Certainly.

Mr. DANIEL. Mr. President—

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from South Carolina yield to the Senator from Virginia?

Mr. TILLMAN. In a moment, Mr. President, I shall be glad to have all the light I can get from any quarter, because I have a great deal of it here that I am going to give you gentlemen before I get through. I have got some startling stuff here for you. [Laughter.] Now I yield to the Senator from Virginia.

Mr. DANIEL. I thank the Senator from South Carolina. In the case referred to would not the trouble have been obvi-

In the case referred to would not the trouble have been obviated if the next morning the President had sent a new appointment to this body and let the former appointment drop at the end

of the extraordinary session?

Mr. TILLMAN. Mr. President—

Mr. DANIEL. Just let me state my point. If the last commission had expired with the last moment of the extra session, could not the President have sent in a new nomination to the new Senate just as easily as to have interpreted a recess and made the

Senate just as easily as to have interpreted a recess and made the appointment in that so-called recess?

Mr. TILLMAN. Let me show you how far wrong all you lawyers are. [Laughter.] Who held the office and who performed its duties prior to the issuing of this commission? I found this vacancy had existed more than a year. This Crum matter has become one of the causes célèbres of this country. It has involved in it that miserable race question, which is to plague us for a million years, it appears. Crum was appointed and reappointed, but never in a recess, until we adjourned last March; yet the Government went on and the duties at Charleston were collected. There was a man there, the deputy collector, who performed the duties of the office, and who was ready to step back and take the place of his chief as soon as the Senate refused to confirm him. That contention about a vacancy will not do. That contention about a vacancy will not do.

Mr. SPOONER. Mr. President—
Mr. TILLMAN. But the office was vacant.
Mr. SPOONER. I was not making the suggestion with reference to Crum.

Mr. TILLMAN. That will answer. Mr. SPOONER. It does not answer.

It answers as an illustration of the point the Mr. TILLMAN.

Senator is contending for.

Mr. SPOONER. No; it does not. I do not say what I am contending for; but suppose during a time of war the question involved the man who should be at the head of the Army, directing the affairs and operations of the Army in defense of the country, what then?

what then?

Mr. TILLMAN. Let me answer that. There are always certain officers to hold over until their successors are "appointed and qualify." No general in command of an army will ever give up his position and go off and leave it without a head until his successor has been appointed, has presented himself to take command, and is able to state, "By order of the President, I am to succeed you." So that case will not do; it is absolutely too farticularly and the Senetor will have to try again. fetched, and the Senator will have to try again.

I want Senators who may be in doubt, as perhaps some of them are honestly in doubt, not to forget the words of the Constitution

itself:

The President shall have power to fill up all vacancies that may happen during the recess of the Senate, by granting commissions which shall expire at the end of their next session.

The Constitution says the appointment can only be made in the recess, but if the Senate has had the opportunity to pass upon it and has failed to do so the man goes out. You can not successfully dispute the lawfulness and justice of my contention.

This is a session of the Senate. Why, look at your own acts here. Look at your Congressional Record, which shows that the first session of the Fifty-eighth Congress adjourned, disappeared, went into history, on the 7th of December, and a new session, the second session, began. You are contending against all the precedents from the foundation of the Government until this

But let me go back to my record. I read a moment ago the act of Congress approved January 22, 1867, at the time when the meeting of Congress was changed from the first Monday in December to March 4. Why? An examination into the history of those times will show you that the then sitting Congress felt unwilling to adjourn on the 4th day of March, and leave this Government in the hands of Andrew Johnson between March and December, with no lawful authority in itself to convene. The debates all prove it debates all prove it.

The next Congress met on March 4, the day on which it was called together by law, and on March 30 adjourned until July 3. They would not adjourn until the December date, but they went to July, feeling that they had sufficiently handcuffed the President or had terrorized him or hedged him about so that he could accomplish nothing detrimental between March and July.

They met on July 3 and remained in session until July 20, when they adjourned or rather took a recess to November 21, 1867.

they adjourned, or, rather, took a recess to November 21, 1867, when they adjourned on December 2, without day.

They met again in regular session on the same day, December 2, 1867, and remained in session until July 27, 1868. In other words, the regular session began just as our session this year began, the minute the extra session or the adjourned session ended. They met again on the 21st of September, 1868, and adjourned on They met again on the 21st of September, 1868, and adjourned on the same day to October 16. They met again on October 16, 1868, and adjourned on the same day to November 10. They met again on November 10 and adjourned on the same day, without day. In other words, they had accomplished their purpose. They had passed all the laws they thought needful. They had tried to impeach the President and failed, but had sufficiently hamstrung him or terrorized him or done whatever you choose to call it, so that they did not feel that he could do any more harm.

But all this did not happen without discussion, and I want to read from that debate. I was very much interested, when I came

read from that debate. I was very much interested, when I came across these speeches in the RECORD, to see how differently men can look at things when they allow supposed party advantage to bias them or to cause their minds all to go in one direction.

On the 21st of Marsh, 1867, a resolution recording for adjourned

On the 21st of March, 1867, a resolution providing for adjournment sine die being before the Senate, Mr. Sumner took the floor

in opposition, and used the words I will quote.

I hope the Senator from Wisconsin will remain in the Chamber. While he may not have very much respect for Mr. Sumner as a lawyer, he must have great respect for him as a statesman, and I want him to catch Mr. Sumner's idea of constitutional limitations.

want him to catch Mr. Sumner's idea of constitutional limitations.

Mr. SPOONER. Does the Senator care particularly precisely
what part of the Chamber I am in?

Mr. TILLMAN. I beg the Senator's pardon. I took it for
granted he was going out.

Mr. SPOONER. No.

Mr. TILLMAN. My friend is always so fascinating and is
such an exhilarating adjunct to my talks that I like to have him
around

around.

These are the words of Mr. Sumner, in discussing this proposed adjournment:

You must not forget that our President is a bad man. This is the first fact. He is a bad man, who is the author of incalculable woe to his country, and especially to that part which has been most tried by war and most needed kindly care. Search history, and I am sure that you will find no ruler who, during the same short space of time, has done so much mischief to his country. He stands alone in bad eminence. Nobody in ancient or modern times can be his parallel. Alone in the evil he has done, he is also alone in the maudlin and frantic manner—

I want you to watch those adjectives-

I want you to watch those adjectives—
the maudlin and frantic manner which he has adopted. Look at his acts and read his speeches. This is enough.
Such is the fact. And now I ask, Can Congress quietly vote to go home and leave this bad man without hindrance of any kind? These scenes are historic. His conduct is historic. Permit me to remind you that your course with regard to him will be historic. It can never be forgotten if you keep your seats and meet the usurper face to face; it can never be forgotten if, leaving your seats, you let him remain master to do as he pleases. Most of all, he covets your absence. Do not indulge him.

Looking along in the discussion in regard to these various recesses and adjournments from day to day, I came on another interesting and meaty sentence or two. Speaking of this same President, the then Senator from Michigan, Mr. Howard, uses these words, and he is putting words in the mouth of the President. dent himself as illustrating the feeling, the purpose, the idea, the ambition, the self-reliance, and all that which actuated President Johnson; and he makes Mr. Johnson say:

I am he who holds your destinies in his hand; I must be obeyed; I am the infallible judge of the Constitution. I have unrolled it; I understand it; I am conscientious; Congress and the so-called loyal people are not conscientious; I am patriotic; they are not; they are traitors; I am great; they are

small; they must be put down; I must be put up; and you must remain in your present condition until I have had my way.

There are suspicions abroad and intimations from very respect-There are suspicions abroad and intimations from very respectable sources that history is repeating itself. But I do not want to be partisan and I am not making any attack on our Executive. I am trying to get the Senate to discuss and dispose of this new issue which has arisen without considering the present occupant of the White House, and solely as great lawyers and great statesmen, as leaders of a great party who have made history and who are to-day being watched to see how far they are going to allow these usurpations to go before they cry, "Halt!"

There was another discussion in regard to the adjournment and the dangers that might come from a constructive recess, the very milk of the coccanut, so to speak, of these old speeches. I quote:

milk of the cocoanut, so to speak, of these old speeches. I quote:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I quote:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I quote:

Mr. Grimes. I quote:

Mr. Grimes. I quote:

Mr. Grimes. I quote:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption:

Mr. Grimes. I offer the following resolution for adoption for adop

I will state here that Monday, the 2d day of December, was the first Monday in December, when Congress, under the Constitution, was to convene in regular session.

Mr. SUMNER. I would suggest "at 12 o'clock."

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is there any objection to the present consideration of the resolution? The Chair hears no objection.

Mr. SUMNER. I do not wish to object to its consideration, but I have a serious question whether we should leave even the break of half an hour between the two sessions. The point in my mind is just this: Will you leave the President of the United States one half hour within which he may take advantage of the absence of Congress and issue commissions which would then run perhaps—I do not undertake to decide the point now, but which I say might run then to the last day of the next session of the Senate.

Instruments of the service of the content of the senate.

Just what is being contended for here now.

That may be midsummer or autumn. We may not leave here before autumn. I take it that an appointment made during that interim of half an hour night possibly be valid to the last day of the next session of Congress.

Mr. Sumner did not think the vacancy in the office would cre-

ate any legal question at all.

Mr. MITCHELL. What became of the resolution?

Mr. TILLMAN. Wait; I am going to give you the history of it. I am going to read the debate. This ancient history is valuable, because as we pass along and new headlights come up, new ideas the resease of the resease and the second of the resease in the resease of the resea acie, because as we pass along and new headingsts come up, new ideas take possession of us as a people, and as we go crazy, seemingly, with this new, dazzling dream of empire and all that sort of thing, we must, as Mr. Webster says, be guided by the lamps of experience if we are to go right; and if we lose our heads and permit some men to go ahead boldly and rashly their way there is no telling where we will land.

Mr. Edmunds, in answer to Mr. Sumner, said:

But the law takes no notice of parts of a day.

Other lawyers knew that as well as the Senator from Wisconsin.

Mr. Sumner. Why open the question? That is a technicality.
Mr. Grimes. I do not think the question is worth having a debate about, and I am content that any amendment should be made to the resolution which the Senator from Massachusetts chooses to suggest.
Mr. Sumner. Why not say "12 o'clock?"
Mr. Grimes. Very well.
The President pro tempore. Does the Senator from Iowa so modify the resolution?

resolution?
Mr. GRIMES. Yes, sir.

Then Mr. Sherman, another great statesman of this country, one of the greatest that it has ever known, a leader whose work for good or bad is here to stay as an enduring monument to his intellectuality and his hold upon the public confidence, said what I shall read.

Mr. SPOONER.

Mr. SPOONER. Mr. President—
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from South
Carolina yield to the Senator from Wisconsin?
Mr. TILLMAN. With pleasure. I hope the Senator does not

object to my paying a compliment to Republicans.

Mr. SPOONER. But I object to your always waiting until

after they are dead.

Mr. TILLMAN. I just paid the Senator from Wisconsin one compliment, and as nice a one as I could frame. If it does not suit him, I will write him one, and I will just lard it down with sweetmeats. Have I not just now said that you are great lawyers and great statesmen? And I am waiting to see whether you are bound hand and foot to the President's chariot wheel and are writer to be dragged in the mire of loss of self-respect, and all that going to be dragged in the mire of loss of self-respect, and all that sort of thing, by yielding to this constructive recess proposition. I do not believe it. I am going to wait and see. I have faith that there are yet statesmanship and patriotism among the great men on that side.

Mr. Sherman said:

I can not see any object in passing this concurrent resolution. The Constitution provides that the regular session of Congress shall be on the first Monday of December, and according to law, I believe—or, at any rate, such is the usage—the hour for meeting on that day is 12 o'clock. We shall meet at that time in a new session. The recent law has not changed that regular time of meeting, and the result is that the next session of Congress will commence necessarily at noon on Monday. Why not allow the two sessions to merge? Why not have this session go on and expire by the necessary limita-

tion fixed by law and the Constitution? I think that would be better. I do
not see any object to be gained by passing a resolution for adjournment stan
die. I would vote with pleasure for a recess until Monday at half-past 11
o'clock, and let one session blend with the other. I see no objection to that
course, while the passage of a resolution for adjournment sine die may raise
questions which we will have to meet hereafter. I have not examined the
opprovess of that kind this session would be continued till Monday and terminate at the beginning of the other without a formal vote of the
miversal usage—though, to be sure, this is rather an exceptional cane—a joint
resolution fixing the time when this session shall terminate. I am perfectly
of time, or any very considerable time, at any rate, between the termination
of this, or any very considerable time, at any rate, between the termination
of this session and the commencement of the next regular session. Still, I
think that there should be an appreciable distinction, and that we should fix
the time of adjournment and adjourn accordingly. I have no very fixed
into a continuer if they choose to take the responsibility. I see no objection to
fixing the time at five minutes before 18 o'clock, if you please, so as to have
some little interregum or interval between the exprination of consession of
Congress and the commencement of another. This is the idea I have enterthem of the commencement of another. This is the idea I have enterand my own opinion is that it would be better, because in accordinace with
usage. I do not think that five minutes would be a time within which any
very great harm could be done. It is with reference rather to the record, and
to keeping things in order on the record, that I suggest that it would be well
to have the very any of the summary of the control of t

Now, Mr. President, all of that discussion of constitutional law and interpretation of the Constitution has but one meaning, that this doctrine of a recess or of a vacancy that might arise by reason of the expiration of a commission and all that kind of thing, which has just been announced by the Senator from Wisconsin, was thought of by those men. They would not give Andrew Johnson one minute, for they felt if they did he might appoint some one in the interim who would, under his construction and contention, hold the office until the expiration of the succeeding session, as the Constitution provided.

Mr. HALE. Was not this the substance of it? It is in the line of what the Senator said, and his reading has been very interesting, bearing upon the exact subject before us. It seems that at

ing, bearing upon the exact subject before us. It seems that at that time the question arose whether any interval should be allowed between the extra session and the regular session, and to avoid any possible question and utterly to put down and extirpate any idea that there could be recess, the farthest process was taken,

At that most interesting point in our history the very question which is raised by the Senator from South Carolina came up, and

it was deemed conclusive that all questions as to there being a recess were set at rest by the two sessions being merged, the one immediately succeeding the other. What was good law then is

I am glad the Senator has introduced this matter. agree with him, perhaps, in what he intends to invoke in the way of similarities between persons at that time and this; but the occasion was a great occasion, an important occasion, and it seems to have been settled that if the two sessions were merged, nobody, not even a refractory President, as I believe there was at that time, who took great responsibilities, would for a moment intimate that there was a recess, an instantaneous recess. That seems to have

been settled by the precedent which the Senator from South Carolina has invoked, and I am very glad he invoked it.

Mr. MITCHELL. The Senator from South Carolina has not told us what became of the resolution.

Mr. TILLMAN. They passed it. The resolution was passed unanimously merging the two sessions and adjourning the first session at 12 o'clock, when its successor met immediately, just as we did here at the expiration of the special session called to meet on the 9th day of November. meet on the 9th day of November.

Mr. HALE. Yes. Mr. PLATT of Connecticut. But in our case there was no res-

olution of adjournment.

Mr. TILLMAN. The two sessions went together, as they contended, and the law was settled, as the Senator from Maine has said; at least, it appears to have been settled, because the President took no chance, and nobody contended that there was a possibility for him to make an appointment between the second of time of the adjournment of the one session and the convention of the other. I can not see how there can be any difference of opinion here as to the final result.

Mr. HALE. If the Senator from South Carolina will allow me,

they were making and were engaged in a hand-to-hand fight with the then President.

Mr. TILLMAN. Undoubtedly.
Mr. HALE. They wanted to take the measure that would be most absolutely conclusive as to giving him an instant when he could exercise his appointing power; and the method they took

was to merge the two sessions.

Mr. PLATT of Connecticut. Will the Senator from South Carolina permit me?

Mr. TILLMAN. Certainly.

Mr. PLATT of Connecticut. I think the Senator misunderstood the remark I made in my seat. I called attention to the fact that I supposed that Congress was adjourned by resolution of the two Houses, and that we had no such resolution. claim that it makes any difference. But there was that differ-

Mr. TILLMAN. We adjourned at the expiration of the extra session on the 7th day of December just passed. They passed a resolution adjourning at 12 o'clock. There was a proposition to adjourn at 11, then at half past 11, but Mr. Sumner and Mr. Sherman both contended that they did not want to give the President the opportunity of five minutes or one minute in which to do anything which might pester them afterwards, and they preferred to

prevent an improper appointment rather than to undertake to undo it afterwards or to apply the corrective or the remedy.

Mr. PLATT of Connecticut. I think perhaps the case now is stronger than that was, because that Congress passed a resolution of adjournment. It was passed by the two Houses of Congress. In this case no resolution was passed. The Senate simply was de-

clared to be adjourned just a moment before 12 o'clock. Mr. TILLMAN. I was not here during the interesting contention, which I saw mentioned in the papers, when an effort was made by somebody somewhere else to make us do business according to other methods than our own, and the unhappy controversy as to whether or not the Senate should remain in session because other men wanted the House to remain. But I notice that we did not adjourn and that we did not vote and that we proceeded on the even tenor of our way and that the Cuban reciprocity bill became a law in due time. But it was not born before the Senate

got ready that it should be born.

The only difference I can see in the situation then and now is this: At that day and time there was an overwhelming majority, two-thirds and more, considerably more than there is now, of the two-thirds and more, considerably more than there is now, of the same party as the President, and who had elected him Vice-President, and of course had to acquiesce in his accession to authority upon the death of Mr. Lincoln. They took these steps to hedge him about, to halt him, to stop him.

Now, the President has this large majority behind him, willing, apparently acquiescing in all his acts, indorsing them, approving them, applauding them, urging some of them perhaps. There is

the difference.

So far as this little case of Crum is concerned, Crum might be the most important officer in the United States Government. My

friend from Wisconsin is very prolific in suggestions that great harm might come in the case of the head of the Army. Suppose justices of the Supreme Court were appointed under these circumstances of a constructive recess; that there was a case involving most vital interests before that court, and that the court was evenly divided, with these new men going in and holding the balance of power and being able to turn around the law in its interpretation, what harm could come?

I am only giving that as one of the possibilities, and my purpose, as I said in the beginning, is not to call attention to the necessary parallel in the minds of some, or to the possible dangers; but I am endeavoring to keep a precedent from being established. I am endeavoring to keep the Senate, in a trivial cause, one that has not and compet most southern as a constraint of the content of th has not and can not work any harm, from allowing its authority and the accepted interpretation of the Constitution to lapse; from giving the temptation to some usurper hereafter, or the excuse to some usurper hereafter, to say, "Why, in the Administration of Mr. Roosevelt this was permitted. It was passed over by the Senate, and thereby acquiesced in; and it is all right!"

We all know what a precedent in law is. Precedents are very,

very troublesome things, and I, in my feeble way, have tried to call attention to what I consider a very grave constitutional ques-While in its present shape it works no harm and can work none, yet if we do not now stop and have the Senate declare what it knows and means to be a recess, what it considers the power of the Executive in making appointments during a recess and issuing commissions thereafter, we will in the future, or our children will, come to that day when it can be said sadly:

It is the little rift within the lute That by and by will make the music mute, And, ever widening, slowly silence all.

We Senators here have grave responsibilities. We are intrusted with the liberties of this Government and of its orderly constitutional administration. Let us not, in the mad haste to give approval to this man or that, for supposed party necessity, ignore

this little thing now. Let us stop it.

Mr. HALE. Now, let me interrupt the Senator for a moment.

The Senator has not succeeded—I will not put it in that way, for I know he did not want to bring out from this side any expression of a recognition of this new proposition that there was a constructive recess when the two sessions merged. On the other hand, everything that has been said upon this side of the Chamber is in dissent from it. No Senator on this side has, whatever may be his partisan feeling, indicated it. I think, with the Senator from Connecticut, that the present case is even stronger than the case in 1867, to which the Senator has referred.

I say again, I do not know of any Senator who adopts or pro-

poses to adopt or act upon the suggestion that here was a constructive recess upon which the President might act as if it had

been a longer recess

The Constitution does not deal with surprises and evasions and subtleties. It has been found on an examination of the records that the nominations-Mr. Crum's, General Wood's, and othersthat were sent to the actual session, the present session, the regular session, were not sent in as recess appointments. If the Senator will go back he will find that these same appointments that were made after our adjournment in March were afterwards sent in at the extra session: that they were sent in in November as re-

in at the extra session: that they were sent in in November as recess appointments. That the Senator does not object to?

Mr. TILLMAN. Oh, not at all. That is the law.

Mr. HALE. That is the practice.

Mr. TILLMAN. It is customary.

Mr. HALE. That is the practice. It is the law. Now, the appointments that were sort in at this session of for the tweeters. pointments that were sent in at this session, after the two sessions had been merged, the appointment of Mr. Crum, of General Wood, and others, were not, as I understand from an examination which has been made of the records, sent in as recess appointments, but they were sent in in the manner suggested by the Senator from

Virginia, as regular appointments.

I started, when I first interrupted the Senator, by saying that I did not know that the President had committed himself to this doctrine of a constructive recess. His appointments, his nominations—that is the technical word—sent to the Senate at this session do not assume to have been sent in as recess appointments.

They are sent in as new appointments.

Now, the question as to who takes possession of the office and gets the salary

Mr. TILLMAN. If the Senator will allow me, do I understand him to assert or to only express the hope that these appointments are of this character?

Mr. HALE. The papers show—

Mr. TILLMAN. The papers show the contrary, at least the letter of the Secretary of the Treasury to me, and the papers in

the possession of the executive clerks will demonstrate whether I

am right or not.

Mr. HALE. I have not made the examination, but it has been

stated to me during the debate that those papers have been looked up and it is found that the appointments sent in at this session were not sent in as recess appointments but as new regular appointments; and I was going on to say that if that be so—
Mr. TILLMAN. I deny it, upon the authority of Mr. Shaw, as

far as Crum is concerned.

Mr. HALE. I do not make the statement—
Mr. TILLMAN. I further deny it from the fact that Mr.
Crum is now in office, and he could not hold it a moment after
the expiration of his commission unless he had been given a new one, and he could not be given a commission while the Senate

was in session. So it was a recess appointment.

Mr. HALE. I have not said that I have examined the papers, but I have been told that the appointments which were made at this session when sent in to the Senate (and an inspection will easily settle that) were not sent in as recess appointments but as original appointments. Now, I am not prepared to say that that is true. It has been so stated here, and it can easily be settled by

looking at the original papers.

looking at the original papers.

If that be true, the only question to come up will be as to who is entitled to perform the duties of collector during the time that is now going on—whether the new appointee or the deputy collector. If the new appointment was not sent in as a recess appointment, if the new appointee claims that he has the right under the proposition that there was a constructive recess, the whole question will be fought out before the Comptroller, who will decide upon the whole law, and, as I have said, I have no doubt that that officer, who is a very able and a very worthy man, of the highest integrity, will make short shrift of the proposition that there was a constructive recess. But it will be settled by him and by nobody else. him and by nobody else.

Between this time and whenever the resolution will come up again—for it will go over at 2 o'clock for the present—an examination can be made as to what the appointments were that were

Mr. PATTERSON. Mr. President-

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from South Carolina yield to the Senator from Colorado?

Mr. TILLMAN. I had hoped to get the resolution acted on, but that will not be the case if the debate continues.

but that will not be the case if the debate continues.

It is a very interesting and a very important matter, and I want all the light I can get on the question. I know the Senator from Colorado will shed some, and I yield to him.

Mr. PATTERSON. I think the question that is presented by both the Senator from Maine and the Senator from Wisconsin has been in effect decided by the Supreme Court of the United States—not in a case that is almost parallel to this, but in a case that rested upon a statute whose language as to the termination of an office is identical, I think, with the language of the section of the Constitution that is under consideration. The decision went as to the responsibility of sureties on the bond of a collector, and without taking the time now to read the entire case, I will simply sugout taking the time now to read the entire case, I will simply sug-

out taking the time now to read the entire case, I will simply suggest the name of the case, so that other Senators may examine it.

Without undertaking to read it, I will state, briefly, what the facts were. The question arose in an action of debt on a collector's bond. It is the case of United States v. Kirkpatrick, 9 Wheaton, 720–738. Mr. Reed had been appointed to the office of collector, and the name of the surety was Kirkpatrick. The collector was appointed to the office by the President on the 11th of November, 1813, and by the terms of his commission he was to hold his office during the pleasure of the President and until the end of the next session of the Senate of the United States, and no longer. Early in the year following his appointment, in January, 1814, the President sent in his name, making a second appoint 1814, the President sent in his name, making a second appointment of the same person. He continued in the performance of the duties of his office, proved to be a defaulter, and an action was brought by the Government against the principal and the sureties on the bond.

One of the defenses that was made was that he could not be responsible on his bond for acts that were done after the termination of the session of Congress to which his nomination had been sent in. The judge of the trial court held that he could be, as his possession of the office continued. He gave no new bond when his second appointment was made and confirmed. The Supreme Court reviewed that proposition, and the language of the Supreme Court it seems to me will place it more clearly before the Senate than I could in the use of my language. The decision was rendered by Mr. Justice Story. I will read about a page:

The act under which this appointment was made authorizes the President, in the recess of the Senate, to make appointments by granting commissions, which shall expire at the end of their next session.

That is practically the language of the Constitution.

The first commission is, as has been already stated, in conformity to this ovision of the act, and is, by express terms, limited to continue to the end the next session of the Senate, and no longer.

The commission used the words "and no longer."

It follows, therefore, both by the enactment of law and the form of the

Replying, of course, to the commission-

Replying, of course, to the commission—
that the first commission must have expired of itself at that period; and, as
the next session of the Senate ended in April, 1814, that is the utmost extent
to which it could reach. The bond in question was given with express reference to this commission; and its obligatory force was, consequently, confined
to acts done while that commission had a legal continuance, and could not go
beyond it; and here would have been the natural termination of the liability.
But in the meantime a new appointment was made by the President, with
the advice and consent of the Senate, and as soon as that was accepted by the
collector it was a virtual superseding and surrender of the former commission. The two commissions can not be considered as one continuing appointment without manifest repugnancy. The commissions are not only different
in date, and given under different authorities and sureties, but they are of
different natures. The first is limited in its duration to a specified period;
the second is unlimited in duration, and during the pleasure of the President.
If the latter operated merely as a confirmation of the former, then it confirmed its existence only during the original period fixed by the law. But
such an effect is not pretended, and would be irreconcilable with the terms
and intent of the commission. It has been suggested that the practice of the
Government has been to consider such commissions as one continuing commission. But whatever weight the practice of the Government may be entitled to in cases of doubtful construction, it can have no influence to change
the clear language of the law. In short, if the nomination to and approval
by the Senate was a mere confirmation, and not equivalent to a new appointment, there was no necessity for the second commission; and yet the argument supposes that it could not be dispensed with, for if no commission had
been issued the first, by its own limitation, would have expired.

It seems to me that this case establishes this proposition at least, beyond any question, that where an appointment is made under language such as was used in this law and such as is used in the section of the Constitution that has been read, the appointment or the possession of the office must absolutely end with the arrival of the period at which the commission expired under the law, and that time is at the expiration of the session of the Sen-

ate, if the appointee has not been confirmed.

Mr. PLATT of Connecticut. There is no question about that.

Mr. PATTERSON. Now, if his bond would not continue after the expiration of the session of the Senate, if his bondsmen would not be liable for malfeasance in office after the expiration of the session of the Senate, then surely we may properly deduce that he is not permitted to perform the duties of the office, but that that office is vacant, its duties to be performed by some deputy or some other person until, an appointment being sent in during the session of the Senate, the Senate has confirmed that appointment. Mr. HALE. If the Senator will allow me—it is almost 2 o'clock—

I think that is law and unquestioned law, and I am glad the Sena-tor has brought that decision here. I want to look at it still further. It will be found, I think, on a complete examination that that is a clear assertion of unquestioned law that has been recognized ever since, but I doubt whether it reaches the precise conditions under which we find ourselves. However, there will be an opportunity when the resolution comes up again to go more

fully into that question.

Mr. TILLMAN. Mr. President—
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair lays before the Senate the Calendar of General Orders.

Mr. TILLMAN. I ask unanimous consent that the resolution Mr. TILLMAN. I ask unanimous consent that the resolution may go back on the table, subject to the same call for future discussion. In other words, I do not want it to go to the Calendar. The PRESIDENT pro tempore. It would go to the Calendar unless the Senate otherwise ordered.

Mr. TILLMAN. I ask unanimous consent that it shall remain on the table, subject to the same rights it has had heretofore.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from South Caroline and the same rights are always as the same rights.

lina asks unanimous consent that the resolution shall lie on the table without prejudice. Is there objection? The Chair hears

RELATIONS WITH NEW GRANADA OR COLOMBIA.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair lays before the Senate a resolution which will be stated. The Chair is assuming unanimous consent whenever he lays this resolution before the

The Secretary. Senate resolution 73, by Mr. Gorman, calling upon the President for certain information touching former negotiations of the United States with the Governments of New Granada or Colombia, etc.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Iowa [Mr. Dolliver] is entitled to the floor.

Mr. Dolliver. Mr. President, I have intended for some days, if I could get an exportantity to do so to exhault to the Senator.

Mr. DULLIVER. Mr. President, I have intended for some days, if I could get an opportunity to do so, to submit to the Senate some observations on the questions which have been debated in connection with the resolution of the Senator from Maryland [Mr. GORMAN]. I have listened with patience and with great instruction and profit to everything that has been said. A good many speeches have been made, none of them irrelevant to the question and many of them full of research and of learning. I have found pleasure in listening to them all, although I am bound to confess that some of them were a little long for the ordinary uses confess that some of them were a little long for the ordinary uses of a world like this.

The subject-matter of the débate is not new to anyone. Among

my first recollections of public questions was the project of the Nicaragua Canal, and speeches upon that subject made by the honored President of the Senate [Mr. FRYE], then a Member of the House of Representatives, at the beginning of his great and useful public career. When I first came to this Capitol, whenever I wanted to secure a sense of repose and calm, I used to wander over to this Chamber and seldom failed to hear my honored friend from Alabama [Mr. Morgan] impressing upon the Senate and upon the world his ideas of the Nicaragua Canal.

I never heard a word spoken or said that did not appear to me to be wise and reasonable; and yet I never saw in all my contact with the outside world that anybody in the United States not in Congress appeared to take the slightest interest in the canal question, ably and fully as it was presented during a whole genera-tion. I confess that it made very little impression upon me. None of us probably ever saw a moment when we did not think

that some time and somewhere and in some way a canal would be dug across the Isthmus. I never took any acute interest in the subject, and never met anybody else who did, until the occa-sion of our intervention in behalf of the colonies of Spain, when Captain Clark, of the Oregon, under orders, left Mare Island and steamed down one side of South America and up the other just for the luxury of getting in two or three shots at the Cristobal

From that day the isthmian canal was already in sight. From that day it has been a live question. The argument for the canal has interested everybody. It may even be described as having become a burning question, as many gentlemen certainly on the other side of the Chamber can testify in their present contact with their constituency.

It never seemed to make much difference to me whether the isthmian canal was dug at Nicaragua or at Panama until the last session of Congress. I confess that I was in favor of digging it at Nicaragua, because I had never heard anybody else mention any other place to dig it, and I supposed, as everybody else did, that the ground at Panama had already been occupied by other

My convictions on the subject of the Panama Canal date from My convictions on the subject of the Panama Canal date from the morning when somebody, I suspected at the time the distin-guished Senator from Ohio [Mr. Hanna], whose credentials of re-election to the Senate, read in the Chamber this morning, have brought gratification to our hearts, hung upon the wall there a map of the Isthmus of Panama, and I confess, without any inten-tional disrepect to speeches long or short, that that map did more to convince the Senate and to convince the world that we had been seeking to make a canal at the wrong place on the Isthmus than all that has been spoken in debate in these thirty years. than all that has been spoken in debate in these thirty years.

Nearly everybody bases his practical views of things primarily on his theology, and the moment I saw that map, indicating the coast line and the lay of the land at Panama, it appeared to me to be absolutely conclusive that the good Providence which is above us, if He ever intended the canal to be built there at all, intended it to be built where the Isthmus is 49 miles wide and not to be constructed where it is 183 miles wide.

I do not believe that any argument, any report of engineers, any inherited enthusiasm on the subject could disturb the opinion of anybody who made a study of that map hanging on the walls of this Chamber. From that day to this the practical question is whether the canal shall be built at Panama or not built at all. I confess that, in common with the people of the United States everywhere, I have acquired not only an interest but an earnest zeal for having the isthmian canal dug, and dug promptly, without further delay without further delay

A good many people think that the Government of the United States has been engaged in very unbecoming and unseemly performances of an underground character at Panama. I have never even taken an interest in those suspicions which have been scattered broadcast over the world. The very nature of the subject makes it morally incredible that the people of the United States should desire to do anything either by indirection or by subterranean methods of any kind.

ranean methods of any kind.

We are not doing there a thing altogether for ourselves. When I come to meditate upon what we have in hand there, it appears to me that we have placed the Republic of the United States upon a moral level the like of which has never been occupied by any nation in the whole history of the world. We are proposing to take our own money, to employ our own labor, taking our own risk, and constructing at Panama a public work, not at the cost of millions, but of tens of millions, and it may be of hundreds of millions, and having done that, we propose to donate it to the human race and dedicate it for all time to come to the uses of the world's commerce and business upon exactly the same terms for its use that we claim for ourselves.

In view of a situation like that it appears to me to disparage

In view of a situation like that it appears to me to disparage and to impeach the very national character to suppose that any-body, from our highest public official down to our lowest, would

care to smirch that transaction with any questionable methods of procedure. Therefore, I for one have been sorry that this great discussion which has gone peacefully and solemnly on now for nearly seventy years in the United States should, in the last stages of it, become the subject of a mean and petty personal and partisan contention.

I have never seen a minute when I attributed to a President of the United States, whether he belonged to my own political faith or the faith of our adversaries, a dishonorable or low motive in the transaction of the business of the people of the United States. It is one of the guaranties of our form of government, one of the monuments of our national character, that from the first President to the last, though some have been great and some not entirely great, we never have had a President whose motives could be successfully impeached at the bar of American history.

It is to be regretted that in the midst of learned discussions, such as have been made here by the two Senators from Colorado, discussions in no sense wanting in dignity and in the respect which belongs to this place and to the coordinate branches of the Government, there should have been noises heard here and voices raised here altogether out of character either with the subject or with its surroundings.

with its surroundings.

I listened with a good deal of humiliation to speeches charging that the President of the United States had made the revolution at Panama unanimous by conducting it himself. I heard a Senator say in this Chamber that in what has been done every act of the President has been in violation of the Constitution, of the law, and of international law, and of the treaties of the United States; and, more shameful and humiliating than anything else, men have stood here and excused the mobs which pursue men without the process of law, and the anarchists arrayed against all government and against all law, by the example of contempt for law set by the God-fearing, patriotic President of the United States.

States.

I do not propose to stop to answer such a thing as that. I merely allude to it to indicate how far mere partisan contention may belittle a great controversy even in the Senate of the United States. I suppose it is true that wherever speech is free and wherever printing is free our form of government is exposed to that infirmity and to that scandal. Certainly everywhere in the world where there has been free government the arena of partisan politics has been filled all the time, or nearly all the time, by the dust of partisan contention and personal abuse.

The parliamentary annals of Great Britain indicate that that was always so there, especially in the last century, and if a man

dust of partisan contention and personal abuse.

The parliamentary annals of Great Britain indicate that that was always so there, especially in the last century, and if a man will read the present discussions going on upon the hustings of England he will perceive that it is still so there. It certainly has been so here from the very beginning of our affairs. Not a single President of the United States has been able to escape, not even Washington, that serene and august figure which stands at the very beginning of our national life, nor Lincoln, nor Grant. Some of our Presidents have been compelled to bear the double misfortune—as in the case of Mr. Cleveland—the misfortune of being defamed first by one political party and then by the other.

I would not intimate that the safety of our institutions or even the dignity of the Senate require any abridgment of the right of speech or of the right to print which belongs to our inheritance and is a part of the body of our liberties. I reckon that however grievous the afflictions have been, arising out of the abuse of these rights, infinitely worse grievances would arise out of their abridgment, certainly in the Senate of the United States.

I have alluded to the matter only for the purpose of bringing into view a higher ideal of political life, an ideal which I hope will one day enable us to hold the little differences of our partisan opinions in an atmosphere of gentlemanly kindness and good will.

The President of the United States is accused of violating every obligation which it is his duty to enforce and to observe. One Senator accuses him of violating the Constitution of the United States.

I do not intend to discuss that for two reasons. I have made

I do not intend to discuss that for two reasons. I have made up my mind that while I expect to keep up my study of the Con-stitution, reading as many law books as I can, and listening to as many arguments as my strength will bear on the subject, I never intend, unless under provocation that I can not now foresee, to buffet the Constitution of the United States about in a Senatorial debate. Others, abler men, will take care of that; others, with a

debate. Others, abler men, will take care of that; others, with a more natural taste for it, can take care of that on both sides.

In this particular case I feel that everybody here is more or less relieved because one of the great constitutional lawyers on the opposite side of the Chamber, who I am sorry not to see present to-day, has already notified his constituents that, rather than change his convictions, he will retire from public life, and has distinctly omitted to charge that any violation of the Constitution has occurred in this connection. That being so, the Constitution certainly needs no guardian on this side of the Chamber to protect it against these imaginary infractions.

But we are told that the President of the United States has violated the law; and one Senator stands here by the hour pointing out that the Spooner Act has been violated. I was here when the Spooner Act was passed. I have read it again and again; but I confess a certain humility and timidity about offering myself as its interpreter; but I have come gradually to the opinion that if anybody is likely to understand what the Spooner Act means, the author of that act is the man to whom we should go with our anxious inquiries

He is, as the Senator from South Carolina [Mr. Tillman] has just said, a great lawyer, and the author of that act probably approaches as near the area of competent interpretation of it as any man in this Chamber. When I heard him the other day take up man in this Chamber. When I heard film the other day take up that act, line by line, and prove, with such satisfaction to the Senate that everybody acquiesced in it, and with such conclusiveness to the other side that my honored friend the Senator from South Carolina, in a more or less panic-stricken manner, departed from the Chamber rather than be disturbed by any further demonstration of his error, I made up my mind that that act needs no defence here from any body selse.

tion of his error, I made up my mind that that act needs no defense here from anybody else.

Mr. TILLMAN. Mr. President—
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from Iowa yield to the Senator from South Carolina?
Mr. DOLLIVER. With great pleasure.
Mr. TILLMAN. I have always regarded my friend from Iowa as being a fair man, and he must recall the fact that under the constant appeals of the ticking of the clock and that shaking of the hand by the Senator from Wisconsin [Mr. Spooner], which is so effective in a personal interruption. I had pledged myself not to so effective in a personal interruption, I had pledged myself not to speak and bother him any more, and that, when I went out, I announced that my departure was because I could not sit still and

listen any longer to his wild vagaries without breaking my pledge.

Mr. DOLLIVER. And the Senator from Wisconsin rejoined,
as you were passing through the door, that it was a very serious
reflection for him that he had lived long enough to have his vagaries reprimanded by the Senator from South Carolina. [Laugh-

Mr. TILLMAN. Which I did not hear.
Mr. DOLLIVER. Unfortunately you did not.
Now, Mr. President, the Spooner Act looks to me plain. It may
not be. We had here one day a canon of interpretation of the

ing and very novel.

A Senator stood up here and said that where the Constitution was difficult to understand, where it was complex and appeared ambiguous, he was willing to take the opinions of our fathers and the precedents of the national history upon it; but where it was so plain that almost anybody could understand it he proposed to take his own opinion, notwithstanding it might not follow the judgment of our ancestors or the practice of the Government. It looks as if the Spooner law is plain enough for pearly anybody to looks as if the Spooner law is plain enough for nearly anybody to understand; but if there is dispute about it, if it is ambiguous, if there is an element of uncertainty in it at all, when I want to get at the real meaning of it I propose to sit down with the distinguished Senator from Wisconsin and ask everybody to keep quiet until he can give his views of what he meant by the language of

That law required the President to negotiate with Colombia for an isthmian canal. Men have discussed that subject as if the main object of that law was to get up a negotiation with the United States of Colombia, and hold us to that Government even if the subject-matter of the treaty passed within another jurisdiction altogether. If I understand it, the object of that law was a canal, and not a tête-à-tête with the foreign minister of Colombia, so that the moment the canal zone passed into the hands of Panama the negotiation followed the subject-matter and not the

name of the countries.

No man can take this record of the proceedings of the Colomthe Colombian Covernment in dealing with this treaty and say that at any time in that negotiation, from the time the treaty was sent to the Colombian Senate until the extraordinary session of the present Congress convened, the President of the United States could have turned aside to deal with Nicaragua without a violation of the law and a flagrant disregard for the recognized and well-settled policy of the Government of the United States in locating the isthmian canal.

So that when a man bases his criticism of the President on the ground that he treated the Spooner law with contempt, it seems to me that he needs to more thoroughly investigate the circumstances which surrounded this treaty with the United States of

Again, it has been said that the President has trampled upon international law. If that charge were true, it is a grievous charge to make against the Government of the United States, because the

peace of the world, the orderly progress of the world, the great interests of civilization, require a careful observance of the recog-nized principles of international law; but if I have got hold of the right text-books, international law is not as exact a science as there is in this world.

International law, if my researches have given a correct light on the subject, is rather a statement of what the nations of the world from time to time have done rather than a codification of precepts applicable to all cases and under all circumstances.

If the President violated international law, how did he violate it? It is said that he recognized an immature and what has been

described here as a comic-opera republic in Panama.

It is true that the President of the United States recognized the independent existence of the new State of Panama, but, in my humble opinion, it is not true that in doing that he violated any international law. Again and again it has been pointed out in this debate that the United States has in times past recognized without formality or delay—new states, the result of revolutions and other political convulsions. The fact is that the motive that governs a nation in recognizing a new state is essentially a motive lying in the heart and conscience of that nation itself, and has

We recognized the French Republic after the Franco-Prussian war, notwithstanding the fact that the French Emperor had 100,000 veteran soldiers in the field ready to defend what remained of the Government of France, and yet Mr. Washburne said distinctly that he felt the necessity of immediately recognizing the French Republic, though it had not taken on any international relations at all and was menaced by the remnants of the imperial army of Napoleon and also in the streets of Paris by the mob proposing to reestablish the Commune.

He recognized that government almost instantly under direction from our State Department, first, because of our sympathy with republicanism in France, and, second, because the President's recognition was necessary in order to prevent the people and Government of France from being plunged into an interminable civil conflict, beginning with a reign of terror in the streets of Paris.

We recognized almost instantly the new Government of Brazil. I remember very distinctly meeting one night the minister from Brazil, representing the benevolent and amiable Emperor Dom Brazil, representing the benevolent and amiable Emperor Dom Pedro, and the very next night the minister appeared at the banquet of the Loyal Legion in this city, bearing the commission of the new Republic of Brazil. Nobody said that was incorrect or a violation of international law. Why? Because our sympathies were with republicanism in South America, and we seized the first opportunity, upon the deportation of Dom Pedro, to do what we could to make permanent the new republican régime at Rio de Janeiro. There has arisen on this side of the water an American international law applicable to our own hemisphore. can international law applicable to our own hemisphere.

We were not in much of a hurry to recognize the new states of South America. I have taken the pains to examine the old de-bates and find out what motives were at work to prevent the Con-gress of the United States from plunging into a recognition of the South American republics. Not even the eloquence of Henry Clay could get a minister accredited to one of those states created by the most favored and successful of these revolutions.

after year passed, and nothing was done.

Señor Romero, who for so many years honored his country as the minister here from Mexico, in an article in the North American Review many years ago, pointed out that the United States had contributed little or nothing to the independence of the Latin-American states either on our continent or in South America. American states either on our continent or in South America. The reason of it was that no interest was involved, no motive was involved, and no service could be rendered to mankind by a premature recognition. They were feeble; they were without organization, without political experience, and it was thought better by the level-headed men who managed our Government in those days that those people should be left to fight it out.

One man said, I remember, in one of those debates: "You can not give a nation liberty. They have got to fight for it and bleed for it and endure all the vicissitudes of the struggle for national life." Therefore the wisest men we had said: "Let them struggle; let them organize: and at the end of their struggle having won

let them organize; and at the end of their struggle, having won their independence for themselves, they will have a stability, an anchorage, and a permanence in the world that they never could have if we started out by intervening in their behalf and presenting them their liberty at the point of the guns of our own Navy;" and I believe they were absolutely right about it.

So there has grown up on this hemisphere an American diplomacy in respect of the right of recognition of revolutionary states. We have had more experience in that matter here then they have

We have had more experience in that matter here than they have had anywhere else in the world. We have had for the last seventy years an almost daily atmosphere of revolution in our relations with the struggling little republics of South and Central America, and we have found out more than any other nation about the law of recognition as applied to those states.

I undertake to say, having carefully studied the history of our diplomatic relations with South America, that no violence was done to any tradition or precept of our international history in

the act of President Roosevelt of last November.

I am not speaking entirely on my own authority in saying that we have an international law which may be described as strictly American, applicable to this hemisphere and especially to the revolutionary crises which have claimed the attention of our State Department now for nearly seventy-five years.

I find that away back in 1856 Franklin Pierce, who, while he may not have been a very great man himself, had one of the greatest men of our national history for Secretary of State, pointed out distinctly that our diplomatic relations with South America were unique in our diplomatic record and history

Here is his message sent to Congress May 15, 1856, curiously enough upon this very subject, in which he discussed the ques-tion that had bothered him about recognizing a couple of ministers from Nicaragua who had appeared in this town about the

one of them was an American adventurer who had gone down there with Walker's expedition. They had hurriedly organized rival governments, and he had started out for Washington. About the same time the first got here another man appeared, claiming to be the representative of the actual de facto Government of Nicaragua; and the President of the United States did not waste a minute to inquire which was the actual representa-tive of the de facto Government of Nicaragua. He looked the two men over, and, as we had exigent business to transact with Nicaragua, he picked out the native of Nicaragua, and, without inquiring at all into the character of his Government or his revolution, recognized him as the Nicaraguan minister to this capital. In reporting the proceedings to Congress, he said:

It is the established policy of the United States to recognize all governments without question of their source or their organization, or of the means by which the government persons attain their power, provided there be a government de facto accepted by the people of the country, and with reserve only of the time as to the recognition of revolutionary governments arising out of the subdivision of parent states with which we are in relations of

amity.

We do not go behind the fact of a foreign government exercising actual power to investigate questions of legitimacy; we do not inquire into the causes which may have led to a change of government. To us it is indifferent whether a successful revolution has been aided by foreign intervention or not; whether insurrection has overthrown existing government and another has been established in its place according to preexisting forms, or in a manner adopted for the occasion by those whom we may find in the actual possession of the occasion by those whom we may find in the actual possession of the occasion by those whom we may find in the actual possession of the occasion by those whom we may find in the actual possession of the occasion by those whom we may find in the actual possession of the occasion by those whom we may find in the actual possession of the occasion by those whom we may find in the actual possession of the occasion by the occasion of the occasion by the occasion by the occasion of the occasion by the occasion of the occasion of the occasion by the occasion of the occasion of the occasion of the occasion occasion of the occasion of the occasion occasion.

and adopted for the occasion by those whom we may find in the actual possession of power.

All these matters we leave to the people and public authorities of the particular country to determine; and their determination, whether it be by positive action or by ascertained acquiescence, is to us a sufficient warranty of the legitimacy of the new government.

And then he goes on:

During the sixty-seven years which have elapsed since the establishment of the existing Government of the United States, in all which time this Union has maintained undisturbed domestic tranquillity, we have had occasion to recognize governments de facto, founded either by domestic revolution or by military invasion from abroad, in many of the governments of Europe.

It is the more imperatively necessary to apply this rule to the Spanish-American republics, in consideration of the frequent and not seldom anomalous changes of organization or administration which they undergo and the revolutionary nature of most of these changes, of which the recent series of revolutions in the Mexican Republic is an example.

Then he cites a circumstance which seems almost incredible, that in his Administration, in the course of a few months, he recognized successively five revolutionary governments in the Republic of Mexico—at the rate of more than one a month. If the rule which my friend the senior Senator from Colorado [Mr. Teller] laid down, that a nation must stand off in a calm, philosophic way until it is determined by competent evidence that a proper organization of a state has been made, and that it has grown to that stability which enables it to discharge international obligations and to take care of internal affairs, the Administration of Mr. Pierce would have expired before that brilliant series of Mex-

ican revolutions had passed into history.

And yet Franklin Pierce, acting under the advice of Secretary Marcy, recognized in the course of about four months five separate revolutionary governments of Mexico, one after another. you tell me that you have got to go back to Grotius and the old writers on international law to find precepts to justify a situation

like that? I say it is nonsense.

The duty of this Government in respect to the revolutionary surroundings which we have had in Central and South America has been determined not by law written in Europe one hundred or one hundred and fifty years ago, but it has been determined year by year and step by step by what has occurred along our

own coasts on this hemisphere.

So much for that. Again, it is said that the President of the United States entered into a sort of conspiracy with certain persons, most of them disreputable, for the purpose of establishing

the new Government of Panama. I intend to speak about that, because I believe it is the most important question that there is in this discussion. Everything else is done, accomplished beyond revision or recall. Only debate remains—acrimonious disputation about the right or wrong, the credit or disgrace of the proceedings.

Mr. PATTERSON. Mr. President—

yield to the Senator from Colorado?

Mr. DOLLIVER Control

Mr. DOLLIVER. Certainly.
Mr. PATTERSON. I want to call the Senator's attention to
the fact that all the cases he has cited to the Senate in his speech up to this time have been cases in which the United States has recognized de facto governments where there has been a revolution of the government itself, and no case that he has cited is a case of secession or rebellion of the inhabitants of what is simply

a part of the country.

Mr. Polliver. That is very true, Mr. President.

Mr. PATTERSON. Just one moment. That is the distinction that has been made here by every Senator who has spoken in opposition to the treaty. It is the distinction that was made by President Roosevelt in his message to the Senate admitting, as he did, that he did not proceed in this case according to the rules of international law

Mr. DOLLIVER. Mr. President, what the Senator says is true. I have not been undertaking to dispose of this case of Panama upon these precedents. I have cited them for the pur-pose of escaping the burden laid upon the present Government of the United States by these precedents in respect to recognitions which have been cited by the senior Senator from Colorado [Mr.

Teller].

Mr. PATTERSON. Just one moment—

Mr. DOLLIVER. And I undertake to say that our situation here has created variations of the ancient rules of recognition which must be vindicated, not by the books, but by the uninter-

mr. PATTERSON. Mr. President—
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from Iowa yield to the Senator from Colorado?

Mr. DOLLIVER. With great pleasure.
Mr. PATTERSON. I want to say to the Senator from Iowa
that there is really no contention between himself and the opponents of this measure as to the rules of international law which he lays down. Nobody disputes where there is a revolution in a government by which some other party, or by which some other ruler, will take possession of that government, that both in Europe and in this country that new government may be recognized, and it may be recognized as often as revolutions of that kind occur.

So when we recognized the different governments in Mexico—five within a few months—they were all recognized under well-established principles of international law, observed both in Europe and in this country, and it is not American international law or an American law which the Senator has been citing. It is the

international law that is observed by all civilized nations.

Mr. DOLLIVER. Now, Mr. President, how does my friend from Colorado account for the fact that all the important nations of Europe, within an incredibly short space of time and before the organization of this State of Panama, have joined us in its

recognition?

Mr. PATTERSON. Simply because, Mr. President, the United States set the pattern. The United States has grown so strong and powerful, so many nations of Europe are anxious to acquire the favor of the United States, that they are apparently willing to follow in the footsteps of the United States when it is willing

to set the pace.

Mr. DOLLIVER. Mr. President, has it come, then, to this, that international law in Europe consists in following the blunders and crimes that are committed by the United States?

Mr. PATTERSON. I would suggest also to the Senator, if he will permit me, that European nations recognize the fact that it was the United States that made that new Government; that it depended upon the United States; that without the bayonets and guns of the United States it could not exist; that with them it

was absolutely impregnable, and they could not do otherwise.

Mr. DOLLIVER. Therefore they shut up their international law books and went in?

Mr. PATTERSON. That is precisely what they did.
Mr. DOLLIVER. I doubt that very much. I would rather
believe that they studied the situation—that they studied the history of this case, and coming to the conclusion that the United States had acted with wisdom, with prudence, and in strict accord with the law of nations, they gladly and cheerfully gave their consent to what had been done.

But I will go further. I claim that nobody can wisely and discreetly discuss this question who treats it altogether as a question of law. I hold that it is a question of fact, and if what I

say to-day has any value to anybody it will be from the effort I will make to point out some facts that went before the recognition of the little Republic of Panama.

My friend from Colorado asked a question here yesterday which nobody had the time to answer, because nobody desired to add to his labors and his troubles. He said: "Does anybody pretend that the Republic of Panama could have come into existence without the assistance of the United States or that it would last a minute if the naval forces of the United States were withdrawn?" Now that is a very practical question, and in my independ in Now, that is a very practical question, and in my judgment is strategic and decisive of this whole situation.

strategic and decisive of this whole situation.

I hold that this revolution in Panama is several years old. I hold that the sympathies of the whole world should have years ago been drawn to this unfortunate little Republic of Panama, and that the United States, instead of being subject to blame for taking that little community by the hand and helping it out of its troubles, is entitled to the applause not only of our own people, but of the people of the whole world.

I go further. I say that if the United States had kept out of Panama the Republic of Colombia would have lost that most precious of its possessions a good many years ago, and I do not besiden.

Panama the Republic of Colombia would have lost that most pre-cious of its possessions a good many years ago, and I do not hesi-tate to say here, without intending to speak a harsh word about the unfortunate people of the United States of Colombia, that the American people ought to be thankful that, after fifty years, the era of our partnership with the anarchy and misgovernment of the Colombian Republic has come to an end forever more in the Province of Panama. So that I hold this to be a question of fact rather than a question of law

My friend asks, "Would that Republic have existed if we had not helped it?" There is every reason to believe that it would.

I hold in my hand a religious review which was presented to me early in this discussion by the venerable Senator from Alabama [Mr. Morgan], whom I am sorry not to see in his seat just now. But his absence enables me to say of him a thing which I might be deterred somewhat from saying if he were present, and that is this:

He stands here in his old age one of the historic figures con-He stands here in his old age one of the historic figures connected with this canal controversy. He may not be the man who began the discussion, but for nearly a generation he has kept it up. No man has ever exhibited, within our knowledge, any such marvelous industry, energy, and sagacity as he has put into the argument for the Nicaraguan canal. Day and night he has labored, not with the assistance of others, but personally, often with his own pen writing these speeches which have become the chief part of the agitation which has resulted in unifying public sentiment in the United States in favor of the canal sentiment in the United States in favor of the canal.

I regret more than I can say that he was disappointed in his own personal ambition in respect to the route that was finally chosen. But that does not prevent me from adding that long after the little controversies of this hour are forgotten his name

after the little controversies of this hour are forgotten his name will be connected in immortal reputation with the isthmian canal, wherever it is built, by the people of the United States.

And so I pick up this quarterly review, printed at Nashville, July, 1903, in which he discusses the Colombian Government in its relation to the Republic of Panama. It is a long article, filled with the evidences which have become so familiar to us of his indefatigable industry and research. He shows that for more than a generation the State of Panama has been plucked, robbed, conversed, and prepaged by the so-called Government at Boords. oppressed, and wronged by the so-called Government at Bogota. shows that that institution for years has been a despotism, a

dictatorship, and an organized anarchy.

My friend, the Senator from Wisconsin [Mr. QUARLES], day before yesterday, pointed out from the reliable comments of an American minister and traveler in the United States of Colombia just what kind of an institution the Colombian Republic is and has been, and he was answered by the Senator from Colorado by saying that Mr. Scruggs had written about the Colombian Republic very much as a man would write if he confined the history of the United States to the race riots in the South and the railroad

the United States to the race riots in the South and the railroad mobs in Chicago a few years ago.

I do not believe that anybody can take up that book and rise from the perusal of it with any enthusiastic sympathy left on account of the misfortunes that have fallen upon the Colombian Government. Fortunately we know exactly what it is. We know first that it is a dictatorship. We know the number of constitutions it has had. We know that a constitution is brushed away at every turn in the political tide in Colombia. We know that its people have no participation whatever in the Government. We know that less than 2,000 men constitute the Government of Colombia, and that there intropoded in the capital at Borota. Colombia, and that, there intrenched in the capital at Bogota, they have been cheerfully consulting together as to the possibility of laying still further tribute upon the Treasury of the United

In 1900 a revolution broke out in Panama which lasted until practically the beginning of the present revolution. We have the history of that revolution. The Senator from Alabama [Mr.] the highway between the oceans.

And notwithstanding the fact that the United States by keep-the history of that revolution. The Senator from Alabama [Mr.]

Morgan] has preserved it in this magazine article. It lies in the records of the Navy Department and in the records of the State Department. We know exactly what it is. We know that for three long years the Colombia dictatorship tried to quell the revolution in Panama, and at the end of that time, by the friendly offices of the United States, were enabled, by bare good fortune, to compromise with it.

And when my friend asks me whether a revolution in Panama could have succeeded to-day, I say to him yes, and the only reason it has not succeeded for twenty years is because the Marine Corps and the Navy of the United States have been there, standing between the men fighting for their liberty and for their rights and

the Colombian dictatorship at Bogota.

So, it does not require a man even to hate the President of the United States; it does not require a man to have his system so poisoned that he unconsciously has more sympathy with a foreign country than he has with his own; it does not require any morbid state of anybody's mind to understand the present revolution in Panama. It was not needful that the President should stimple to the property of the United States. in Panama. It was not needful that the President should stimulate it. It was not needful that the Navy of the United States should participate in it. It was not needful that American residents there should help it along.

It is and for nearly a generation has been the continual and almost helpless expression of the effort of that unfortunate community to be free from the oppression which has its headquarters at the capital of the Colombian Republic.

How was this war against Panagas, which because 1900 and

How was this war against Panama, which began in 1900, conducted? The Senator from Alabama preserves here a military decree levying taxes to carry it on, a decree which he declares is the most cruel act ever performed in the name of a Christian government.

Their system of taxation appears to be simple. My friend the Senator from Wisconsin explained it, reading from a book writ-ten by an old minister of ours at Bogota, Mr. Scruggs. He was waived aside because it was not official. Here is the official de-

waived aside because it was not official. Here is the official decree levying taxes upon the Colombian people to conduct their war against the old revolution of 1900 on the Isthmus of Panama. It consists of sending out gentlemen with a list of people in all the cities and towns and villages in the country, with an estimate of their wealth, and a proposition that one shall pay \$500, another \$1,000, another \$5,000, and another \$10,000, 15 per cent of which shall accrue to the gentleman who collects it, and if there is any hesitation about it he is directed to confiscate and destroy the property of the people on the Isthmus of Panama.

the property of the people on the Isthmus of Panama.

Our naval officers report that in obedience to that decree such of the well-to-do citizens of Panama who were able to get away

of the well-to-do citizens of Panama who were able to get away fled to ships of foreign countries, and in that way were willing to sacrifice their entire property rather than submit to a despotism and a tyranny like that. And yet, men stand up here by the day reading international law, and inviting people to sympathize with the misfortunes that have come upon the Republic of Colombia. I say again that such little sympathies as I have to spare are with that struggling community along the line of our right of way on the Isthmus of Panama, who for more than a generation have suffered the evils of bad government, and ever since its accession to the territory of the Republic of Colombia have been entitled to the sympathy and the good will of every civilized government in the world. ernment in the world.

Was the Republic of Colombia able to overcome that insurrection? This record indicates that it was not. Let me read from the reports made from time to time by our naval officers, and especially the pathetic plea directed to the commander of our little fleet there, made by General Herrera, who was the commander

of the insurrectionary army of Panama:

of the insurrectionary army of Panama:

In a dispatch of March 22, 1902, Captain Reisinger writes:

"There is another element in this matter that may need consideration before anything else, and that is the turbulent tendency of the troops which have been brought here recently. These men have been operating in the south and in open country mainly, and the massing of them in the city causes considerable apprehension.

"I am informed upon good authority that the Government is getting very short of money, and unless the troops are paid there will be trouble, because the Government maintains no commissary, but pays the troops well, and they must furnish their own food."

September 15 he writes:

"September 15 he writes:

"September 10. Two thousand men surrendered to revolutionists at Agua Dulce on August 30. Revolutionists reported advancing near Panama.

"September 11. Government has occupied portion of land belonging to canal company's hospital, anticipating attack immediately, at Panama. This ship can not land force sufficient for the protection of American interests."

Thus the civil war razed, destroving life and property on the

Thus the civil war raged, destroying life and property on the Isthmus and subjecting the Government of the United States to a continuous and increasing burden in keeping the transit across the Isthmus free from obstruction. In the very nature of the case civil war in Panama means the occupation of the line of transit, since practically all the people of the Isthmus live along

ment what little military strength it had in Panama and Colon, yet the autumn of 1902 found the Government at Bogota imploring the United States to use its good offices to bring the insurrection to an end, holding out as an inducement to our Government the consideration that until peace was secured no progress could be made with the canal negotiations. This was shown by the following dispatch of our minister at Bogota:

BOGOTA, September 11, 1902.

BOGOTA, September 11, 1902.

Minister for foreign affairs desires me to inform you that his Government would appreciate your good offices to bring about peace in the country, especially on the Isthmus, where the revolution is strong. This Government has no new terms to offer, but thinks your good offices may avail to induce revolutionists to accept terms heretofore offered, and thus prevent otherwise inevitable heavy loss of life. Minister for foreign affairs added: Not only is the question of humanity involved, but so long as the war lasts Congress will not be convened, and therefore the continuance of war will delay submission of the canal matter to Congress.

Minister for foreign affairs emphasized this last point as being well to present to your consideration.

It is no idle opinion of mine that but for the presence of the United States ships that revolution would have been successful. It is confirmed by the following report, made on the 13th of October, 1902, to the Secretary of the Navy by Admiral Casey:

ber, 1902, to the Secretary of the Navy by Admiral Casey:

I inclose a clipping from the Panama Star and Herald of October 12, 1902.

In this connection I take occasion to inform the Department that I have refrained, as far as possible, from in any way embarrassing the officials of the Colombian Government, except so far as is absolutely necessary in my judgment to maintain uninterrupted and unembarrassed transit and to prevent the line of transit from being converted into a theater of hostilities. I shall decide such questions as the conditions may change from day to day, and when in doubt will consult the Department.

Further, in this connection. I have to inform the Department that I have been unable to learn of any effort on the part of the Colombian Government to crush the revolution in this district just prior to or since my arrival at Panama. On the other hand, it is my opinion that if it were not for the restraining influence of our naval force here Panama would fall an easy victim to the combined land forces and gunboats of the insurgents.

To which he added, on October 20, the following:

To which he added, on October 20, the following:

Judging from conditions now existing and from information I am able to obtain, there seems little prospect of a speedy termination of this strife. Panama and Colon are practically besieged; troops at neither place dare to go beyond their intrenchments. I firmly believe if our men were removed from shore the insurgents would be in Panama in forty-eight hours. I think the Government, therefore, is very willing that they should remain, making occasional mild objections, which really it does not mean shall be taken seriously. occasional mild objections, which reany is used seriously.

Greathings were expected on Perdomo's arrival; but as he came attended only by numerous generals, of whom there were apparently already a surfeit in the city, I fail to see how he can accomplish much.

It will thus be seen that upon the plea of the Colombian Government, itself entirely helpless to deal with the revolution, this civil strife was brought to an end by the friendly intervention of

the United States for a peaceful settlement.

It will be interesting in this connection to read the pathetic plea of the insurgent commander, General Herrera, justifying the motives of his countrymen and uniting in the wish for peace.

The war with us-

He wrote to Admiral Casey—
Is only an act of instinctive and vehement despair. It is a claim for life such as can not be considered to exist in Colombia under a government arbitrary in politics, economically, and in civil matters. Hence the constant desire of the revolutionists to lay down their arms as soon as they can secure something republican, something that they may secure for the country, something that they may draw the country for the state of oppression in all respects, which rendered existence intolerable and dark the future of the country.

spects, which rendered existence intolerable and dark the future of the country.

For these reasons the revolutionists are ready to die, since what is suggested as peace is simply the replacing of the white for the red terror. Gladly and with enthusiasm did I receive and answer your esteemed communication of the 7th instant. In the mediation of yourself and of the United States I saw a prospect of true peace which might give forth the harmony of interests and the security of rights. But such a peace is not pleasing to our contenders; their ideal exists in the imprisonment of which the Cæsars gave the example.

On the other hand, the mediation of the United States was for me the symptom and the proof of its respectful disposition respecting the sovereignty of the Colombian nation in the Isthmus of Panama, since in that manner it did away with any excuse or any justification for maintaining American troops on the line.

The so-called Government of Colombia through its obstinacy has rendered itself responsible before the nation for the officious guardianship which—why should I hide it?—hurts the feelings of those who know our rights, our duties, and our responsibilities as a sovereign and independent nation.

If, notwithstanding the incident I have mentioned, you should think the conference useful, I am ready to come to an honorable and just arrangement. I accept the mediation of yourself and the United States with full confidence and gratefully.

From other points of view and purposes the conference could fix the basis which might insure the construction of the canal by the United States, which

and gratefully.

From other points of view and purposes the conference could fix the basis which might insure the construction of the canal by the United States, which is the universal desire of Colombians, a wish that is condensed into a national interest. If the private parties who have contributed toward your very generous mediation conclude that it is necessary to come to an understanding with me, I inform them that Aguadulce is my general quarters and the seat of the director of the war.

I am, etc.,

It thus appears that in contemplating a cessation of that insurrection by a treaty of peace the thing about which the whole transaction revolved was the isthmian canal, which he says has not only been the long-time aspiration of the isthmian people, "but had been condensed into their chief national interest." And so under the direction of our Navy the insurrection of 1900, which

had raged in that Isthmus for a period of more than two years, was brought to an end, not by its defeat, but by a treaty of peace entered into for the sake of the canal, at the very moment of vic-

ontered into for the sake of the canal, at the very models of tory.

I have here the treaty, preserved in this article by the Senator from Alabama [Mr. Morgan], and among the terms by which they agreed to stop hostilities and let the insurrection die was a proposal that the Colombian Government should immediately take up and dispose of the question of the isthmian canal. So they did. Not only without the help of the United States, but with our Government unfortunately in practical alliance with their enemies, and doing infinitely more to keep their victory away from them than the Colombian army had been able to do.

They did successfully maintain at the Isthmus of Panama an

They did successfully maintain at the Isthmus of Panama an effective rebellion, lasting more than two years, which was finally compromised under the auspices of the United States by an agreement to immediately facilitate negotiations for the canal. The Government of Colombia is in vastly worse shape now than

it was at the end of that rebellion; poorer in money and other

military resources.

So that when Senators offer the opinion that without the countenance of the United States the new Panama Government could not stand up and defend itself in its own territory, I put in evidence the report made by Lieutenant-Commander Culver to the Secretary of the Navy on December 13, 1902.

U. S. S. BANCROFT, COLON, COLOMBIA, December 13, 1902.

U. S. S. BANCROFT, COLON, COLOMBIA, December 13, 1902.

SIR: I have the honor to report as follows regarding an interview I had yesterday at Panama with Consul-General Gudger:

The consul-general states that political disturbances on the Isthmus can now be regarded as positively over for many months to come. All arms have been surrendered by the insurgents, also their gunboats; and it would take a long time for them to reorganize and reequip, even were they disposed to start another revolution. About 1,000 insurgents are in Panama fraternizing with their late foes—the Government forces.

For the first time in two years the military bands are now permitted to play in the public squares at night, and the people are allowed to be in the streets at all hours.

The Government forces are being slowly disbanded. Forty per cent of them are boys from nine to fifteen years of age. They are stationed at various posts along the route of the railroad, are filthy in person and dress and poorly clad, and appear like a rabble of childrem.

The consul-general states that, in his opinion, there is not the slightest need of a Government vessel either at Panama or Colon at this time, nor will there be for a long time to come. United States Consul Malmros, at Colon, is of the same opinion.

Very respectfully,

Lieutenant-Commander, United States Navy, Commanding.

The Secretary of the Navy.

The SECRETARY OF THE NAVY.

Mr. President, there is a picture of military glory truly admirable. Yet this rabble of children was a band of military heroes compared to the garrisons which represented the sover-eignty of the Colombian Government last November, when the citizens of Panama, without a dissenting voice, declared their in-dependence, and wound up the military affairs of Colombia on the Isthmus of Panama with a few thousand dollars of Mexican

Now, I say that it does not require any very large knowledge of what has been going on down there for the last twenty years to enable anybody to see that they had their own motives and their own reasons for their insurrection. So they all rejoiced when the President of the United States finally succeeded in getting the Hay-Herran negotiations through. They watched with solicitude

Hay-Herran negotiations through. They watched with solicitude every step of the procedure at Bogota.

The testimony which has been preserved here in the correspondence of the Secretary of State indicates that their wisest men there knew exactly what would be the result if the negotiations failed. Men talk to me about the President of the United States stirring up this insurrection! The United States, with all its splendid power, could not have done a thing to stop that insurrection. The United States had intervened to prevent their success only one year before. They agreed to stop fighting when they were almost within reach of the city of Panama, on condition that the canal negotiation should be taken up. They laid down their arms. down their arms.

They were not confronted by a very formidable adversary. I do not want to speak unkindly of the Colombian people and of the Colombian Government, not because I feel exactly right about them, but because it can do no good to continue irritations that have no material significance from this time forward in this dis-

have no material significance from this time forward in this discussion. I do not intend to go into the history of that negotiation at Bogota, but I will go into it far enough to say a word about our young minister at that capital.

I know him well; an Illinois boy, without particular experience in diplomacy, tossed by the accidents of our politics into a position which seemed to be insignificant, but which almost immediately became one of the points of interest under the spot light of the world's attention, and who in the midst of new duties and difficult surroundings has added a bright chapter to the history of American surroundings has added a bright chapter to the history of American diplomacy and American statesmanship. I will say that in consideration for him.

But every step of that negotiation indicates what was going on at Bogota. At first nobody there cared anything about it. Nothing was said on the streets or about the halls of Congress or anywhere else. Nobody took any interest in it until these gentlemen in charge of that Government, these amiable people who are soliciting the sympathy of the United States against the people of Panama and that stricken Isthmus which connects two continents, until these cheerful politicians at Bogota looked over the

They said, "Here seems to be \$10,000,000 coming to us." Every They said, "Here seems to be \$10,000,000 coming to us." Every one of them knew that it was a gratuity. If they are enlightened, and everybody gives them the credit for that, they must have known that there is not a civilized community in the world which, having been approached by people able to put up the money for building a public work like that, would not cheerfully have granted them the right of way practically without condition. They said, "Here are a people who evidently have plenty of money. They offer us ten millions. A people who will offer us \$10,000,000 in so short a time, if we delay this matter, will grow desperate and offer us more."

us \$10,000,000 in so short a time, if we delay this matter, will grow desperate and offer us more."

They knew more about the canal situation than our friends on the other side of the Chamber appear to know. They had a dim kind of opinion that so long as it was only 49 miles across there and 183 miles across up at Nicaragua the "reasonable time" suggested by law would probably be not a week or a month, but may be years before the United States would turn away from that opportunity to build this public work.

So they said, "Having offered us ten millions, we will wait; maybe they will offer us \$15,000,000. Having offered us \$250,000 as an annuity for the use of this territory, maybe they will offer us \$300,000."

They saw a big item—\$40,000,000—to go to the New Panama

They saw a big item—\$40,000,000—to go to the New Panama Canal Company. The first article of the treaty arranged for their giving their consent to the canal company selling its own property and concession to us

and concession to us.

There is not a civilized country in the world which would not have gladly paid a bonus to have the concession transferred from that worthless and bankrupt concern to a responsible party, except these politicians at Bogota. But they saw the size of those figures—\$40,000,000—and they said, "There are only 2,000 of us, and that is a large sum of money. We are perfectly willing that they should sell this concession to the United States, but we want a little time before it is done to enter into some quiet negotiations with the owners of the Panama Canal franchise."

So they deliberately proceeded to hold up this treaty in order

So they deliberately proceeded to hold up this treaty in order that they might get a chance to steal a portion of the \$40,000,000 which the Government of the United States had already appropriated to pay for the property and franchises of the New Panama Canal Company.

I will not go into that further, except to say that no intelligent American can read this correspondence without seeing that if that canal treaty failed at Bogota the Colombian Government was on the eve of an insurrection, the like of which had never occurred in the whole stormy history of that Republic. That word was spoken on the floor of the Congress, and just before the treaty was rejected the helpless and superannuated old dictator of the Colombian Colom bian Republic, with feeble hand, appointed Senator Obaldia as governor of Panama, and sent him down there in that capacity.

That brave friend of the Isthmian canal and that patriotic and home-loving citizen of Panama said publicly in the Colombian Congress that if he went down there as governor of Panama and that Congress defeated the treaty he would himself head an insurrection for the independence of the Republic of Panama.

So I can not understand what is the motive which prompts men to stand here and ask if the President of the United States is not at the bottom of this insurrection. I have heard Senators—and I confess I heard it with pain—disparage the official report of Commander Hubbard and what he had done on the Isthmus of Panama.

Mr. TELLER. Mr. President—

The DEFINITION of temporary Does the Senator from Laws

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from Iowa yield to the Senator from Colorado?

Mr. DOLLIVER. With great pleasure.

Mr. TELLER. I suppose the Senator refers to what I said yesterday a florren?

terday afternoon?

Mr. DOLLIVER. I have an indirect reference to it.

Mr. TELLER. I merely called attention to the fact that the statement was not made by Commander Hubbard, who stated distinctly that the mayor had told him. Commander Hubbard distinctly that the mayor had told him. never even gave it his sanction. He said: "The prefect of the

town told me this was the fact."

Mr. DOLLIVER. He gave it this much sanction, that he advised the American women and children in that town to congregate at the railroad station, where they could have military defense; and he says also that foreign residents took advantage of that rumor to retire to convenient warships of Great Britain and other countries which had convergented in that harbor. other countries which had congregated in that harbor.

Mr. TELLER. The Senator from Iowa is a lawyer. He knows nat is no proof. It was a wise thing probably for the people at that is no proof. that time to do.

Mr. DOLLIVER. Quite.
Mr. TELLER. But it is no proof that the Colombian general made any such threat, and his subsequent conduct in calling upon Hubbard the same afternoon, a few hours afterwards, shows

there was probably nothing in it.

Mr. DOLLIVER. I think his subsequent conduct was probably affected by Hubbard's conduct,

Mr. TELLER. All I want to say is that if Commander Hubbard had made report that that was the fact, I should not have questioned it.

Mr. DOLLIVER. I am very glad to hear the Senator say that, for if there is one thing in which we should all take a national pride it is the fidelity and modesty and accuracy with which these naval officers of ours make reports to the Department of what

they have done and what they contemplate doing.

I am glad if I misunderstood the honorable Senator from Colorado in throwing suspicion upon an official report of a man who, if this business which he did at Panama illustrates his ability, will rank among the efficient public servants in the Navy of the

United States.

United States.

We have had within the last few years two of our naval officers who may never become famous, but who, in my judgment, rendered incalculable service to the country. One of them was Admiral Kempff, the man who stood off the coast of China when every nation in the world was deriding him, some of them even calling him a coward, because he would not go into action with other nations and bombard the city of Tientsin, in China, and solemnly, in the fear of God and in the service of his country, said he would not and could not because the traditions of the United States forbade any foreign alliance and because he would United States forbade any foreign alliance, and because he would not bombard the coast of a country with which we were at peace.

The other case is that of this young commander of the Nashville, under trying circumstances there, with men, women, and children flying from what they supposed to be danger, gathering together the American residents in the old stone depot, at the outskirts of the city, putting it in a state of military defense, and disembarking forty-two men, not marines, because the Secretary of the Navy tells me he had only a few marine guards on his ship, but disembarking forty-two blue-jackets of the Navy to go from their ship, their home on the water, and to stand between our people and the injuries and losses that might have befallen that community. That I say, whether it is long remembered or not, will some day be discovered by the historian to be one of the bright pages in the glorious record of the little Navy of the United States. The other case is that of this young commander of the Nash-

It is not a very big achievement. It is not big enough to justify my friend the Senator from Nevada [Mr. Newlands] in tify my friend the Senator from Nevada [Mr. Newlands] in describing it as a state of war, in describing us as going to war with the friendly State and the people of Colombia. I confess I was a good deal perplexed the other day to find that distinguished Senator saying here that we were at war or had been at war with the Republic of Colombia. He seemed to be one of the few well-advised people who understood what was going on there.

I have great respect and admiration for his sagacity, but I am not able, even now, to understand how he discovered that we were at war with Colombia, when the Colombian Government itself never seemed to have heard of it, but on the contrary has constantly maintained its peaceful legation at our capital. Yet that is the only act looking like war which occurred there.

Men say the President of the United States issued orders which, if they had ever been received and had ever been acted upon, would have constituted a violation of the treaty and an act of war.

rould have constituted a violation of the treaty and an act of war.

would have constituted a violation of the treaty and an act of war.

I do not believe it.

Mr. NEWLANDS. Mr. President—

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from Iowa yield to the Senator from Nevada?

Mr. DOLLIVER. With very great pleasure.

Mr. NEWLANDS. Does the Senator insist that the instructions given to the property of the senator in that the instructions of the senator in the s

tions given to our naval commanders in those waters were not

acted upon?

Mr. DOLLIVER. The only action I find recorded is this action of the commander of the Nashville in protecting the lives and property of American citizens in Panama. The other action seems to have been entirely commercial or financial.

Mr. NEWLANDS. Does the Senator deny that Admiral Coghland in forces that he had

lan informed the commander of the Colombian forces that he had lan informed the commander of the Colombian forces that he had been instructed by the United States Government not to permit the landing of Colombian forces upon her own soil?

Mr. DOLLIVER. My impression is that that occurred after the recognition of the Republic of Panama. But I am here to defend it wherever and whenever it occurred.

I am not one of those who apologize for any item in this transaction. I claim that the present Secretary of State knows as

much about international law as any man in this Chamber, on

I claim that his heart is filled with as high a form of patriotism as belongs to any man in this Chamber on either side, and if I was called upon to take the opinion of John Hay and put it against the opinion even of the most learned masters of the law here, I would on such a question, without fear or doubt, take the opinion of the Secretary of State.

So I do not stand here to apologize for one minute either for any order that was issued or for any act that was done in Panama.

I hold that our treaty relations with the Colombian Government made it absolutely essential for us to do what we have been doing.

And that brings me to discuss a question which I intend to deal with with very great brevity, unless I find myself a good deal less master of my views on it than I had expected—the treaty of 1846.

I took a very great interest in the discussion of that question by the learned Senator from Colorado yesterday. I could not help but admire the industry and legal wisdom and learning which he had brought to that discussion, and yet I am satisfied from all I can find out that his speech and his discussion of the treaty of 1846 were absolutely wide of the mark. He discussed it as if it were a sort of treaty of commercial reciprocity, and as if this canal business were an obscure incident in it, which had unfortunately become prominent afterwards.

I do not so read the history of that transaction. I maintain that the treaty of 1846 was a canal treaty, and nothing else of importance. It had other items in it. It did provide for mutual reciprocal concessions in the mater of customs duties, etc. But the thing about that treaty which gave it significance and importance in the eye of our Government, and in the eye of the Government of Colombia was the canal

of Colombia, was the canal.

It was only a dream then. It has been a mere vision for generations. In 1835 the Senate had taken action looking toward it, erations. In 1839 the Senate had taken action looking toward 11, and in 1839 the House had acted, on the request of New York merchants upon the subject, but it was a mere dream of the future, and the far-sighted men whose business, as statesmen, it was to deal not with the passing hour, but with all the centuries that are to come, looked forward to the absolute necessity of that canal.

It was at a time when our coasts had been enlarged by the settlement of California and its acquisition from Mexico, and the statesmen of that period saw that it was a part of the national life of the American people to find a better transit through the Isthmus of Panama. If anyone will take up the history of the negotiation of 1846 as a mere reciprocal commercial agreement, he misses altogether the substance and the motive of that transaction.

action.

It was the hope and promise of the canal inspiring both the statesmen of New Granada and the statesmen of the United States which brought that negotiation into existence.

I do not intend to quote the diplomatic correspondence, though I have it upon my desk. The treaty had thirty-five articles in it. The relative importance of those articles is well exhibited by President Polk's message, February 15, 1847, transmitting the treaty to the Senate. treaty to the Senate.

It is as follows, and nobody can read it without perceiving the motive and influence leading up to it:

To the Senate of the United States:

motive and influence leading up to it:

To the Senate of the United States:

I transmit to the Senate, for their advice with regard to its ratification, "a general ireaty of peace, amity, navigation, and commerce between the United States of America and the Republic of New Granada," concluded at Bogota on the 12th December last, by Benjamin A. Bidlack, chargé d'affaires of the United States, on their part, and by Manuel Maria Mallarino, secretary of state and foreign relations, on the part of that Republic.

It will be perceived by the thirty-fifth article of this treaty that New Granada proposes to guarantee to the Government and citizens of the United States the right of passage across the Isthmus of Panama, over the natural roads, and over any canal or railroad which may be constructed to unite the two seas, on condition that the United States shall make a similar guaranty to New Granada of the neutrality of this portion of her territory and her sovereignty over the same.

The reasons which caused the insertion of this important stipulation in the treaty will be fully made known to the Senate by the accompanying documents. From these it will appear that our charge d'affaires acted, in this particular, upon his own responsibility, and without instructions. Under such circumstances it became my duty to decide whether I would submit the treaty to the Senate; and, after mature consideration, I have determined to adopt this course.

The importance of this concession to the commercial and political interests of the United States can not easily be overrated. The route by the Isthmus of Panama is the shortest between the two oceans, and, from the information herewith communicated, it would seem to be the most practicable for a railroad or canal.

The vast advantages to our commerce which would result from such accommunication, not only with the west coast of America, but with Asia and the islands of the Pacific, are too obvious to require any detail. Such a passage would relieve us from a long and dangerous navigati

ther nations, and particularly with the governments of Central America and New Granada, for the purpose of effectually protecting, by suitable treaty stipulations with them, such individuals or companies as may undertake to open a communication between the Atlantic and Pacific oceans by the construction of a ship canal across the Isthmus which connects North and South America, and of securing forever, by such stipulations, the free and equal right of navigating such canal to all such nations, on the payment of may engage in such undertaking and complete the work."

No person can be more deeply sensible than myself of the danger of entangling alliances with any foreign nation. That we should avoid such alliances has become a maxim of our policy, consecrated by the most venerated names which adorn our history and sanctioned by the unanimous voice of the American people. Our own experience has taught us the wisdom of this most one of the contract of the state of the contract of

WASHINGTON, February 10, 1847.

Here was a feeble state, including within its territory what is now known as Panama. It was a feeble state. The nations of Europe were looking toward that Isthmus to despoil it. The French people especially and the English had the eyes of avarice and commercial foresight upon that strategic point on the American Continent, and the people of New Granada felt that if they could get in some way under the shadow of the American Republic they would be enabled to preserve the integrity of their territory and to peacefully work out their destiny.

That was before the age of blackmail and commercial degradation which has since fallen upon that unhappy community

They were farsighted men, and fortunately we had farsighted men here. When Mr. Polk sent to the Senate the treaty of 1846 he said hardly a word about anything except this dream of the inthmian canel isthmian canal

For that the Government of the United States undertook to protect the integrity and the sovereignty of the State of New Gra-nada, and in exchange for that the State of New Granada undertook to guarantee by a solemn public grant to the United States, not a mere right of way, not a mere bypath across those mountains to be kept free and open, but they guaranteed the right of free and uninterrupted transit, not only by the means that were then known, but by all the means that were suggested by the hopes of the world over that Isthmus.

Now, I hold that as a question of law my honorable friend from Wisconsin [Mr. Olyan Rel], who world here the other day, made and

Wisconsin [Mr. Quarles], who spoke here the other day, made an

absolute demonstration that whatever rights New Granada had by that treaty went by inheritance to the State of Colombia; that whatever rights Colombia had by that treaty have now gone by inheritance to the State of Panama, and that we are bound by that treaty to do for the new little Republic of Panama exactly what we proposed fifty years ago to do first for New Granada and after that for the United States of Colombia.

I hold, further, following closely what I consider as the unanswerable argument of the Senator from Wisconsin, that that grant was in its very nature a servitude upon the sovereignty and rights of the State of New Granada. It was more than a right of way. It was a guaranty that the United States should have rights along that canal route, which in their very nature were a qualification of the complete sovereignty of the Republic of New Granada.

And that is no statement of imaginary international law. My friend from Colorado [Mr. Patterson] the other day undertook to say that when the President of the United States described our rights in New Granada and in Colombia and afterwards in the State of Panama as a property right "carved out of the sov-ereignty" of these States, he not only spoke words of nonsense, but that he was the only living man that ever thought of such a proposition.

It is, however, a well-established proposition of international law that there is such a thing as a grant of this character, constituting a property right carved out of the sovereignty of the state that makes the grant. I have here one of the ablest works, I think, there is on international law, which expressly describes that situation. So the President of the United States was not either going beyond precedent or outside of the authority of the law when he referred to our rights on that Isthmus as a property right carved out of the sovereignty of Colombia.

From their very nature and situation the right to use and enjoy certain classes of state property depends exclusively upon municipal law, while for a like reason the right to use and enjoy certain other classes depends entirely upon international law. A state may limit or qualify its sovereignty and jurisdiction over its territorial property by permitting a foreign state to perform within its bounds certain acts otherwise prohibited, or by surrendering the right to exercise certain parts of its domestic jurisdiction as a protection to others. Restrictions thus imposed upon the sovereignty of a state are known as servitudes, which may be either positive or negative.

Mr. CULLOM. What does the Senator read from?
Mr. DOLLIVER. From Taylor's International Public Law, chapter 3, page 263. That is not only true now, but it was true at the time of this transaction, and I have an idea that Franklin Pierce, who has been described here as not a very great man, had heard something of that carving out of the sovereignty of Colombia and adding it to the sovereignty of the United States

If he did not have that in his mind, it is a little difficult to find out what he meant in this message of his of May 16, 1856, when, after having described the intolerable situation which would be created if both the transit at Nicaragua and the transit at Panama were stopped up, he used the words which I shall read. He has already described the interruption of the transit of Nicaragua on account of the Walker insurrection down there. He says:

account of the Walker insurrection down there. He says:

Further than this, the documents communicated show that, while the interoceanic transit by way of Nicaragna is cut off, disturbances at Panama have
occurred to obstruct, temporarily at least, that by the way of New Granada,
involving the sacrifice of the lives and property of citizens of the United
States. A special commissioner has been dispatched to Panama to investigate the facts of this occurrence, with a view particularly to the redress of
parties aggrieved. But measures of another class will be demanded for the
future security of interoceanic communications by this as by the other
routes of the Isthmus.

Then follows a sentence which I have no doubt the President of the United States had in his mind when he spoke of our rights there as having been carved out of the sovereignty of Colombia. He says:

It would be difficult to suggest a single object of interest, external or internal, more important to the United States than the maintenance of free communication, by land and sea, between the Atlantic and Pacific States and Territories of the Union. It is a material element of the intional integrity and sovereignty.

How did it become a part of the sovereignty of the United States to have free access from the Atlantic to the Pacific Ocean across the Isthmus of Panama? It either arose by natural right, which has been discussed here in a dim way under the name of international eminent domain, or it arose by this treaty.

I have made such an examination of the documents that accompanied this negotiation and of the history of those times as to make my own mind clear that it was the intention of that small, struggling, feeble little community of New Granada of 1846, as a price for the guaranty of its integrity against foreign aggression, to give to the United States this grant, which is in the nature of a servitude guaranteed to the use of the commercial world.

That leads me to say that the President of the United States has taken no action in connection with this treaty which is not war-It is in vain for anybody to read authorities, it is in vain even for a man with such profound learning as the junior Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. Lodge] to array here, as he has so ably done, the whole line of legal precepts and authorities upon this question. It is a question that will be decided now, as it al-

this question. It is a question that will be decided now, as it always has been decided, upon the history of the United States.

Somebody says that the Government of the United States never had any right to do anything in the Isthmus of Panama without the request of the Government of Colombia. I deny it. No such construction of that treaty can be made. If that were a proper construction of the treaty, the whole history of the United States in respect to it there has been a violation of that provision of the treaty.

To my mind it is incredible that with this enormous interest at stake the United States should have been called upon by that stake the United States should have been called upon by that treaty to stand idly by and see life and property and commerce destroyed without intervening, waiting for the request of the Colombian dictator and relying for reparation upon an action in damages against that bankrupt, helpless political institution. I deny that it has any sense in it, and I deny that it accords with the history of the people of the United States.

If it did, what did President Buchanan mean when he came to Congress and asked the authority of Congress to take an army to

Congress and asked the authority of Congress to take an army to the Isthmus of Panama whenever we needed one there? If he had no right to use it except at the request of the insurrectionary peo-ples inhabiting that neighborhood, what did he mean when he asked Congress to give him an express authority to take the Army of the United States down there and occupy the Isthmus of Pan-

of the United States down there and occupy the Islandas of Tahama for the purpose of protecting our property?

I say, then, Mr. President, that we have in that Isthmus, and have had for fifty years, a property right carved out of the sovereignty first of New Granada, and then of Colombia, and now of the State of Panama that warrants every order the President of the United States has issued and every act that has been done the United States has issued and every act that has been done either by our bluejackets or by our marines in either harbor of the Isthmus of Panama.

I do not propose to stand here one minute apologizing either for what has been written down on paper or what has been done by the gallant officers and seamen and marines of our little fleet down there at Colon and at Panama. I have got to a point where I propose to stop apologizing for the Government of my own country in order to add to the comfort and solace the feelings of people who reside in foreign countries.

I have reached a point in my patriotism where I propose to stand with the United States and let the other peoples of the world take care of their own governments. Without intending to irritate or disparage anybody, I say to my brethren upon the other side of this Chamber that there is nothing to be made politically by embarassing the movements of the Government of the United States in these great transactions which involve its relations with foreign countries. tions with foreign countries.

I dismiss, as the President of the United States very properly dismissed, with contempt the proposition that the Government of the United States is capable of any untoward, underground intrigue in connection with this great business. I say that the whole history of it is written. It is a history of anarchy and despotism for forty years upon the Isthmus of Panama. If there was no other law of the United States or of nations that justified our conduct I would suggest the original of a law which more our conduct, I would suggest the existence of a law which more than once has directed the history of this world, and that is the law of exhausted patience

The time had come and had long been passed when the United States could any longer afford to be mixed up in an attitude hostile to that little community huddled along the Panama Railroad, fighting for its liberty and longing for the time when it might enjoy the advantages in its commerce and its business which must come from the interoceanic canal. I rejoice that after all these years the time came when with honor and with dignity and with dispatch the Government of the United States could wash its hands of that despotism, could put an end to its alliance with that anarchy, could bid an affectionate good-by to that cunning dictatorship at Bogota, and extend for the first time in its history the right hand of fellowship and defense to the population of the Isthmus of Panama.

For the first time in all this long controversy the canal is in sight. I hope our old friend the senior Senator from Alabama [Mr. Morgan] will live to see it finished, to see the great enterprise to which he has devoted his life consummated in the construction of this public work. It ought to be built upon the sea level. I predict that before we are fully at work upon that canal the progress of electrical science will devise measures to put the canal through upon the sea level at an expense infinitely less than any

of the practical engineers of our day even dream of.

It will be built, owned by the United States, defended by the United States, undisturbed by the anarchy at Bogota, in the midst of a grateful, friendly community in sympathy with our interests and with our purposes, enjoying at last the realization of their hopes and of their ambitions. It will make secure the

fame of many who have given it help in times when it needed help. It will preserve the reputation of statesmen of both Houses of Congress of all parties and all sections who have looked forward to its consummation. Foremost among them will be the Senator from Alabama, and I hope that his years may be length-

ened to see that day.

But among all the statesmen whose names are honorably connected with this superbenterprise there is one-brave, direct, and manly in his life before he entered upon the duties of President; brave, direct, and manly still under the burdens of that great office—for whom above all others history will reserve, after these noises are all silent, its choicest benediction, "Well done, thou good and faithful servant of civilization." [Applause in the gal-

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Applause is not permitted in

the galleries

Mr. TELLER. Mr. President, before the Senator from Iowa leaves the Chamber I wish to call his attention to a statement he made. I will wait until he has received the congratulations of his friends on his speech.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senate will be in order.

Mr. TELLER. I should like to have the attention of the Senate.

tor from Iowa for a few moments. The Senator states that this

treaty is a canal treaty and nothing else, or words to that effect.
Mr. TILLMAN. The treaty of 1846?
Mr. TELLER. I am speaking of the treaty of 1846. I will not assume that the Senator from Iowa has not read the treaty. Of

course I know he has.

Mr. DOLLIVER. If the Senator will pardon me, I did not undertake to say that it was a canal treaty and nothing else. I and ertake to say that it was a canal treaty and nothing else. I said it was a very long treaty, containing much matter, but that the thing about it which gave it significance, and practically the only thing referred to in President Polk's message sending it to the Senate for ratification, was this canal.

Mr. TELLER. Oh, Mr. President, the Senator is mistaken. He can not have read Polk's message either. When President Polk sent in his message he did not put it on the ground of a canal at all if the Senator will president me but Polk's message.

canal at all, if the Senator will pardon me, but Polk's message, he will see, put it on concessions made to us with reference to the introduction of goods into Colombia. I ask some Senator to turn

Mr. DOLLIVER. If the Senator will permit me, Mr. Polk says:

Referring to the guaranty of the neutrality of the territory-

Besides, such a guaranty is almost indispensable to the construction of a railroad or canal across the territory. Neither sovereign states nor individuals would expend their capital in the construction of these expensive works without some such security for their investments.

Mr. TELLER. I have not denied that, but that does not make good the statement of the Senator that this was a canal treaty. I wish that my colleague [Mr. Patterson], who has the message before him, would read what he said as to the other points in this

Mr. PATTERSON. President Polk says:

The vast advantages to our commerce which would result from such a communication, not only with the west coast of America, but with Asia and the islands of the Pacific, are too obvious to require any detail. Such a passage would relieve us from a long and dangerous navigation of more than 9,600 miles around Cape Horn, and render our communication with our own possessions on the northwest coast of America comparatively easy and speedy.

Then he continues:

The guaranty of the sovereignty of New Granada over the Isthmus is a natural consequence of the guaranty of its neutrality, and there does not seem to be any other practicable mode of securing the neutrality of this territory.

This treaty removes the heavy discriminating duties against us in the ports of New Granada, which have nearly destroyed our commerce and navigation with that Republic, and which we have been in vain endeavoring to abolish for the last twenty years.

Mr. TELLER. The papers submitted with the treaty will show that the practical point to be secured by the concession that has

that the practical point to be secured by the concession that has just been mentioned in the message was not the canal, and the canal is mentioned simply once in that treaty.

If I can not have the attention of the Senator I do not care about discussing it. Mr. President, I do not get up here simply to charge something against the Senator. I think the Senator overlooked the gist of this treaty, and I wanted to call his attention to it; but if the Senator is not at all concerned about it, it is

tion to it; but if the Senator is not at all concerned about it, it is not worth while, perhaps, for me to make the suggestion.

Mr. DOLLIVER. I assure the Senator I am very much interested in what he says. I have been interrupted, without any purpose to be disrespectful to him.

Mr. TELLER. This is a treaty of amity, navigation, and commerce. It consists of a large number of articles. It is one of the most elaborate treaties that we have ever made. It has thirty-six articles in it. The thirty-fifth article is the one that treats of the articles in it. The thirty-fifth article is the one that treats of the canal and the railroad. It mentions the canal once. At that

time there was no transit at all across the Isthmus at that point, except the trail that went across. There was no railroad. railroad was built subsequently to that time. This treaty does not profess anywhere in it to be a canal treaty. As I said the other day, it does not give us any canal rights whatever. It does not purport to do it.

The Senator from Iowa says we had a sovereignty in it, Mr. President, that is denied by a subsequent treaty which we ourselves made eleven years later, in which we said then that by this treaty it was the duty of Colombia, or New Granada at that time,

to take care of this transit, and not our duty.

The Senator says that we certainly had a property right in it. Mr. President, I assert that neither President Pierce nor any other man ever asserted, inferentially or otherwise, that we had any property rights there in the territory or any right of sovereignty. A right to travel through another man's land does not give me ownership in the land, and when Colombia said to us, "You may go across any canal that may be built, you may go across any railroad that may be built," they did not say that we had the

railroad that may be built," they did not say that we had the sovereignty.

Mr. President, this was a treaty for twenty years. What would become of the sovereignty we are supposed to have obtained from Colombia if, at the close of twenty years, we should say, or Colombia should say, "This treaty is terminated?" That of itself shows that there was no sovereignty here.

I repeat that the President of the United States is the first man ever found in the United States to suggest—whether he suggested it on his own motion or not I do not know—but he is the first man who ever suggested it publicly.

Mr. President, you do not establish propositions of law any more than you establish propositions of morals by vociferation and declaratio—that is to say, unless the declaration is made by a legislative body. The Senator speaks ex cathedra when he says, "Nobody can question what I have said. I declare this to be the law." Of course it may be all right for the Senator to say that, but it comes in conflict with the decisions of the Attorneys-General and of the Secretaries of State of the United States for the last but it comes in conflict with the decisions of the Attorneys-General and of the Secretaries of State of the United States for the last forty years. It puts him in absolute hostility to the opinions of all the publicists of all the ages of the world. He says there is no use in going back to Grotius. I amafraid he has not been there, Mr. President. I think he might acquire some information of value to him if he went there and studied that great authority. I do not intend to make any speech on this subject, but I want to call the Senator's attention to the matter, because I think, if he will examine the treaty, he will see that this passage is significant:

And, in order to secure to themselves the tranquil and constant enjoyment of these advantages, and as an especial compensation for the said advantages and for the favors they have acquired by the fourth, fifth, and sixth articles of this treaty.

Those are the things which are included in what my colleague [Mr. Patterson] read from the message of the Fresident.

That was the gist of this treaty and of its language. As I said the other day, for forty years thereafter we absolutely ignored the proposition that we were to build a canal there. We treated with other nations. When we passed bills, of which we passed three, they were for the purpose of building a canal somewhere

Mr. President, it seems to me—and I speak with all deference for the Senator from Iowa—that he was inclined to put me in a false attitude when he said that I questioned the authority of the report which he read from Commander Hubbard. I was careful report which he read from Commander Hubbard. I was careful to call attention to the fact that the statement was made upon a report made to him by the prefect—I do not know whether he was a fat man or a lean man or a mulatto, or what he was; but I know he was a man who naturally had large interest in securing active interference with affairs there by the Government of the United States, and it was but natural for him to say to our officers: "If

you do not intervene, you are all going to be killed, for this man is threatening with death and destruction everything American."

I called attention yesterday to the fact that our consul in the voluminous correspondence which he had with the Government of the United States concerning conditions there never mentioned

anything of that kind.

This is not a question of the condition of Colombia. It does not make much difference whether the people there are as bad as the Senator from Iowa says they are, or whether the people of Panama are as good as he says they are. This question can not be settled in that way. We have presented the law as we believe it to be. If Senators wish to contest that, of course they have the right to contest it upon their own judgment or they can contest it proportions. it upon authorities.

I am not disturbed by the frequent and repeated laudations of the Chief Executive and the Secretary of State. All those things are proper to a certain degree, and they may be profitable even beyond what is proper. My relations with the Executive are cer-

tainly not hostile; yet I can not subscribe to the statement that this act of the President is a more magnificent, transcendent, patriotic, and beneficial act than that of any previous Administra-tion or of all Administrations, as I have heard asserted.

Mr. NEWLANDS. Mr. President, in the debate in the Senate

on January 14, whilst I was occupying the floor, the following colloquy took place:

Mr. Quarkles. The Executive discretion as to whether a government should be recognized. And, to make my question more pointed, I should like the Senator to indicate to the Senate what act of the President it is that he thinks Congress should disavow. That will bring the legal question exactly down to the point where we can comprehend it.

Mr. Newlands. I should disavow all of his acts that are in violation of the treaty of 1845—and I have enumerated them—that are in violation of international law—and I have enumerated them—and in violation of the Constitution of the United States—and I have enumerated them. What happens when the disavown lis made? the disavowal is made?

Mr. Spooner. Yappeal to the Senator from Nevada to introduce such a resolution in order that there may be developed here in a practical way a line of action on his proposition.

Mr. Newlands. I will take the appeal of the Senator from Wisconsin under consideration.

Pursuant to that appeal, Mr. President, I have prepared the resolutions which I send to the desk to be read by the Secretary, as they present my individual views upon this question. They contain a statement of fact, a recital and disavowal of the acts of the President which constituted a violation of the treaty of 1846, of international law, and of the Constitution. They provide for redress to Colombia and for the immediate settlement of all existing claims of Colombia and the immediate commencement of the Panama Canal.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Secretary will read as

requested.

The Secretary read as follows:

The Secretary read as follows:

Whereas by the treaty between the United States and the Republic of New Granada (now Colombia), made in 1846, New Granada guaranteed to the United States that the right of way or transit across the 1sthmus of Panama upon any mode of communication then existing or thereafter to be constructed should be open to the Government and citizens of the United States, and in return the United States guaranteed, positively and efficaciously, to New Granada (now Colombia) the perfect neutrality of the said 1sthmus, with a view that the free transit from the one to the other sea might not be interrupted or embarrassed, and also guaranteed in the same manner the rights of sovereignty and property which New Granada (now Colombia) had and possessed over the said territory; and it was also provided in the said treaty that if any of the articles contained therein should be violated and infringed, neither of the two contracting parties should ordain or authorize any act of reprisal nor declare war against the other on complaint of injuries or damages until the party considering itself offended should have laid before the other a statement of such injuries or damages, verified by competent proofs, demanding justice and satisfaction, and the same should have been denied in violation of the laws and of international right; and

of mjuries or damages until the party considering itself offended should have laid before the other a statement of such injuries or damages, verified by competent proofs, demanding justice and satisfaction, and the same should have been denied in violation of the laws and of international right; and

Whereas thereafter the Panama Railroad was constructed under a concession granted by Colombia, by which the Panama Railroad bound itself to transport gratuitously the troops, chiefs, and officers, their equipage, ammunition, armament, clothing, and also the effects that belonged to or were destined for the immediate service of the Colombian Government, and thereafter the control of such railroad was gained by the New Panama Canal Company of France, which under the connession granted by Colombia for the construction of an interoceanic canal, was obligated to carry gratistation; the canal or auxiliary railway, namely, the Fanama Railroad afore the construction of an interoceanic canal, was obligated to carry gratistation; the canal or auxiliary railway, namely, the Fanama Railroad afore the service of the service of the nation, for the service of the State, or for the proservation of public order, "and also to transport gratist their baggage or material, armament, etc., and

Whereas the treaty of 1884 had, prior to the recent occurrences, been uniformly construed by the Government of the United States as enjoining upon the United States the duty and the privilege of protecting the neutrality of the Isthmus of Panama and the sovereignty of Colombia over the Isthmus against foreign attack, and as enjohing upon Colombia the duty and reserving to her the privilege to maintain domestic order and suppress domestic disorder which would injure such freedom of transit; and

Whereas prior to the recent occurrences the aid given by the United States was authorized to purchase the rights of the New Panama Canal Company of France, and also to acquire from Colombia the perpetual control of a strip of land between the Caribbean Sea

by armed force, occupy the line of railroad. Prevent landing of any armed force with hostile intent, either Government or insurgent, at any point within 50 miles of Panama. Government force reported approaching the Isthmus in vessels. Prevent their landing if, in your judgment, the landing would precipitate a conflict;" and.

Whereas the landing which the said naval forces were instructed to prevent was the landing of Colombian troops intended to aid in the maintenance of civil government in Panama, and to defend the sovereignty of Columbia against domestic disorder and insurrection, if any such occurred, and the hostile intent therein referred to was the intent to suppress any such intended insurrection, and the conflict which said forces were to prevent was the use of force, if it proved to be necessary, by the Colombian Government to maintain and defend its sovereignty in Panama, and to suppress any domestic insurrection or disorder, if any such existed.

And thereafter certain forces of Colombia, having landed at Colon on the 3d day of November, before such instructions were received by the naval forces of the United States, their commanding officers proceeded on the Panama Railroad to Panama for the purpose of arranging the movement of their troops and were there arrested and imprisoned by an insurrectionary body of men, which had organized a junta and declared the independence of Panama at 6 o'clock on the evening of November 2, and thereafter, on the following 4th day of November, the commander of the Colombian troops afores said to Panama, as reported in the following telegram:

"Colon, November 1, 1003.

"COLON, November 4, 1903,

"SECRETARY OF THE NAVY, Washington:

"Provisional government was established at Panama Tuesday evening; no organized opposition. Governor of Panama, General Tobar, General Amaya, Colonel Morales, and three others of the Colombian Government troops who arrived Tuesday morning taken prisoner at Panama. I have prohibited transit of troops now here across the Isthmus.

And such prohibition was in express violation, not only of the concessions made by Colombia to the Panama Railroad and to the Panama Canal Company, as aforesaid, but also of the express terms of said treaty of 1845; and Whereas, thereafter, the President, after preventing by the intervention of the armed forces of the United States, as aforesaid, the Colombian Government from suppressing such alleged insurrectionary movement, did, on November 6, 1806, recognize such alleged insurrection as an existing government and entered into relations with it, and denied the sovereignty of Colombia over said Isthmus, and recognized the sovereignty of such insurrectionary government over the same, and did thereafter, on the 9th of November, notify the Colombian Government that the United States would not permit the Colombian forces to land on the Isthmus for the purpose of asserting its sovereignty and suppressing insurrection against it, and thereafter did recognize M. Bunan-Varilla, a citizen of France, and representing the Panama Canal Company as the minister of the so-called Republic of Panama, and did cause to be negotiated with him as such minister plenipotentiary a treaty between the United States and the so-called Republic of Panama, providing for the construction of an interoceanic canal at Panama, and such treaty has been submitted by the President to the Senate for confirmation; and

and and decree to be negotiated with min as store minister plenipotentiary a treaty between the United States and the so-called Republic of Panama, providing for the construction of an interoceanic canal at Panama, and such treaty has been submitted by the President to the Senate for confirmation; and

Whereas the President, in justification of his action as aforesaid, subsequent to the rejection of the Hay-Herran treaty was a breach upon the part of Colombia should grant subsequent concessions for the construction of means of interoceanic communication.

2. That the national interests and safety required it;

3. That the interests of collective civilization required it; and

Whereas the Government of Colombia, after the doings aforesaid, sent a special commissioner to the United States to present the complaints of Colombia in against the United States for violation of the treaty of 1843, and for violations of international law, and requested other adjustment of said complaints or the reference of them to imparitial arbitration: Now, therefore,

Resolved, That the instructions of the United States to its mayal forces aforesaid not to permit the landing of the Colombian troops on the 18thmus, and the intervention of the armed forces of the United States to prevent such landing and the use of the Fanama Railroad, and the display of power and force while the summer of the Colombian troops on the 18thmus, and the intervention of the armed forces of the United States to prevent such landing and the use of the Panama Railroad, and the display of power and force while the summer of the United States for the United States of the United States of the Vanama Railroad, and the display of power and force while the summer of the United States and the summer of the United States and the summer of the United States and the summer of the United States of the Vanama and the use of the Vanama Railroad, and the display of power and force while the provision of the treaty of 1846, which declared that neither of the two contracting

arbitration, one arbitrator to be appointed by each Republic, and the umpire to be named by the president or sovereign of some disinterested country; but in case such arbitration is found necessary said treaty shall contain a provision allowing said cession to take full effect upon the exchange of ratifications thereof, without waiting for the result of said arbitration, in order that work upon said canal may be at once commenced.

Mr. NEWLANDS. Mr. President, I do not wish now to indulge in any extended remarks upon these resolutions. I simply wish to say that they express my individual views, without consultation with my associates as to the method by which all existing complications can be settled and the construction of an inter-oceanic canal across the Isthmus of Panama be immediately com-menced. Their purpose is to expedite the canal, not to delay it. I wish to say also in this connection that it has been stated in

the public press that among the other propositions made to the Government of the United States by General Reyes, the special commissioner appointed by Colombia to settle these complications, the suggestion was made that, as compensation for the territory thus taken from Colombia, Colombia would accept the sum of \$10,000,000, to be expended in the construction of a railroad from Bogota to the coast.

I wish to say that I can imagine no worthier plan for the expenditure of this money than the one suggested by General Reyes. We all know that Colombia has no adequate means of transportation; that she is suffering from two things—one the lack of proper transportation and the other the lack of a proper medium of scales without which no country can reach either a high of exchange, without which no country can reach either a high degree of civilization or of material progress. It seems to me that the Government of the United States could give to this Central American Republic no more substantial evidence of its desire to give adequate redress for accomplished wrongs than by appropriating such a sum for so beneficent a purpose. Our treaties, our diplomatic correspondence, our resolutions, our laws, all attest our desire for relations of friendship and amity with South Ameri-can and Central American States. Let us add acts to words. can and Central American States. Let us add acts to words.

Now, Mr. President, I ask that the resolution lie on the table for future consideration.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. If there be no objection, the resolution will be received and go over under the rule.

Mr. NEWLANDS. I ask that it lie upon the table.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Subject to the call of the

Mr. NEWLANDS. Yes; subject to my call.
Mr. PLATT of Connecticut. Let it be printed.
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Nevada asks that his resolution be printed and lie on the table subject to

his call. Is there objection?

Mr. ALLISON. Mr. President, I do not object to that arrangement, although sometimes the call of a Senator may be inconvenient for other business of the Senate. There is now on the table a resolution of the Senator from South Carolina [Mr. Thlman], who yesterday gave notice that he would speak during the morning hour to-day on his resolution, and the consideration of that resolution occupied the entire morning hour. It is, of course, a subject of importance, and I suppose another day will be taken up in its discussion. I only suggest that, in case the arrangement suggested by the Senator from Nevada [Mr. New-LANDS] is made, it should be so made as not to interfere with the

important business that may arise in the Senate.

Mr. NEWLANDS. Of course I have no disposition to interfere with important business. I am not sufficiently familiar with the rules of the Senate to ask just what ought to be done with

the resolution.

Mr. ALLISON. I think there will be no difficulty about it; but it seems to me we have a good many resolutions now lying upon our table that in some way ought to be disposed of. I am perfectly willing that the Senator from Nevada may have his resolution taken up at some convenient time. I only make the suggestion with a view of facilitating the consideration of the regular business of the session.

Mr. TELLER. Mr. President, I only want to say that I sug-

Mr. TELLER. Mr. President, I only want to say that I suggest to the Senator from Nevada, who, as he says, is not as familiar with the rules of the Senate as some of us are, that the course he has taken as to his resolution was the customary one, and I am very sure that there will be no abuse of the privilege by the Senator from Nevada.

Mr. ALLISON. I do not object to the arrangement suggested. The PRESIDENT pro tempore. If Senators will allow the Chair to make a suggestion, he will say that there are perhaps a dozen resolutions now on the table, which are permitted to stay there until each has had its day in court. The resolution of the Senator from South Carolina [Mr. TILLMAN] has had a day in court, but by unanimous consent it is still permitted to stay here. If the resolution which the Senator from Nevada has offered remains on the table, it will be at the bottom of the list; but the Senator can probably, whenever he so desires, if it shall not be reached within two or three or four or five days, by unanimous

consent call it up in the same way the Senator from South Carolina called up his resolution.

Mr. ALLISON. I remember, Mr. President, the suggestion has been made here once or twice that Senators were being denied the opportunity to secure the passage of resolutions calling upon the Departments for information. Now, if there is any information desired as respects the important matters pending before the Senate, I hope resolutions of that character will be considered.
That is all I desire to request about it.

Mr. GORMAN. I am delighted to hear the Senator from Iowa say that, if he will permit me.

Mr. ALLISON. I am delighted that the Senator from Maryland is delighted.

land is delighted.

Mr. GORMAN. I understand that the resolution offered by myself asking for information after conference is not objectionable to anybody, and I ask that we may now have a vote on it. It

is the pending resolution.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The pending resolution?

Mr. GORMAN. The pending resolution.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is the Senator from Nevada content to have his resolution go over?

Mr. GORMAN (to Mr. Newlands). Yes; that is all we want.
Mr. CULLOM. If the Senator from Nevada wants to make a
speech, he can get an opportunity almost any time.
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Then the resolution will go

over under the rule.
Mr. GORMAN. Yes.

Mr. NEWLANDS. Yes. Mr. CULLOM. The Senator from Neyada will have a chance to make a speech whenever he desires.

Mr. NEWLANDS. Yes.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The resolution will go over

under the rule.

Mr. GORMAN. Now I ask for a vote on the pending resolu-

tion.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is the Senate ready for a vote

on the pending resolution?
Mr. BEVERIDGE. What is it?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. It is the resolution known as the "Gorman resolution."

Mr. GORMAN. Let it be read.

Mr. KEAN. It has been very much amended.

Mr. FAIRBANKS. I should be glad to have it read for the information of the Senate.

information of the Senate.

Mr. TELLER. Let it be read.

Mr. GORMAN. Yes. It simply calls for the orders of the

Mr. TELLER. Let it be read.

Mr. GORMAN. Yes. It simply calls for the orders of the Department.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The resolution will be read.

The Secretary read the resolution submitted by Mr. Gorman on the 5th instant, as modified, as follows:

Resolved, That the President be requested, if not in his judgment incompatible with the public interest, to inform the Senate:

First. The date when and the circumstances under which the United States used for the first time, and each succeeding time, a military force in the internal affairs of New Granada, or Colombia, under the treaty of 1846; whether such use of military force was on the initiative of the United States or by the request of New Granada, or Colombia, or in consequence of any official representation of either; and also to transmit to the Senate copies of the letters, or notes, in the Department of State, and of the orders by the Navy Department relating to such use of military force.

Second. Also to inform the Senate whether or not the United States has been asked by New Granada, or Colombia, or any official representative of either, to execute, by armed force, either the guaranty of the neutrality of the Isthmus or of the sovereignty of New Granada, or Colombia, over the same; and if the United States has been so asked, then the dates and circumstances thereof, and to send to the Senate copies of the letters or notes, in each case, conveying the application and what was done thereunder by the United States has on the Isthmus of Panama, referred to by the President in his last annual message, the United States employed a military force, solely on its own initiative, and uninvited by the Government owning the Isthmus; and also to inform the Senate of the circumstances in each case which required such use of military force, and transmit copies of the orders issued by the Navy Department for such purpose.

Fourth. And also that he will inform the Senate of the dates when and circumstances under which the United States has employed military f

Mr. SPOONER. What is the last paragraph? I ask the Secretary to read it again.

The Secretary read as follows:
Fourth. And also that he will inform the Senate of the dates when and circumstances under which the United States has employed military force in the internal affairs of New Granda, or Colombia, in aid of a revolt, or rebellion, or disturbance of the peace therein, or to suppress such revolt, rebellion, or disturbance.

Mr. SPOONER. That is rather a peculiar provision. It assumes that at some time the Government has employed military or naval force in aid of a revolt against Colombia. If the Senator from Maryland will qualify that, as I think he should— Mr. GORMAN. I supposed the resolution was entirely satis-

Mr. SPOONER. I had not observed that subdivision.

Mr. GORMAN. If the forces never have been so used, the President will say so.

Mr. SPOONER. It inquires whether at any time the Government has employed military or naval force in aid of a revolt.

Mr. GORMAN. Yes.

Mr. SPOONER. But the question as put there assumes that there have been such occasions and asks for dates. That is not

Mr. GORMAN. If the Senator from Wisconsin will read the resolution, I think he will find—
Mr. McCOMAS. If my colleague will permit me, I suppose he

means to include naval as well as military forces.

Mr. GORMAN. "Military force," I take it, would include either the naval or the military force. At any rate, there is no case where the Army has been employed; it has always been the

Mr. FAIRBANKS. I should object to the passage of the resolution in its present form. It seems to me that the fourth clause particularly is predicated upon a false basis. There is an assumption which, in my judgment, is unwarranted:

Fourth. And also that he will inform the Senate of the date when and circumstances under which the United States has employed military force in the internal affairs of New Granada or Colombia—

in aid of a revolt, or rebellion, or disturbance of the peace therein.

It seems to me that is entirely unwarranted, and, speaking for myself, I am unwilling to send to the President of the United States any resolution of that sort.

Mr. SPOONER. It is contended on the other side by some Senators that recently the United States employed military force in the internal affairs of New Granada or Colombia in aid of a revolt or rebellion or disturbance of the peace therein. That we on this side all deny. I did not understand that the Senator had in this resolution any inquiry based upon the assumption that we are all wrong and that he and some of his associates are right; but that is what this is. I do not think the Senator from Maryland meant that.

Mr. GORMAN. The President of the United States informs us in his message that the armed force of the United States has not been engaged in aid of a revolt or rebellion.

Mr. SPOONER. But, if my friend will permit me, that, to me, only affords an additional argument against the propriety of this subdivision of the resolution, because it could not be anything else than an insult to the President of the United States, of which the Senate ought not to be guilty, to pass a resolution asking him when something occurred which he has explicitly denied ever

Now, whether what was done on the Isthmus by the President—and there has been a full statement of the facts in regard to it—gives rise to a fair inference for argument that the troops of the United States or the military forces of the United States were used there in aid of a revolt, is a matter for us to discuss here. The Senator entertains one view of it; we entertain an-But the resolution assumes and asks the Senate to assume that our forces were used there in aid of a revolt or rebellion. That is not fair to the President or to the Senate. I can not vote That is not fair to the President or to the Senate. I can not vote for any such proposition, although I have no objection in the world to the resolution as I supposed it was.

Mr. GORMAN. I supposed the Senator had gone over the resolution very critically and that there had been eliminated all

the objectionable features that were in the original resolution. The

resolution very critically and that there had been enfinitate all the objectionable features that were in the original resolution. The President has stated emphatically that his conduct and the conduct of the military force at Panama on this particular occasion was in exact conformity with what had preceded. That is controverted. The correspondence and the orders from 1846 to now have never been published in full. All I desired was that all that information since 1846, from the ratification of the treaty down to the present time, should be furnished to the Senate.

I think, upon reflection, that possibly the fourth clause is not absolutely necessary. I think that under the clauses preceding it we will get all that we desire. As the Senator from Wisconsin and the chairman of the committee are perfectly well aware, I do not desire to make any inquiry of the President of the United States which is not perfectly fair. We may afterwards debate his suggestions and criticise them as we please, but in treating with that great office I would not knowingly be the author of, nor would I have the Senate wittingly or unwittingly pass, a resolution which, according to fair judgment, contained the slightest reflection upon him in making the inquiry. Therefore I was careful to insert the usual provision in the very beginning of the resolution that the President be requested to furnish the information if it was not, in his judgment, incompatible with the formation if it was not, in his judgment, incompatible with the

public interest. I think there may possibly be something which it would not be proper to communicate to the public.

But believing of him, as I believe of all Presidents, that he will deal with us with perfect fairness and give us all of the correspondence and orders, unless there be some great reason of state why he should not, I am perfectly willing to leave it to his judg-

Mr. FAIRBANKS. Do not the first three paragraphs in the Senator's resolution cover all that is really necessary to be obtained, in his opinion?

Mr. GORMAN. I am rather inclined to think, I will say to the

Senator from Indiana, that that is true; but in view of the discussion which has taken place and in view of the broad assertion of the President in his message that his recent action was in strict conformity with precedents in the past, I should like to have a full account of any interference on our part to suppress a revolt or a rebellion and the extent to which the military was used. want full information. If it is considered by our friends on the other side of the Chamber, who, of course, are exceedingly anxious that nothing shall be done in this matter except what is perfectly proper, and I agree with that—

Mr. FAIRBANKS. No; if the Senator will allow me— Mr. GORMAN. Certainly. Mr. FAIRBANKS. The fourth section is not directed merely

Mr. FAIRBANKS. The fourth section is not directed merely to information with respect to suppressing rebellion, but includes information with respect to aiding rebellion.

Mr. GORMAN. Yes.

Mr. FAIRBANKS. It assumes that our force has been so used.

Mr. GORMAN. There can not be any controversy that in the recent occurrence our forces were used.

Mr. FAIRBANKS. In aid of rebellion?

Mr. FAIRBANKS. In aid of rebellion?
Mr. GORMAN. They were used to compel the troops of Colombia to quit that part of the territory.
Mr. FAIRBANKS. There is where there is a very serious dis-We do not agree with the Senator's interpretation of what was done there.

Mr. GORMAN. No. Of course we disagree about it. President would state the facts and get them in whatever form he desired to put them. But if there is serious objection to the phraseology of the fourth section, and believing that I will get all the information that is absolutely necessary in the consideration of this case. I have no objection to modifying it.

Mr. FORAKER. I was about to suggest a modification, but before suggesting it I wanted to inquire of the Senator whether or not I understood him to say that he is willing to allow the

or not 1 understood him to say that he is willing to allow the fourth paragraph to go out?

Mr. CULLOM. Yes.
Mr. BEVERIDGE. He said that.
Mr. FORAKER. If so, that is the best modification of it.
Mr. GORMAN. I will omit that part of it.
Mr. FORAKER. I made the inquiry of the Senator as to whether or not he had stated that he was willing that the entire fourth paragraph should grount.

fourth paragraph should go out.

Mr. GORMAN. I said, upon reflection, it seemed to me that possibly I would get all the information without it, and I will

omit it

Mr. FORAKER. I was about to suggest an amendment, if the Senator from Maryland was not willing to have the whole section

Mr. GORMAN. I should be glad to have the Senator suggest the amendment

Mr. FORAKER. The amendment I would propose is as fol-Has the Senator the resolution before him?

Mr. GORMAN. Yes.

Mr. FORAKER. I would strike out from line 20 the words "in aid of a

Mr. GORMAN. Yes.
Mr. FORAKER. And insert in lieu thereof "on account of any:" and then strike out all after the word "therein" in the twenty-first line; so that it would read:

Fourth. And also that he will inform the Senate of the dates when and circumstances under which the United States has employed military force in the internal affairs of New Granada or Colombia on account of any revolt or rebellion or disturbance of the peace therein.

That would call for information as to any kind of employment, without regard to whether it was in aid or in suppression of a revolt or rebellion, and would avoid all controversy among ourselves. It calls for the facts without assuming anything.

Mr. FAIRBANKS. If the Senator from Ohio will permit me, I understood the Senator from Maryland to say that upon further

reflection he thinks the information desired by him can be ob-

tained under the three preceding sections.

Mr. FORAKER. I think he is right about that, and I suggested that that was the best way to amend the resolution—by striking out the whole of article 4. But if article 4 is to remain, I think it ought to be so amended as to assume nothing, but simply to call for the facts.

Mr. GORMAN. I will ask that the amendment of the Senator

from Ohio be read.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The paragraph as proposed to be amended will be read.

The Secretary read as follows:

Fourth. And also that he will inform the Senate of the dates when and circumstances under which the United States has employed military force in the internal affairs of New Granada or Colombia on account of any revolt or rebellion or disturbance of the peace therein.

Mr. GORMAN. I will accept the amendment of the Senator from Ohio.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Maryland modifies the resolution as it has been read.

Mr. McCOMAS. I suggest to my colleague, although the amendment suggested by the Senator from Ohio has been very properly accepted, that the fourth inquiry is in substance the first inquiry, already in the resolution. It adds words, but it is really the same thing, because the first inquiry calls for information as to the use of military force in the internal affairs of New Granada or Colombia under this treaty. You simply add words by saying "in respect to a revolt." It does not add anything to the first proposition. My colleague's first proposition, to omit section 4, leaves the matter entirely comprehended in the three previous sections. The fourth adds nothing to it. However, I merely make this suggestion to my colleague.

make this suggestion to my colleague.

Mr. GORMAN. My colleague will find that there is a very great difference. The first section simply calls for information as to use of our forces at the request of New Granada or Colombia, while the fourth asks whether the employment of force occurred without its request. The Senator will observe the difference if he will look at the resolution.

The PRESIDENT protessors.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The question is on agreeing to the resolution of the Senator from Maryland as modified.

The resolution as modified was agreed to.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY.

Mr. CULLOM. It has been suggested that the Senate adjourn over from this evening until Monday. I am entirely willing to agree to that suggestion if no Senator desires to speak to-morrow I should like very much to have the Senate reon this subject. main in session if any Senator is ready and willing to speak tomorrow on the subject, and I make the inquiry for the purpose of ascertaining what is the fact.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The resolution which has been under discussion for the last ten days has now been passed.

Mr. CULLOM. But the general subject will be before us.
Mr. SPOONER. There is no lack of supply.
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. No; the Chair is informed

that there is not Mr. GORMAN. There are several other resolutions which re-

late to the same subject.

I will say to the Senator from Illinois that it was the general impression that we would adjourn over to-morrow, and I think from what information I have that there is nobody on this side of the Chamber who is ready to go on to-morrow. There are Senators who desire to speak the first of next week on some other branches of this subject. So I really think we would save time

by adjourning over to-morrow.

Mr. CULLOM. As no Senator seems to be ready to speak tomorrow, I move that when the Senate adjourn to-day it be to
meet on Monday next.

The motion was agreed to.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

A message from the House of Representatives, by Mr. W. J. Browning, its Chief Clerk, announced that the House had passed the following bills:

A bill (S. 9) granting an increase of pension to David E. Bur-

bank:

A bill (S. 12) granting an increase of pension to Francis E. Chase

A bill (S. 13) granting an increase of pension to William Clark; A bill (S. 14) granting an increase of pension to Samuel M.

Perry;
A bill (S. 99) granting an increase of pension to Joel C. Shep-

A bill (S. 182) granting an increase of pension to Charles F. Holt;

A bill (S. 190) granting an increase of pension to Charles H. Bell;
A bill (S. 451) granting an increase of pension to William T.

A bill (8, 452) granting an increase of pension to Albert W. Bullock;

A bill (S. 456) granting an increase of pension to Andrew J.

A bill (S. 458) granting an increase of pension to Charles Beattie; A bill (S. 471) granting an increase of pension to Silas Meserve; A bill (S. 473) granting an increase of pension to Byron D. Babcock

A bill (S. 478) granting an increase of pension to Olive J. Bailey; A bill (S. 484) granting a pension to Nancy S. Marsh; A bill (S. 547) granting an increase of pension to Irving W. Coombs

A bill (S. 555) granting an increase of pension to Royal A. S.

A bill (S. 565) granting an increase of pension to James E. Barnard:

A bill (S. 578) granting an increase of pension to John Bullamore:

A bill (S. 586) granting a pension to Annie H. Zoll;

A bill (S. 587) granting an increase of pension to Anson P. Williamson:

A bill (S.589) granting an increase of pension to George W. McMullen:

A bill (S. 744) granting an increase of pension to Stephen

Gascoigne;
A bill (S. 745) granting a pension to John Swenson;
A bill (S. 798) granting an increase of pension to James A.

A bill (S. 814) granting a pension to Mamie Thayer;

A bill (S. 821) granting an increase of pension to W. Neil Dennison:

A bill (S. 847) granting an increase of pension to John L. Beveridge

A bill (S. 898) granting an increase of pension to John B. Carter:

A bill (S. 929) granting an increase of pension to Charles Stermer

A bill (S. 930) granting an increase of pension to Ferdinand Wiedemann;

A bill (S. 937) granting an increase of pension to Rudolph Siebelist:

A bill (S. 959) granting an increase of pension to Andrew C.

A bill (S. 1259) granting an increase of pension to John M.

Stanyan; A bill (S. 1429) granting an increase of pension to Elizabeth C. Paquin;

A bill (S. 1335) granting an increase of pension to Calvin Daws; A bill (S. 1402) granting an increase of pension to William Paul; A bill (S. 1437) granting an increase of pension to Clarence E. Bullard;

A bill (S. 1491) granting an increase of pension to James A. Hoove

A bill (S. 1497) granting an increase of pension to Walter F. Chase

A bill (S. 1498) granting an increase of pension to Winslow P. Eayrs

A bill (S. 1559) granting an increase of pension to Marie A. Rask;

A bill (S. 1825) granting a pension to Josephine L. Webber; A bill (S. 1826) granting an increase of pension to Mary E. Cutts:

A bill (S. 1827) granting an increase of pension to Harris A. P. Lewis; and A bill (S. 1938) granting an increase of pension to Aldridge Patterson.

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

The message also announced that the Speaker of the House had signed the enrolled joint resolution (S. R. 32) to fill the vacancies in the Board of Regents of the Smithsonian Institution.

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

Mr. CULLOM. I move that the Senate proceed to the consideration of executive business.

The motion was agreed to; and the Senate proceeded to the consideration of executive business. After eight minutes spent in executive session the doors were reopened, and (at 4 o'clock and 40 minutes p. m.) the Senate adjourned until Monday, January 25, 1904, at 12 o'clock m.

NOMINATIONS.

Executive nominations received by the Senate January 22, 1904. COLLECTOR OF CUSTOMS.

Luke B. Colbert, of Massachusetts, to be collector of customs for the district of Marblehead, in the State of Massachusetts, to

succeed Stuart F. McClearn, whose term of office will expire by limitation April 1, 1904.

RECEIVER OF PUBLIC MONEYS.

Miss Martha C. Brown, of Colorado, to be receiver of public moneys at Gunnison, Colo., her term having expired April 2, 1902. (Reappointment.)

PROMOTIONS IN THE NAVY.

Pay Inspector Charles W. Littlefield to be a pay director in the Navy from the 27th day of December, 1903, vice Pay Director Theodore S. Thompson, retired.

Paymaster Samuel L. Heap to be a pay inspector in the Navy

from the 27th day of December, 1903, vice Pay Inspector Charles

W. Littlefield, promoted. P. A. Paymaster Ray Spear to be a paymaster in the Navy from the 4th day of November, 1903, vice Paymaster John C. Sullivan, promoted.

CONFIRMATIONS.

Executive nominations confirmed by the Senate January 22, 1904.

Fleming D. Cheshire, of New York, to be consul-general of the United States at Mukden, China.

James W. Davidson, of Minnesota, to be consul of the United

States at Antung, China.

Edwin V. Morgan, of New York, to be consul of the United States at Dalny, China.

PROMOTIONS IN THE NAVY.

Commander John A. Rodgers to be a captain in the Navy from

Commander John A. Rodgers to be a captain in the Navy from the 27th day of December, 1903.

P. A. Paymaster George R. Venable to be a paymaster in the Navy from the 3d day of March, 1903.

Asst. Paymaster Ervin A. McMillan to be a passed assistant paymaster in the Navy from the 29th day of October, 1903.

Asst. Paymaster Eugene H. Tricou to be a passed assistant paymaster in the Navy from the 4th day of November, 1903.

Ligat Col. Allan C. Kelton to be a colonal in the Marine Corps.

Lieut. Col. Allan C. Kelton to be a colonel in the Marine Corps from the 27th day of December, 1903.

Maj. Randolph Dickins to be a lieutenant-colonel in the Marine

Corps from the 27th day of December, 1903. Capt. Charles G. Long to be a major in the Marine Corps from the 18th day of June, 1903. First Lieut. Julius S. Turrill to be a captain in the Marine

Corps from the 18th day of June, 1903.

PROMOTION IN THE MARINE-HOSPITAL SERVICE.

Asst. Surg. Dana E. Robinson, of Ohio, to be a passed assistant surgeon in the Public Health and Marine-Hospital Service of the United States.

REGISTER OF THE LAND OFFICE.

W. H. Brown, of Grand Forks, N. Dak., to be register of the land office at Grand Forks, N. Dak.

POSTMASTERS.

IOWA.

Thomas J. Ochiltree to be postmaster at Morning Sun, in the county of Louisa and State of Iowa.

Charlie C. Warner to be postmaster at Central City, in the county of Linn and State of Iowa.

Frank W. Carroll to be postmaster at Toronto, in the county of Woodson and State of Kansas.

Elon G. Dewey to be postmaster at Moline, in the county of Elk and State of Kansas.

Edward C. Hill to be postmaster at Burr Oak, in the county of Jewell and State of Kansas.

Jonathan F. Jefferds to be postmaster at Livermore Falls, in the county of Androscoggin and State of Maine.

MISSOURI.

William Beisner to be postmaster at Lockwood, in the county of Dade and State of Missouri.

Mills T. Chastain to be postmaster at Marshall, in the county of Saline and State of Missouri.

Frank A. Hardin to be postmaster at Cabool, in the county of Texas and State of Missouri.

Florence M. Low to be postmaster at Hamilton, in the county of Caldwell and State of Missouri.

Henry E. Palmer to be postmaster at Omaha, in the county of Douglas and State of Nebraska.

PENNSYLVANIA.

Alonzo M. Frederick to be postmaster at New Kensington, in the county of Westmoreland and State of Pennsylvania.

Charles Graffin to be postmaster at Catasauqua, in the county of Lehigh and State of Pennsylvania.

John J. Mather to be postmaster at Benton, in the county of Columbia and State of Pennsylvania.

G. William Riegel to be postmaster at Bethlehem, in the county of Northampton and State of Pennsylvania.

Daniel W. Reynolds to be postmaster at Reedsville, in the county of Mifflin and State of Pennsylvania.

Lida T. Robinson to be postmaster at West, in the county of McLennan and State of Texas.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

FRIDAY, January 22, 1904.

The House met at 12 o'clock m.

Prayer by the Chaplain, Rev. HENRY N. COUDEN, D. D. The Journal of yesterday's proceedings was read and approved.

HORSES, CARRIAGES, ETC., AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE.

Mr. HULL. Mr. Speaker, I have a privileged report which I desire to submit.

The SPEAKER. The Clerk will read.

The Clerk read as follows:

House resolution No. 153.

Resolved, That the Secretary of War be, and he is hereby, requested to furnish this House, at his earliest convenience, a statement showing the number of horses, carriages, and automobiles maintained at Government expense for the officials of his Department, together with a statement showing the cost of said horses, carriages, automobiles, and harness, and the amount of wages paid to men acting as coachmen, footmen, and chauffeurs, whether carried on the rolls as such or in some other classification; also the list of officials entitled to the use of said carriages.

Mr. HULL. There is an amendment, Mr. Speaker. The SPEAKER. The Clerk will report the amendment. The Clerk read as follows:

Amend by adding after the word "carriage," in line 10, "and for what process such vehicles are used."

Mr. TAWNEY. Mr. Speaker-

Mr. HULL. I suppose, Mr. Speaker, the question will be first on the amendment reported from the committee, and then I have no objection to the gentleman from Minnesota offering an amendment, if he so desires.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. TAWNEY. I move, Mr. Speaker, that the resolution be so amended as to give also the dates of the purchase of these various vehicles. At the end of the committee amendment add "and the dates of the purchase of such vehicles."

The SPEAKER. The Clerk will report the amendment of the gentleman from Minnesota.

The Clerk read as follows:

Add after the word "used," "also the dates of the purchase of such vehicles."

The amendment was agreed to.

The question was taken on the adoption of the resolution, and the resolution was agreed to.

On motion of Mr. HULL, a motion to reconsider the vote by which the resolution was agreed to was laid on the table.

LEAVE TO EXTEND REMARKS.

Mr. HULL. I want to ask unanimous consent, Mr. Speaker, that all gentlemen who addressed the House yesterday on the army appropriation bill may have five calendar days to extend their remarks in the Record.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Iowa asks unanimous

consent that all who addressed the House yesterday on the army appropriation bill may have five calendar days in which to print. Is there objection? [After a pause.] The Chair hears none, and it is so ordered.

Mr. HULL. Now, Mr. Speaker, I would like to give notice to the House that to-morrow, immediately after the reading of the Journal, I will move to go into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the army appropriation bill.

PENSION BILLS.

Mr. SULLOWAY. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole House for the consideration of pension bills on the Private Calendar under the rule. The SPEAKER. The gentleman from New Hampshire moves that the House resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole for the consideration of pension kills upon the Private Calendar under

the consideration of pension bills upon the Private Calendar under the rule.

The motion was agreed to; and accordingly the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House, with Mr. Gardner of New Jersey in the chair.

The CHAIRMAN. The House is in Committee of the Whole House for the purpose of considering bills on the Private Calendar under the rule. The Clerk will report the first bill.

MARK A. SHELTON.

The first business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 937) granting an increase of pension to M. A. Shelton.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of M. A. Shelton, late a private in Company E, Second Indiana Volunteers, in the Mexican war, and a private, also, in Company A, Second Battalion, Missouri State Militia Cavalry, in the civil war, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the fol-

Strike out all after the enacting chause and held lowing:

"That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Mark A. Shelton, late of Company A, Second Battalion Missouri State Milltia Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per mouth in lieu of that he is now receiving."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Mark A. Shelton."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

FRANCES A. THOMPSON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6562) granting a pension to Frances Thompson.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Frances Thompson, widow of John W. Thompson, late of United States Navy, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 6, after the word "late," strike out the word "of" and insert in lieu thereof the words "acting ensign."

Mr. SULLOWAY. I move to amend by inserting "A." in the name and make it Frances A. Thompson.

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

EDGAR CHAPMAN.

The next business on the Private Calender was the bill (H. R. 689) granting a pension to Edgar Chapman. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Edgar Chapman, late of Company K. First Begiment Minnesota Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

HENRY C. JONES.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 3665) granting an increase of pension to Henry C. Jones.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll the name of Henry C. Jones, late a private in Company A, Forty-ninth Regiment Missouri Infantry Volunteers, and pay to him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of the pension which he now receives under certificate No. 320221.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the fol-

Strate out an after the enacting chause and insert in field thereof the following:

"That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Henry C. Jones, late of Company A, Forty-minth Regiment Missouri Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JULIETTE WESTBROOK.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5611) granting a pension to Juliette Westbrook.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Juliette Westbrook, dependent parent of Martin Westbrook, late of Company E, One hundred and eleventh Regiment New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 6 strike out the word "parent" and insert in lieu thereof the word "stepmother."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WINSLOW P. EAYRS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 1498) granting an increase of pension to Winslow P. Eayrs.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Winslow P. Eayrs, late of Company C, Nineteenth Regiment Massachusetts Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

GEORGE B. DAVIS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6005) granting an increase of pension to George B. Davis. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of George B. Davis, late of Company F, Sixtieth Regiment Ohio Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

DANIEL W. HUFFMAN.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 2920) granting an increase of pension to Daniel W. Huffman.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Daniel W. Huffman, late of Companies B and C, Seventy-second Regiment Ohio Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 6 strike out the words "of Companies B and C," and insert in lieu thereof the words "first lieutenant Company B and second lieutenant Com-pany C."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM M'BRIEN.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 1294) granting an increase of pension to William McBrien.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William McBrien, late of Company K, Fifteenth Regiment Missouri Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ADAM KOHLHAUFF.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4157) granting an increase of pension to Adam Kohlhauff. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Adam Kohlhauff, late of Company D, Sixty-second Regiment New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "twenty-four" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty."

The amendment was agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

DAVID H. THOMPSON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 3836) granting an increase of pension to David H. Thompson.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place upon the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of David H. Thompson, late of Company D, First Michigan Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 7, before the word "Michigan," insert the word "Regiment."
In line 8 strike out the word "twenty-four" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MEDIE M. FLANDERS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 3299) granting a pension to Medie M. Flanders.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Medie M. Flanders, widow of Charles C. Flanders, late of Company G. First Regiment New Hampshire Volunteer Cavalry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 138) granting an increase of pension to Henry Hale.

- The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Henry Hale, late private of Company D, Twenty-fifth Regiment Michigan Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$72 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6 strike out the words "a private." In line 8 strike out the word "seventy-two" and insert in lieu thereof the word "forty."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WALTER F. CHASE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 1497) granting an increase of pension to Walter F. Chase.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Waiter F. Caase, late of Company F. Eleventh Regiment New Hampshire Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 7756) granting an increase of pension to Charles Schroder. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles Schroder, late of Company C, One hundred and tenth Regiment Pennsylvania Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty." $\,$

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOSEPH H. COOPER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6947) granting an increase of pension to Joseph H. Cooper.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll. subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Joseph H. Cooper, late of Company I, Twenty-second Regiment Indiana Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JONATHAN J. SMITH.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 8376) granting an increase of pension to J. J. Smith.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of J. J. Smith, late of Company F, Sixty-fifth Regiment Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the first letter "J." and insert in lieu thereof the word "Jonathan" In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty-six."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Jonathan J. Smith."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

HARRIET A. TUCKER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 8920) granting a pension to Harriet A. Tucker.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Harriet A. Tucker, widow of William E. Tucker, late of Company K. One hundred and twenty-fourth Regiment New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$24 per month.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 9 strike out the word "twenty-four" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twelve."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

THOMAS ADELSPERGER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 165) granting an increase of pension to Thomas Adelsperger.

The bill was read, as follows: Fe it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Thomas Adelsperger, late first leutenant of Company H, Eighty-ninth Regiment Indiana Volunteer Intentry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the words "of Company H" and insert in lieu thereof the words "and adjutant."

In line 8 strike out the word "sixty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

GUSTUS S. REMICK.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 8729) granting an increase of pension to Gustus S. Remick.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Gustus S. Remick, late of the First Battalion Vermont Volunteer Light Artillery, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the word "Battalion" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Battery."
In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ANNA M. MAIER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6085) granting a pension to Anna M. Maier-Moyer.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension foll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Anna M. Maier Moyer, widow of Markus Maier, late of Company A. Fourth Regiment Pensylvania Volunteer Cavalry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6 strike out the words "Maier-Moyer" and insert in lieu thereof the ord "Maier." word "Maier."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting a pension to Anna M. Maier."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

GEORGE N. THORPE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4887) granting a pension to George N. Thorpe.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of George N. Thorpe, late of Company C. Ninety-first Regiment New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word

"twenty-four."
In same line, after the word "month," insert the words "in lieu of that he is now receiving."
Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to George N. Thorpe."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

GEORGE GARDNER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 2016) granting an increase of pension to George Gardner. The bill was read, as follows:

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of George Gardner, late of Company A, Second Regiment New York Volunteer Heavy Artillery, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "twenty-four" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MOLLIE J. MITCHELL.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 242) granting a pension to May Shields Mitchell.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of May Shields Mitchell, widow of Richard P. Mitchell, late major and surgeon, First Tennessee Light Artillery, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the words "May Shields" and insert in lieu thereof the words "Mollie J."

In line 7 strike out the words "major and."
In same line, after the word "First," insert the word "Regiment."
In same line, before the word "Light," insert the word "Volunteer."
In line 8, after the word "month," insert the word "Volunteer."
Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Mollie J. Mitchell."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MARIAH KUECHLER.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 5372) granting a pension to Mariah Kuechler.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, and horized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Mariah Kuechler, late nurse, Medical Department, United States Volunteers, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

A. MARION GAMBLE.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 5471) granting an increase of pension to A. Marion Gamble.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of A. Marion Gamble, late major of Sixth Regiment Tennessee Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6, before the word "Sixth," strike out the word "of." The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JAMES P. WALLACE.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 6442) granting an increase of pension to James P. Wallace.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James P. Wallace, late of

Company G, Forty-second Regiment Indiana Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

FRANCIS E. CHASE.

The next business was the bill (S. 12) granting an increase of pension to Francis E. Chase.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Francis E. Chase, late of Company E. Tenth Regiment New Hampshire Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

EMILY CONKLIN.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 4937) granting an increase of pension to Emily Conklin. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Emily Conklin, widow of James L. Conklin, late of Company A, Fifth Regiment Connecticut Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."

In line 9, after the word "receiving," insert the words:
"Provided, however, That in the event of the death of the helpless child, Cora B. Conklin, on whose account the pension of Emily Conklin is increased, the pension of said Emily Conklin shall continue only at the rate of \$12 per month from and after the date of death of said helpless child."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

SAMUEL ALEXANDER.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 610) granting an increase of pension to Samuel Alexander.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Samuel Alexander, late of Company H, Twenty-fifth Indiana Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6, after the word "Twenty-fifth," insert the word "Regiment." In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word twenty."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

EMMETT COLE.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 198) granting an increase

of pension to Emmett Cole. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Emmett Cole, late of Company F. Eighth Regiment Michigan Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JAMES H. CUMMINGS.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 6588) granting an increase of pension to James H. Cummings.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James H. Cummings, late of Company B. Eleventh Regiment Michigan Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 7 strike out the word "Infantry" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Cavalry."

In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "forty."

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Mr. Chairman, I should like to make an inquiry of the chairman of the committee. I know nothing about the merits of this particular bill; but I see that it proposes an increase of this pension to \$50. Under a rule of the House we have no "right" to have the report accompanying the bill read so we can act intelligently; we must guess at the merits of the case as the clerk reads the bill at the desk. "Go it blind," as it were—act in the dark on each bill, unless by the grace of the

committee we can have read the report—

The CHAIRMAN. The Chair will call the attention of the gentleman to the fact that there is a committee amendment.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Then I wish to be heard on the amendment. I want to know something about this bill and then I wish to make a general inquiry.

I desire to ask the chairman of the committee reporting this

bill why it is that this claimant appeals to Congress instead of

the Pension Bureau for this increase?

Mr. SULLOWAY. Because he has received all the relief that

the Pension Office can grant under the law.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. I understand, then, that there is no law for this increase, and therefore this man has come here with his application and asks Congress to pass a private act for

Now, allow me another question. The gentleman will remember that at the last session I asked why it was that so many appeals from the Pension Bureau were made to Congress for relief by special acts, and the gentleman very promptly said it was be-cause the applicants did not get "justice" at the Pension Bureau. That was under the administration of Hon. H. Clay Evans, who

is now an ex-Commissioner, an "X-ray," and making this Government a fine representative in London. Now, what is the difference in the administration of that office under the distinguished gentleman, Mr. Ware, a very charming gentleman, able and just, whom I have met, and the administration of the office under Mr. Evans in reference to doing justice to the claimants before that Bureau? Why are these great cartloads of claims sent or why do they come to the House for relief instead of that being done at the Pension Office? Now, my inquiry is entirely frank and corievely made, and I have the centleman will answer if

seriously made, and I hope the gentleman will answer it.

Mr. SULLOWAY. These claimants come to Congress because a great many of them have no relief under existing law, and I do not say that any intentional injustice is being done at the Bureau, and do not say who is responsible for justice not being done, but when the claims come here with the evidence and we find that justice has not been done, as a court of equity we try to deal justly with the applicant. Now, the gentleman asks me what is the difference between the administration under a gentleman from his State, whom he says has now become an "X-ray," and the gentleman now at the head of the Bureau. It is the difference between a real man attending to his business and an X-ray at a distance

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Mr. Evans is certainly an ex-Commissioner of Pensions. I thought he had a way—an X-ray talent—of seeing a long way into the pension matters which he passed on; did his duty, at least, in a great measure, as much so as he could to receive no moral support from his party, then, as now, in power.

The gentleman said he did injustice to the claimants; hence they appealed to Congress. But the same thing is occurring with Mr. Ware as Commissioner, though I think he is able and honest.

What is the matter? You do not say Mr. Ware is doing anyone an injustice, nor do I. But who is the officer who does?

Mr. SULLOWAY. I have no doubt that there are mistakes made in that office. It is impossible for it to be otherwise. It is a great board of clerks and examiners. One has one opinion and another has another opinion; and I have no doubt if the gentleman would think for a moment he would find that the appeals made from the Bureau to Congress are not so numerous as the appeals from United States courts to the Supreme Court of the United Therefore it is not remarkable that cases come States pro rata. here in this way.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Well, the gentleman told us at the last session in answer to my question that the reason why so many appeals came here was because the claimants did not get justice at the Bureau. There were over 100 bills here on last Friday taken up and passed, and there are 210 to-day, I understand. Now, does the gentleman mean to say that injustice has been done to all these claimants under Mr. Ware's administration?

Mr. SULLOWAY. Not at all.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Then why do they not go to the Bureau to have their claims passed upon?

Mr. SULLOWAY. Some of these cases were adjudicated way back years ago, while others have no status for technical reasons, yet they are very meritorious and very deserving.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Now. can the gentlemen tell us whether injustice has been done to these claimants whose bills are piled up here before us or not? If any, which?

Mr. SULLOWAY. I do not say that they are doing intentional injustice to anybody, but I will say they fail to do justice in many cases. We examine all the evidence, and we dispose of the cases as a court of equity would do, and a court of equity would not do last session in answer to my question that the reason why so many

as a court of equity would do, and a court of equity would not do

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Is the present administration not

doing justice?

Mr. SULLOWAY. I do not know. The matters go through a

lot of hands, and the claimants often do not get what they are en-

titled to receive, it seems to me.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Does not the gentleman think we ought to do away with a bureau that permits an injustice and ought to do away with a bureau that permits an injustice and compels the claimants to appeal to Congress for justice and substitute a court of three or five judges, like the Court of Claims, which protects the Government, and whose judgments Congress respects and pays almost invariably?

Mr. SULLOWAY. My friend understands that there is a very great difference between a law court and an equity court. In the Bureau they take the evidence and consider it under the rules of the law. We look at it in the light of equity. We read the evi-

the law. We look at it in the light of equity. We read the evidence and give what we think justice demands. That is the way

we do in the committee.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Just a word more. The Chair and the older Members will remember it distinctly that I put the questhe older Members will remember it distinctly that I put the question distinctly to the gentleman in charge of these bills and asked him why so many pension matters were brought here last year from the Pension Bureau. He said deliberately and repeatedly that it was because injustice was being done to the claimants at the Pension Bureau, and hundreds and hundreds and even thousands of appeals were made to Congress to do justice here—in other words, to reverse the action of the Pension Bureau. Now, Mr. Chairman, at the opening of the present session of Con-

Mr. FULLER. I wish to make an explanation here. I think Mr. FULLER. I wish to make an explanation here. I think that the law does not reach many of these cases. There are many of them not cases that can get justice done at the Pension Office, but sp-cial cases, where the law does not reach. The evidence shows that very many of the cases are very meritorious, but that the parties making the claim are in such a condition that they need the aid of the Government. They might have rendered service to the Government that entitled them to it, and no general law reaches that partially class.

law reaches that particular class.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Then why does not the gentleman and his party, who are in power, and who have the power now in this House, bring in a general law to give the relief that is needed, instead of unloading on this House every Friday a great pile of these claims that we all know we do not and can not consider, but pass them as rapidly as two men can talk and the chairman

say "Aye" from the floor? I stated a year ago that during his administration Mr. Evans tried to do his duty, but stood unsupported by his party; and now, with a new Commissioner, we had in this House on the last Friday great piles of these claims brought here for our action, and these claimants are coming here saying that they can not get justice done to them at the Bureau. So it seems to me that it has been demonstrated that there was no more injustice done there under Mr. Evans than is being done now, and you are passing bills in same way as when Evans was Commissioner. I do not believe that Mr. Ware is otherwise than an able and efficient officer. I like the gentleman. I have had the pleasure of meeting him. I think he will give equal and exact justice to these claimants at the Bureau, and if they are not able to give them justice we ought to do away with the Bureau entirely and let Congress or a court do this work. If these claimants can not get justice there, then something is wrong and it ought to be corrected. If the clerks are doing wrong, investigate them and find where the wrong is, find who is doing it, and punish that individual or dismiss him.

Mr. Chairman, I say this causes an unnecessary burden to fall on Congress whi h should be lessened. I want to pass all these pension bills which are proper, and they will be pessed, and I am going to vote for all which are just. We do not know whether they are just or not. Who does? Here is a prima facie case of justice because the committee reports it. That is all we know. But I am trying to get from the gentleman from New Har pshire the reason why so many are brought here under the administra-tion of Mr. Ware when as many were brought under the adminis-tration of Mr. Evans because he did "injustice" to the claimant. By implication Mr. Ware is doing "injustice" to the applicants at that Office, because about the same number of cases come from

the Pension Office under Mr. Ware as under Mr. Evans. I do not the Pension Office under Mr. Ware as under Mr. Evans. I do not believe Mr. Ware is a man who would knowingly do anybody an injustice. The gentleman from New Hampshire now seems to accuse not Commissioner Ware, but some "clerk." Now, who is the clerk? The House every Friday throughout the sessions of Congress considers these cases to do justice to them. Justice ought to be done to the Government and claimant, and a clerk who knowingly does an injustice to a claimant who is justly and legally entitled to a pension ought not only to be dismissed but otherwise dealt with.

Mr. HOLLIDAY. Will the gentleman yield?
The CHAIRMAN. Does the gentleman from Tennessee yield?
Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. I will now yield to the gentleman.

Mr. HOLLIDAY. I want to ask the gentleman if he is opposed to passing these bills?

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. No; I am not opposed to this bill, and the gentleman should know that must be true, for I am, and so is the committee, ignorant of its merits, and it is useless to inquire; but I am opposed to any officer or clerk being guilty of wrongful acts at the Pension Office which force this and other bills to some here for relief. bills to come here for relief.

We should run down the clerk and find out who that clerk is, and if the gentleman can tell me I would like to know, and if he can not tell me I think we ought to have a resolution passed to investigate and find out who is the guilty party.

Mr. HOLLIDAY. I want to ask the gentleman if he is opposed to the passage of any of these bills?

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. I can not answer that. I am not advised of their merits, nor is the committee. The gentleman hower that I have never voted against a hill for a persion which

knows that I have never voted against a bill for a pension which I thought was right. I do not know whether I shall vote for or against these bills. We are ignorant of the facts. I am trying to see why these claims are brought here to Congress that "justice" might be done them, because it is said that injustice was done others down in the Bureau a year ago and were brought here to get justice done. Does this "injustice" still continue in passing on these claims? Who is this "clerk," this wrongdoer? That's

on these claims? Who is this "clerk," this wrongdoer? That's the question.

Mr. RUSSELL. I desire to propound a question to the chairman of the Committee on Invalid Pensions. Has there been any agreement or understanding or any rule adopted by that committee by which each Member of the present House is authorized to have reported a certain number of bills?

Mr. SULLOWAY. Not that I am aware of.

Mr. RUSSELL. There has been no such rule?

Mr. SULLOWAY. Not that I am aware of.

Mr. RUSSELL. I will ask this question, then: Has there been any practice established by this committee allowing each Member to report any given number of bills or have them reported?

Mr. SULLOWAY. The practice of that committee is to do the work that they and its clerks can do—

Mr. RUSSELL. That does not answer the question.

Mr. SULLOWAY. And as gentlemen furnish the evidence in their cases then we consider them, and if a Member does not furnish any evidence then we have nothing to consider. In all cases where application has been made at the Bureau the Pension Office papers are always sent for. Office papers are always sent for.

Mr. RUSSELL. That does not answer the question pro-

pounded.

Mr. SULLOWAY. It does exactly, sir.
Mr. RUSSELL. It does not satisfy me.
Mr. SULLOWAY. That may be; I am not here to satisfy you, necessarily

you, necessarily.

Mr. RUSSELL. Well, that may be true, but neither do I think the gentleman satisfies the House.

Mr. SULLOWAY. Well, I do not know about that.

Mr. RUSSELL. Here is the question: Have the Committee on Invalid Pensions established any practice by which the Members of this House are authorized to have considered any given number of bills?

Mr. SULLOWAY. Not at all, sir.
Mr. RUSSELL. Now, Mr. Chairman, if that be true, I want to put this in the RECORD right in this connection. During the early portion of the special session I received this card, and I presume every Member of Congress received one similar to it. It is headed as follows:

headed as follows:

Committee on Invalid Pensions, House of Representatives, United States, Fifty-eighth Congress.—Cyru's A. Sulloway, of New Hampshire, chairman; Henry R. Gibson, of Tennessee; Samuel W. Smith, of Michigan; William A. Calderhead, of Kansas; Elias Deemer, of Pennsylvania; Elias S. Holliday, of Indiana; Woodfrey Hunter, of Kentucky; Thomas W. Bradley, of New York; Charles E. Fuller, of Illinois; Robert W. Miers, of Indiana; Joseph B. Crowley, of Illinois; George H. Lindsay, of New York; John S. Snook, of Ohio; Alfred Lucking, of Michigan; Frank A. Hopkins, of Kentucky. William H. Topping, clerk; George A. Bailey, assistant clerk; Herman Gauss, principal examiner detailed from Pension Bureau.

Hopkins, of Kentucky. William H. Topping, caerk, George R. Baney, assistant clerk; Herman Gauss, principal examiner detailed from Pension Bureau.

Subcommittee No. 1.—Mr. Gibson, of Tennessee; Mr. Hopkins, of Kentucky; Mr. Snook, of Ohio. States and Territories: Delaware, Ohio, Virginia, Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisana, Arkansas, Texas, and Indian Territory.

Subcommittee No. 2.—Mr. Samuel W. Smith, of Michigan; Mr. Deemer, of Pennsylvania; Mr. Lucking, of Michigan. States and Territories: New Jersey, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Michigan, District of Columbia, Maryland.

Subcommittee No. 3.—Mr. Holliday, of Indiana; Mr. Miers, of Indiana; Mr. Hunter, of Kentucky. States: Indiana, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Kentucky.

Subcommittee No. 4.—Mr. Calierhead, of Kansas; Mr. Fuller, of Illinois; Mr. Crowley, of Illinois. States and Territories: Iowa, Illinois, Kansas, Oklahoma, Nebraska, Colorado, Wyoming, Montana, Idaho, Washington, Oregon, New Mexico, Utah, California, Arizona, Nevada.

Subcommittee No. 5.—Mr. Sulloway of New Hampshire; Mr. Lindsay of New York; Mr. Bradley of New York. States: Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York.

The committee realizing that it is going to be impossible to consider all of the large number of private bills referred, and thinking Members might have some preferences among the bills they have introduced, beg leave to

suggest that they indicate the order in which they prefer that their bills be considered. Members should cause to be filed with their respective bills such evidence as may be requisite to justify the committee in reporting the bill for passage and in defending it before the House. Requests for consideration of bills should be made by Members either in person or in writing, the number of the bill to be stated. Evidence filed direct with the committee must have indorsed thereon the number of the bill to which it relates.

Now, I want to say in this connection, before I propound the question again, that it is not my purpose here—and I am sorry that the gentleman from New Hampshire has manifested some display of impatience—that it is not my purpose to cast any op-probrium on the committee. I realize that you have not time to consider the mass of bills brought in for private pensions at this session of Congress. There have been introduced in this House and in the Senate together between 3,500 and 4,000 private pension bills. There was never assembled the same number of lawyers as constitutes this Committee on Invalid Pensions in any country on earth that could give proper consideration to one half the number of justice-court cases as this committee is compelled

This is in line with what the gentleman from Tennessee [Mr. GAINES] said, because you told him that the reason these bills came here was because they could not receive proper consideration in the Pension Bureau. Now you bring them into a body which every Member knows is absolutely determined to give them no consideration. You have tried to subdivide the labor. You no consideration. You have tried to subdivide the labor. You do not profess in this card which I have read that the committee can consider these matters, but you subdivide the work and give certain States to certain Members and place certain States with certain Members to give consideration to the bills coming to the committee from those States, manifesting, Mr. Chairman—and I say it with all due respect—the physical and mental inability of the committee to give the attention it deserves to the great number of programs brought before it. And still further evidencing ber of measures brought before it. And still further evidencing your inability to properly consider these measures, you invite the Members who have introduced them to select the ones to be con-

sidered by the committee.

Mr. SULLOWAY. We consider them all as far as we can, having first obtained from the Member a list of the cases he considers the most deserving and most meritorious, and we further ask him to specify them in their orders.

Mr. RUSSELL. As far as you can?

Mr. SULLOWAY. As far as we consider them. The subcommittee first examines a bill and then reports it to the full committee, and it is then considered by the full committee, the report being read in full in each case and all the facts brought out.

Mr. RUSSELL. The question still presents itself. By this card you have had printed you say you are unable to give them consideration. It is no reflection on the ability of the committee. I have been a hard-working lawyer ever since I came to the bar, and I know how to turn off business of that sort. I make the assertion that there never was a lawyer, I do not care what his mental virility is, that can take the bills that are sent to your committee. mittee, if they were justice-court cases, and give them one-half

of the consideration that they deserve.

Mr. SULLOWAY. May I ask the gentleman a question?

Mr. RUSSELL. Yes.

Mr. SULLOWAY. Does the gentleman anticipate that not 25 per cent of them are ever asked to be considered by the Members who introduced them?

Mr. RUSSELL. Well, then, if I understand you, there are certain bills that Members never ask to be considered. Is that so?
Mr. SULLOWAY. There has to be with this great number of

Mr. RUSSELL. Why?
Mr. SULLOWAY. Because they can not be reached.
Mr. RUSSELL. They can not be reached by the committee?
Mr. SULLOWAY. Not if we had to consider every bill.
Mr. RUSSELL. There never has been a period since I have been a Member of this body that Congress has not passed every one that you have reported.

Mr. SULLOWAY. That shows great confidence in the com-

Mr. SULLOWAY. That shows great connucince in the connittee. [Laughter.]
Mr. RUSSELL. This committee needs no defense—
Mr. FORDNEY. Then what is the gentleman objecting to?
Mr. RUSSELL. I am trying to get some information for this House. The question that I started to propound was this: Has that committee established any rule or any practice by which each Member of this House can have reported or considered any certain number of bills? Members have told me on the floor that you have agreed to allow each Member two bills.

Mr. SULLOWAY. Nothing of the kind.

Mr. RUSSELL. Is it four bills?

A MEMBER. I have been told that it was two bills.

Mr. RUSSELL. A Member says two bills. I hear it all around me. Have any of you other gentlemen heard it?

Mr. SULLOWAY. It is no matter what the gentleman hears. I am not responsible for that. I say there is no such agreement.

Mr. RUSSELL. Has the committee established any such practice

Mr. SULLOWAY. There is no practice except to equalize the distribution of bills among Members as far as possible and afford relief in as many meritorious cases as can be reached.

Mr. RUSSELL. I heard a Member say the other day that each

Member was to have one bill on the Calendar for that day, and he stated, further, that the Member to whom he was talking was fortunate in having secured the reporting of two of his bills for that Calendar

Mr. SULLOWAY. That meant that Calendar that Mr. RUSSELL. What is the agreement about that? Mr. SULLOWAY. There is no agreement about it. That meant that Calendar that day.

Mr. RUSSELL. Now, then, if that be true, why do you tell gentlemen in this card to report to the committee the bills they ant considered?

Mr. SULLOWAY. Simply because we want to go round and treat each Member the same, as that card says.

Mr. RUSSELL. That is what I am trying to get at. Is there an understanding amongst the committee that you will go around?

That is what we are doing.

Mr. SULLOWAY. That is what w Mr. RUSSELL. How many times? Mr. SULLOWAY. That is exactly That is exactly what the gentleman meant Mr. SULLOWAY. That is exactly what the gentleman meant that spoke to you the other day, that we have on the Calendar so many bills for different gentlemen in the House.

Mr. RUSSELL. You say now the committee wants to go round—how many times?

Mr. SAMUEL W. SMITH. Just as often as we can, sir.

Mr. RUSSELL. That is the practice, then—as often as you can?

Mr. SAMUEL W. SMITH. Yes.

Mr. RUSSELL. How many times can you go round?

Mr. SAMUEL W. SMITH. Sometimes four and sometimes five during a Congress.

during a Congress.

Mr. RUSSELL. Then the maximum is four?

Mr. SAMUEL W. SMITH. I did not say that.

Mr. RUSSELL. If that be true, then some Members on that side of the House—I have not had time to look all of it up, but you have some Members on that side of the House that up to the adjournment of the extra session had introduced, one of them eighty journment of the extra session had introduced, one of them eighty bills, another seventy, and another one had introduced eighty-nine bills. Now, here is the question that I want to ask the committee: If this Member, Mr. Jones, or Mr. Brown, or Mr. Thompson, or whoever he may be, comes in here with eighty bills, and he picks out four of them and tells your committee that he wants you to investigate those four, why is not that gentleman doing the other seventy-six a greater injustice than has been done them over here at the Pension Burgan?

the other seventy-six a greater injustice than has been done them over here at the Pension Bureau?

Mr. SULLOWAY. May I answer the gentleman?

A Member. The blame is not with the committee.

Mr. RUSSELL. I don't care who the blame rests with. I am not talking about where the blame should be placed.

Mr. SULLOWAY. It will appeal to anybody that a Member in his district knows the meritorious cases better than a man living in the second than State or district and the Members are reliable. ing in some other State or district, and the Members are asked to name the bills in the order as they deem them the most meritori-That is the reason.

Mr. RUSSELL. Now, Mr. Chairman, without any feeling in the matter, supposing that be the rule the committee has established, and I take it from that confession of the gentleman that

that is the rule

Mr. SULLOWAY. I don't admit it is any confession-there

Mr. SULLOWAY. I don't admit it is any confession—there is no confession about it. I am merely stating a fact.
Mr. RUSSELL. Well, admission then.
Mr. SULLOWAY. There is no admission about it.
Mr. RUSSELL. Well, then, statement. I shall accommodate myself to the verbal differences between myself and the gentlement. I will say the statement them and I well the confession. man. I will say the statement, then, and I recall the expressions "admission" and "confession"—the statement the gentleman makes. If that be true, this state of facts is bound to be existent in this House, and that is this: Nobody here disputes the fact that the private-pension system has grown utterly beyond the power of this House to consider it—to consider it. I mean that word in its broadest signification. There is not a man in this House—and I make the statement now and ask that it be challenged if it can be—who will say that in the practice of the House in passing these bills any consideration is given to them at all. And the committee in this card states that it has grown beyond

the power of the committee to consider them.

Mr. SULLOWAY. No bill is reported here that has not been carefully considered. I can not let that statement go into the

Mr. RUSSELL. Nobody knows anything about this bill now, I will venture the assertion, except the Member who made the report and the man who introduced it. You can not find out

anything about it.
Mr. SULLOWAY. The report is before you, the same as in

every other bill that comes before the House for consideration, and a carefully considered detailed statement, warranted by the evidence and presented to this Committee of the Whole House, and these reports are easily obtainable at the Document Room.

Mr. RUSSELL. Mr. Chairman, this Calendar shows—
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. Mr. Chairman—
The CHAIRMAN Document for the Toront violate the

The CHAIRMAN. Does the gentleman from Texas yield to the gentleman from Michigan?

Mr. RUSSELL. I yield.

Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I would like to ask how much the gentleman addressing the House knows or will know the protest upon the present bill before the House that the the he votes upon the present bill before the House-that is, the army appropriation bill, carrying \$80,000,000—how much he knows in detail about the provisions of that bill, and how much he knew about the details of the bill which passed the other day, carrying twenty-eight millions, reported from the Appropriation Committee

Committee?

Mr. RUSSELL. I am glad that the gentleman has asked that question. I wish to God we had the same chance to have the same examination of these private pension bills. I know that the bill last week making appropriation for legislative, executive, and judicial purposes is almost similar to bills of that character that have passed this House for the last fifty years. I know that before that bill was brought in here it was put within the power of every man in this House to go to the Book of Estimates and see what the bill was going to be based upon.

I know that the departments having charge of those matters brought in a report that every one in this House had an opportunity to read. I know that in each of those bills and in every other appropriation bill which is passed here we devote from six hours to three days in discussion of it, so that we can find out

hours to three days in discussion of it, so that we can find out

something about it.

Upon the other hand, I know that there went upon the Calendar of this House on last Monday 103 private pension bills. I know that on Wednesday you added eighty-five to that number. I know that you added twenty-two to-day. Since last night, after the House adjourned, you have put twenty-two bills on that

Mr. SULLOWAY. That is not the fact. We have put no bills on the Calendar last night or to-day.

Mr. RUSSELL. Does the gentleman say that twenty-two bills have not been put on the Calendar since last night?

Mr. SULLOWAY. Not from the Committee on Invalid Pen-

Mr. RUSSELL. I am not asking from what committee these bills came; but they are private pension bills that appear on this Calendar for the first time to-day. I know that there were 103 put on last Monday. I have the Calendars to prove my assertion. I know that there were one hundred and eighty-odd on the Calendar on Wednesday, and that now you have 210. Now, what chance has there been to consider those bills?

Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. The gentleman has not given any answer to the question I propounded. He has simply said how he might get information in regard to the two bills to which

I referred; but the question is, Did he personally investigate and obtain the information for himself on those two great bills?

Mr. RUSSELL. Yes, sir. I sent and got the Book of Estimates, and I have been giving the best attention I could to those bills.

Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. How much have you given?

Mr. RUSSELL. Every bit that I have ability to give.

Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. That is very indefinite. [Laughter]

ter.]
Mr. RUSSELL. Certainly it is.
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. I owe it both to the gentleman
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. Mr. GARDNER of Mic and myself to say that in my remark just now I meant no reflec-tion upon his ability. The House put an entirely wrong con-struction upon my remark if it supposed that I meant any reflec-tion of that kind.

Mr. RUSSELL. I did not so consider it. I am not so modest as that. I assure the gentleman that I am taking no offense at his

answer—not a bit.

Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. But it is well known to every Member of this House that it is a mental and physical impossi-Texas if he has been a studious, patient, and faithful member of the committees to which he belongs—to enter into the details of each and every bill coming before the House and vote intelligently upon each specific provision.

Mr. RUSSELL. You commit the bill to the committee, do

Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. Wait a moment.

take the judgment of the committees largely, and the committees take the judgment of the subcommittees.

Mr. RUSSELL. Very true; but you have not done that in this instance, according to this card. You have taken the personal selection and personal decision of the man who introduced the bill.

Several Members. Oh, no.
Mr. RUSSELL. You say so in terms.
A Member. They are the only ones that have been considered.
Mr. RUSSELL. If you do not consider them, in the name of heavens what chance has a bill?

Mr. SULLOWAY. We have only taken up the cases which a

Member desired to have considered.

Mr. RUSSELL. Well, I will ask the chairman of the Committee on Invalid Pensions this question: Have you ever reported a bill here this session, or at the extra session, except one that a Member has sent to your committee to be considered?

Mr. SULLOWAY. We did not have any bills before us at the extra session, as there was no committee at that time.

Mr. RUSSELL. Well, this session.

Mr. SULLOWAY. We have had but one pension day in the House this session before to-day.

Mr. RUSSELL. Oh, don't fence with me in that way. Have you reported any bill here except such as a Member asked to have an sidewed? considered?

Mr. SULLOWAY. Not one, except Senate bills.
Mr. RUSSELL. Then the gentlemen of this House who have introduced from 50 to 150 bills and who, according to the gentleintroduced from 50 to 150 bils and who, according to the gentleman who addressed me a while ago over there, are relying upon the fact that there may be a chance to "go round" four times, ought to be able when they go home to say to their constituents why they picked out those four bills particularly to be considered.

Mr. BURKE. May I ask the gentleman a question?

Mr. RUSSELL. Yes, sir; certainly.

Mr. BURKE. I should like to have the gentleman state whether he has ever introduced a bill in this House upon any subject that was considered by a committee before he had requested.

ject that was considered by a committee before he had requested the committee to consider it.

Mr. RUSSELL. I presume not.
Mr. BURKE. Then would you expect a bill which you had
pending here for a private pension to be considered before you

requested it?

Mr. RUSSELL. Now you emphasize my position. These gentlemen will not only go back to their constituents with the information that four bills were picked out by them, but they will go back, according to the gentleman's assertion, with the additional information that they have not asked the committee to consider

information that they have not asked the committee to consider any more.

Mr. BURKE. Now, let me put this proposition—

Mr. RUSSELL. All right.

Mr. BURKE. I would say to the gentleman that I represent a constituency that has a very large old-soldier population. I have, perhaps, introduced at this session of Congress six bills. Out of that number I indicated two bills that I wanted to have considered before the other two. These two parties were not upon the pension rolls at all, while the others were already drawing pensions under existing law. Now, I want to know if it was not proper to indicate to the committee that I wanted the two bills of the parties not drawing pensions at all considered before they considered the other bills?

Mr. RUSSELL. I think the gentleman has got his defense in the Record, and I will expect to see him back here next Congress.

Mr. SAMUEL W. SMITH. Will the gentleman allow me to ask him a question?

Mr. RUSSELL. Certainly.
Mr. SAMUEL W. SMITH. Do you claim that a single Member here has not been fairly treated by the Invalid Pensions Com-

Why, Members of the House for years and years have been interested in these people who are to get the pensions; they are interested in the people for whom they introduced these bills.

Mr. RUSSELL. How many bills have you introduced?

Mr. SAMUEL W. SMITH. I do not know. I am not sure as

to how many.

Mr. RUSSELL. Have you introduced as many as fifteen or sixteen?

Mr. SAMUEL W. SMITH. I have introduced more than fif-

Mr. RUSSELL. Have you introduced as many as fifty? What interest have you in those bills? Those poor men back in your district are interested in them, but what interest have you in those bills?

Mr. SAMUEL W. SMITH. Why, no more interest than in any other measure I have introduced.

Mr. RUSSELL. You are a personal acquaintance of a great majority of them.

Mr. FORDNEY. How many bills of this kind have you introduced?

Mr. RUSSELL. I have not introduced any at all.
Mr. HOLLIDAY. Have not you introduced any bills—pension

Mr. RUSSELL. No, sir.

Mr. FORDNEY. Have you no old soldiers back in your district? Do you not know that Members on your side of the House have introduced as many as 150 bills?

Mr. RUSSELL. I say this: I am glad the gentleman called my attention to it, and I will say that the old soldiers of this country have not any better or truer friends in this House and I will try have not any better or truer friends in this House-and I will mention their names, because they are the two that now occur to me—than Judge Miers, of Indiana, and Mr. Robinson of Indiana. I would as soon take Judge Miers's word on any bill he brings in here as anybody, but you bring in a whole lot of these measures when you know they can not be considered. You ask us to pass them all without any opportunity to consider them.

Mr. FORDNEY. Here is the report on this measure.

Mr. HEPBURN. Will the gentleman allow me to ask him a

question?

Mr. RUSSELL. Certainly.
Mr. HEPBURN. I understood the gentleman to say that he has not any feeling in this matter.
Mr. RUSSELL. Not at all.

Mr. RUSSELL. Not at all.
Mr. HEPBURN. I want to ask the gentleman if it is not true
that in the campaign he made against Mr. De Graffenreid, a gentleman who had for his friend every man who knew him, if he did not assail him—bitterly assail him—because he had not stopped what you choose to denominate this outrage upon the public

what you choose to denominate this outrage upon the public Treasury in granting all these pensions? Did you not make that a personal issue against him?

Mr. RUSSELL. I made this issue—

Mr. HEPBURN. Oh, just answer my question.

Mr. RUSSELL. I will answer the question in my own way.

Mr. HEPBURN. Did not you make that a personal issue with Mr. De Graffenreid?

Mr. PUSSELL. I decline to recept the grantlement to put the

Mr. RUSSELL. I decline to permit the gentleman to put the words into my mouth in answering the question that he has asked

me. I will answer it in my own way.

Mr. HEPBURN. Did you not make it a personal issue with him that he did not stop the granting of these pensions?

Mr. RUSSELL. I am going to answer the gentleman's question, and he must not answer it for me. I will answer it myself.

And he must let me make my answer.

Mr. HEPBURN. Make it.

Mr. RUSSELL. I said this: That if the pension laws were unjust, if they were improper and unequitable, they ought to be amended and some general power, under sworn authority, should have charge of these matters and say who should and who should not have pensions in this country; and I said that an examina-tion of the records would show that this House did not have the time to deal with or consider so many of these pension bills.

Mr. HEPBURN rose.
Mr. RUSSELL. Let me get through. Since I have come here
I have found this information has been confirmed by my own personal observation.

Mr. HEPBURN. Now, have you made your answer?
Mr. RUSSELL. Yes, sir.
Mr. HEPBURN. Now, will you answer the question I asked
you? Did you not assail Mr. De Graffenreid because he had not exerted himself, as you said, against this wrong and outrage?

Mr. RUSSELL. Not because he had not assailed it, but be-

cause he had engaged in it.

Mr. HEPBURN. Engaged in it!

Mr. RUSSELL. And I took the position that no one who had engaged in it could consistently attack it.

Mr. HEPBURN. Then you did have that persona' feeling, and

Mr. HEPBURN. Then you did have that persona' feeling, and you did have that personal issue, because you rode that issue over his head in that race. [Applause on the Republican side.]

Mr. RUSSELL. No. I do not believe that was the only issue upon which I was elected. I had no personal issue in the matter—no personal feeling in the matter now. I had simply an interest in the existence of a state of affairs here that, in my judgment, ought not to prevail, and I still have that opinion.

Mr. HEPBURN. Let me ask the gentleman another question?

Mr. RUSSELL. Certainly.

Mr. HEPBURN. Have you introduced any measure here looking to stopping what you denounce a dereliction of duty or to remedy a dereliction of duty upon the part of Mr. De Graffenreid, and have you introduced any measure in the two Congresses of which you have been a Member except three bills for the particular and especial benefit of people in your district?

which you have been a Member except three bills for the particular and especial benefit of people in your district?

Mr. RUSSELL. Certainly. I will tell you what I have done. I do not see how you can introduce a general law that would curtail the privileges of this Congress in passing special acts. The gentleman is too good a lawyer to say that I could introduce a general law here that would be held valid to prevent Congress passing these special acts, but I will tell the gentleman what I have done.

Mr. HEPBURN. Just a moment, if the gentleman will permit me. He has introduced three bills during his Congressional life—

one to provide for the enlargement and improvement of the public buildings at Tyler, Tex.; another to provide for a public building at Terrell, Tex.; another for the relief of the legal representatives of the Hon. O. M. Roberts and others. That is the extent, is it not?

Mr. RUSSELL. I am glad my friend puts that in the shape of an interrogatory, because that relieves him from standing upon it as an assertion. No single that is not the fact. Nor I will tell it as an assertion. No, sir; that is not the fact. Now I will tell you what I have done. Let me ask you a question. I offered a bill here—you were speaking about what my career has been as a Member of Congress—and it is a recent one—

Mr. HEPBURN. I only spoke of it because of the specific character of the assault the gentleman made upon my old-time

friend De Graffenreid.

Mr. RUSSELL. Then the gentleman holds malice against me because I came to Congress, I presume. [Applause on the Demo-

cratic side.]

Mr. HEPBURN. No; that is not the reason at all—

Mr. RUSSELL. I will say to the gentleman that if he harbors that malice I regret it, because he will have it the longest day he lives. Let me get back to the gentleman from Iowa. It is not fair to me, Mr. Chairman, for the gentleman to put in this RECORD that those three bills were all I have introduced without taking an answer. I now take a personal interest in putting this into the RECORD. I introduced early here a bill, public in its character, making it a felony for any national bank or banking association or officer thereof to accept a deposit of money, or create any indebtedness by which such deposit could get into the bank, if it was in failing or insolvent circumstances. You have got that law in Iowa. Do you not think it is a good law? that law in Iowa. Mr. PERKINS.

That is the law now.

That is the law in Iowa. Is it not a good law?

Mr. HEPBURN. That is a good law.
Mr. RUSSELL. Then you approve of the course of the gentleman from Texas in that? [Applause on the Democratic side.] I trust before I get through I may be able to dissipate the malice you

trust before I get through I may be able to dissipate the malice you evidently have against me for my defeat of Mr. De Graffenreid.

Mr. HEPBURN. No; there is no malice. The only—

Mr. RUSSELL. I take that back, because I know the gentleman did not mean that. The gentleman's question was more a matter of pleasantry, and I have replied in the same vein and spirit. That is not the only public bill I have offered. I regret that my personality has been injected into this discussion; but that is not the only public bill which I have offered. There are others—

measures which I think possess merit and which I shall offer again.

Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. Did I understand the gentleman to say that he did not introduce any bill or bills of a private nature affecting soldiers?

Mr. RUSSELL.

Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. Have you any Federal soldiers

living in your district?

Mr. RUSSELL. I think so.

Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. Do you know of any?

Mr. RUSSELL. I am sure of it; yes.
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. About how many?

Mr. RUSSELL. I have not the remotest idea.

Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. Have you ever been requested to introduce any such bills?

Mr. RUSSELL. For Federal soldiers—no, sir.
Mr. GARDNER of Michigan. Then the gentleman acknowledges that you are against it in the South?
Mr. RUSSELL. I have no animosity toward the old soldier; not at all; but I am opposed to the system of passing private pension bills as it prevails in this body. I do submit that the system as it prevails here is subject to animadversion, and it ought to be abolished. Let me tell the gentleman this: You have got 210 bills on this Calendar, and you are going to pass them this afternoon, every one of them. The gentleman knows that the committee can not do it if it gives them any consideration.

There is but one way to rectify this evil. When that great body of men known as the Grand Army of the Republic realizes that you gentlemen have, by the passing of bills here for men that could not get the relief under the general law, by means of which you give more to them than you do to the old soldier in the Union Army that went into the field of battle and fought for his country—when they realize that they are going to demand that this system stop, and it will stop. [Applause on the Democratic side 1

Mr. CLARK. Now, Mr. Chairman, before anybody else speaks, let us have orde

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman's point is well taken. Members will resume their seats and cease conversation.

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. Mr. Chairman, I did not desire to

take the time of the committee for more than two or three minutes, and that only for the purpose of making an explanation. I

have a good deal of sympathy with the gentleman from Texas [Mr. RUSSELL]. I can realize that it is not an unfriendly motive, that it is not an unpatriotic motive that prompts him to go into the RECORD. I realize under the rules of this House no Member can fully keep up with all legislation, except from the committee of which he is a member, yet I can not allow his statement, that these bills have not and can not be properly considered, to go into the RECORD without a challenge. I am a member of that committee and do not believe the committee needs any defense at my hands, yet I want to say to him and the House what is the truth, that I, in common with other members of that committee, have spent not only hours on the regular meeting days—Mondays and Fridays—but I believe the record will show (I know it is the fact) that I and other members of that committee have put in the committee room more than two hours nearly every day, considering these questions and others that came before the committee. While all claims can not be considered, all claims that are considered favorably have been carefully considered and the committee is ready to defend the action of the committee. I will agree with the gentleman that it is impossible for that or any other committee to consider all the bills that are referred to that committee.

I believe the facts are, to that committee have been referred nearly 50 per cent of all the bills that have been introduced in this House. No other committee has so many bills, and I doubt if any other committee does more work. I understand the gentleman from Tennessee to say that it is in some way the fault of the Pension Bureau. I am not quite willing to admit it. I do not believe there could be a million of litigants, whether they belong to this class of soldiers or not, that when their cases have been adjusted, but what there would be as much or more complaint and more bills than there are from the Pension Bureau. I confess the position occupied by the Committee on Pensions is a very laborious one and very difficult, and I hope this Congress will make the law plainer and better define the rights of the soldier.

I will agree with the gentleman that many of us under the cir-

cumstances introduce more bills than we can expect to have passed or considered. But when an old soldier becomes destitute and physically weak we can only introduce the bill, then do all we can for him, and if we can not get all bills considered it is not

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Mr. Chairman, I want to suggest to the gentleman that this whole controversy grew out of an inquiry that I made of the chairman, to wit, Why is it that so many bills under our new Pension Commissioner came here to Congress for relief instead of being sent to and settled by the Pension Bureau? Under the H. Clay Evans administration the gentleman from New Hampshire [Mr. Sulloway] said it was because injustice was done them at the Pension Office.

Now, is injustice that is being done them at the Bureau the reason why the bills come here now in such large numbers? I do reason why the bills come here now in such large numbers? I do not believe that Mr. Ware would do anybody injustice knowingly, and the debate discloses the truth of this. The gentleman from New Hampshire says that some "clerk" was responsible for these numerous troubles. If that is so, I think we ought to "go after" that clerk, or anybody else that does the soldier "injustice" and sends all these claims to Congress. If he can be found he ought to be discharged. Nobody objects to the passage of these bills that are just and legal, but we do want to find out what officer is doing this "injustice," so that we can relieve Congress from the burden—a great burden—taking four days of each month to "pass burden-a great burden-taking four days of each month to "pass these bills, thus barring us from other work a "clerk" can't do.

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. If my friend will excuse me, the trouble is this: There is a law that applies to a million claimants. The claimants are like other people; they are never quite satisfied unless the adjudication of the case goes their way; that is human The officer is compelled to justify his action and must do it under the law, and I do not believe that a better answer can be made to the gentleman's question than for me to read from

the report in this very case.

First, let me say that, so far as I know, the Commissioner does the best he can, and the same applies to others in the Pension Bureau. I visit the office of the second deputy almost daily. Captain Kelley presides, and I have always found him and his force fair and just. I have universally found them willing to do all the law will permit for the soldier. I have never found any influence, political or otherwise, that influenced their action. While I am a Democrat, I can get for the soldiers of my district any action that is proper or permissible. I have never heard any other claim, except during the last campaign my honorable competitor claimed that a Democrat could not have the same consideration as a Republican. But I want to again refute the charge.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. I do not object to this particular

case, and I am, and the whole committee practically are, unadvised of the merits of these bills and cases,

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. I know the gentleman does not, but it

will answer his question by reading from the record.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. I have no objection to this case, because I do not know anything about it.

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. I know; but I want to read from this report, because it will answer the gentleman, so that he can no

This bill proposes to increase the pension of the soldier named therein from \$24 to \$50 per month.

James H. Cummings, now 69 years of age, served as a private in Company B. Eleventh Michigan Cavalry, from September 15, 1864, to June 16, 1865, when honorably discharged.

Medical records of the War Department show that he was under treatment at various dates for measles and anemia.

He is now pensioned under the general law at \$24 per month on account of chronic diarrhea and resulting piles and general debility.

A claim on account of hernia as a result of his diarrhea was rejected in September, 1853, upon the ground that such disability could not be accepted as such result, and a claim filed in 1902 for increase of pension, in which the beneficiary alleged that he required the regular aid and attendance of another person, was also rejected in May, 1903, upon the ground that the disability of accepted service origin did not warrant a rate in excess of \$24 per month and that his condition was due in part to other than the pensioned causes.

causes.

When last examined, on August 27, 1902, the board of surgeons found him so disabled from heart disease, stomach trouble, hernia, chronic diarrhea, hemorrhoids, catarrh, enlarged prostate, defective hearing, enaciation, extreme nervousness, and malnutrition as to require the aid and attendance of another person in dressing and undressing, and rated him \$50 for that

or another person in dressing and undressing, and rated him \$50 for that condition.

The soldier alleged that in the summer of 1887 he was confined to his bed with chronic diarrhea, which caused him to strain while at stool; that he had gotten able to walk about in the latter part of the summer; that he was very sore about the lower abdomen; that the hurting sensation annoyed him, and that soon after this a lump appeared in the left side which the doctors pronounced a hernia, and another on the right side in about six or eight weeks thereafter.

Upon appeal, the Secretary of the Interior held, under date of September 23, 1900, that from the claimant's own observation as to the manner and time of the discovery of the hernia it was clear that it was not incurred suddenly from straining, but evidently of gradual development, and declined to admit such hernia as a result of chronic diarrhea.

Medical evidence, filed in the Pension Bureau in 1903, shows that the beneficiary still requires the aid and attendance of another person by reason of his combined disabilities.

A claim on account of disease of heart as a result of the pensioned causes was also rejected by the Bureau in 1899 as having no connection therewith, and claims for resulting varicose veins and partial deafness were likewise rejected.

rejected.

Now, then, this examination shows that the man could not only Now, then, this examination shows that the man could not only not dress himself, but he could not hear, that he requires the aid of some one to assist him every hour of the day. That is the condition of that old soldier. We have a law, and what is that law? The law says if that condition is due to his Army service, he is entitled to \$50 a month. If it is not due to the service, he can only have \$24. Here is the clerk's reasoning. The clerk who passed upon that said he did not believe the evidence of the fact that this reason's condition was of service origin. Hence they only some erson's condition was of service origin. Hence they only gave him \$24 a month under the law.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. How many officers approved of

that adjudication?

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. What does the gentleman mean by

"how many?"

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Did Mr. Ware approve of it?

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. Yes. But I do not suppose the Commissioner personally reviewed the claim, but it went the same route of all other claims.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. And then the claimant came to

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. Yes; there was nothing else for him

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Will the gentleman tell me why there are as many claims brought here at this session of Congress under the Ware administration as were brought here before under the Evans administration? I am asking for information; I am not

objecting to this case.

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. I do not question the gentleman's honesty. When the clerk who passed on this deemed it not to be of service origin it came to this committee, and I might read of service origin it came to this committee, and I might read further from this report to show the justification of the law. This man is 5 feet 8 inches high. He weighs only 110 pounds. He is 69 years old. He gave two years of his life, the best part of it, for the old flag and the Union and the integrity of the Union and the Constitution that you and I so well love and as Democrats desire so often to talk about. We find him homeless, in destitute circumstances. His present pension does not yield him the ordinary necessaries of life. Now, without saying whether the Bureau is right or wrong, when your committee finds that kind of a record, perhaps we construe the doubts one way while the Depart-

it, I say, that there are as many claims brought here every Friday under Mr. Ware's administration as were brought under Mr. Evans's administration, and is it a fact that down there they have not time to consider claims and that they thus come here to be considered?

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. No, sir: all claims are considered.
Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. And if that is a fact, why not give
them more force down there and let Congress go on with other
business, instead of doing that which the "clerks" and this Bureau

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. I am not prepared to say what the motive is or why the soldier is not satisfied nor what blame should attach to the Commissioner. I will say to the gentleman from Tennessee frankly that I realize that the position of the Commissioner of Pensions is a very difficult one.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. So do I.

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. I will say to him further, if he wants

me to, that I do not believe the Commissioner of Pensions is as me to, that I do not believe the Commissioner of Pensions is as liberal as I would be or the country expects of him. That is one of the reasons they come here. Upon the other hand, there is another reason, and that is that a man is so built that by reason of self-interest he is not fully satisfied unless he gets the adjudication just as he wants it. They find that you and I and the Members of this House are friendly and they come here for a little further relief. The Bureau is administered with ability and I am super it takes great patience of the head of the department. Very sure it takes great patience of the head of the department. You may take the number of cases that is on this Calendar and you will find that the committee has carefully sifted them, and, by the way, in the way of answer to a question of my good friend from Texas [Mr. Russell], who asked if we had turned down any claims, I will tell him this: The claims considered are not all but on this Calendar. There are Members within the control of put on this Calendar. There are Members within the sound of my voice as well as the other members of the committee who have asked certain bills to be considered.

The committee has considered them and has found that it was The committee has considered them and has found that it was not practicable to report favorably upon them. Many are refused, and some that appeal pretty strongly, but the committee, like the Commissioner, does the best it can. I do not know whether it is a matter for criticism or not, and if it is I never thought it, but in that event we have said to the Members, "Your bill that you ask is No. 1.2 or 2. the committee can be considered them. ask is No. 1, 2, or 3; the committee can not consider it favorably. We want to treat you like any other Member of the House; you indicate some other bill, and we will take that up and consider it." And the facts are that on this Calendar we have gone around among the Members and treated all Members alike, until there are 107 Members represented on this Calendar to-day. The committee tries to deal fairly with the Members, as well as the sol-

dier and the country.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Now, will my friend yield?

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. Certainly.

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. Certainty.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Have they enough machinery down at the Pension Office to attend to the pension business?

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. I think so; yes.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Some one stated a while ago on the other side of the House that they have not.

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. That is a matter of opinion. I think they have. At least I have heard no complaint. There are near 2000 clocks, and \$140,000,000 is dishursed by reason of the Pen 2,000 clerks, and \$140,000,000 is disbursed by reason of the Pension Bureau.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Does not the gentleman think that instead of having a Commissioner down there we had better establish a court of three judges and let the findings of that court be similar to the findings of the Court of Claims, at least, instead of having all this hubbub every Friday and all this trouble and de-lay? Clearly better attention would be given cases by a court than this House is even allowed to give these bills and cases. No one wants to keep the soldier out of his pension if it be just. The gentleman knows that I have been here day and night for seven years whenever this committee has met, and have helped that committee to pass these bills. I am ready to vote for this bill, but I hope the gentleman will find out who that clerk is down that the television of the second cases. there that is doing an injustice to these people, compelling them to come here and bother Congress to take up one day out of every

week through the long as well as the short session of this body.

Mr. MIERS of Indiana. As a matter of course, if I we e to
hunt out that clerk, then it would be a question of opinion between that clerk and myself or yourself. He has simply adjudicated the claim. Now, as Representatives, we find these claims.

I know the gentleman's motive is high and honorable and that he
is not opposed to giving the soldier his just desert. As members
of the committee we have sifted them. If I or some other centlement over at the Pension Bureau construed it the other.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Mr. Chairman, I have stated that I have no objection on God's earth to the passage of this bill. I made a simple inquiry, which the gentleman has not answered. I know the gentleman can always answer questions, and I know my friend will be frank enough to answer my question. Why is

The committee is now considering a service-pension bill, and I think it will make a favorable report to the House. For one I favor a service pension. I would give every soldier who had three years' service, an honorable discharge, and 62 years old, \$24; two years, \$20; and one and one-half years, \$18; and one year, \$12; and make every such soldier's discharge his absolute right—and if totally disabled, \$30. A bill will be reported, and I am sure the wisdom of the House will improve the present pension laws. [Applause.]

A deserving old soldier submits his case; the House is ready and willing to consider it favorably; the money is in the Treasury; the people of this great Republic are saying, "Yield to such men just a little compensation from our abundant Treasury for what they have suffered." deserving old soldier submits his case; the House is ready and

Now, shall we do that, or shall we take up more time and do nothing? For one I am in favor of granting relief to these few to whom we can grant it; and if we can not give the needed relief to any greater number, then it is not a hardship to those who do

not receive because their comrades do receive just a little at the hands of this body.

Mr. GIBSON. Mr. Chairman, I wish to state to the House, as there are a great many new Members here who have not heard these discussions in past sessions, what the practice of the Committee on Invalid Pensions is in the case of bills of this kind re-

ferred to them for consideration.

In the first place, there are now pending before that committee over 5,000 special bills. Of course it is manifest to Members that it is impossible for us to consider the whole 5,000. We want to do justice as far as possible to the beneficiaries in these bills, and we want to favor Members of Congress as much as possible, con-

sistent with equal justice to them all

We have uniformly given it out that we would take up cases for consideration for each Member who has bills before the Committee. It makes no difference on which side of the House he sits. We pay absolutely no attention to section or to politics in the committee, as my friend from Indiana [Mr. Miers] who has just spoken will attest. We endeavor to give every Member of Congress his pro rata, and we call upon all the Members to let us know which of their bills they wish considered first, and we take the cases up and consider them in the order in which Members indicate their preference. A Member with twenty bills will indicate to us, I will say, three bills; he will mark one "No. 1," another "No. 2," and another "No. 3." Thereupon, we send to the Pension Bureau for the files in those three cases.

Our expert clerk takes the files with such other evidence as may be furnished him by the Member of Congress or the beneficiary. He goes through the files; he makes his statement of the facts as he understands them. The file marked "No. 1" is preferred for consideration and is referred to a subcommittee-we will suppose to be subcommittee No. 1, of which I happen to be a member. That committee takes up the case. We examine it. We see whether it is meritorious. If it be not meritorious, it is so reported, and if the whole committee concurs we lay it aside; and it is not further considered at this session of Congress unless the defect in it shall hereafter be remedied. The subcommittee reports to the full committee of fifteen members. If there is any objection at all, it is brought out in the consideration and discus-

The whole case is stated.

This Member has something to say; that Member has something to say. This one criticises; that one answers the criticism. If there is unanimity upon the part of the committee the bill is reported favorably—not by the subcommittee, but by the whole committee. If there is serious criticism, the bill is laid aside. We do not report any case upon the vote of a bare majority. There must be almost absolute unanimity in order to give a particular bill the sanction of a favorable report from the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

After we have reported a bill for one Member we postpone that Member until every other Member of the House who is asking to have a bill considered has had one considered. We go all around and consider a bill for each Member asking it before reporting a second bill for any Member.

Of course, in order to receive favorable consideration by the

committee, it must be a meritorious bill. Very frequently Members request the consideration of a bill that is not meritorious.

I have myself had laid aside at this session of Congress nine bills that I have been asked to have reported from our committee, the committee of course concurring in my action. They were laid aside for the reason that they were not meritorious. In such a case I have gone to the Member and said to him, "Give me another chance to report a bill for you. The one you asked to have reported is not meritorious, and we can not afford to bring it before the House and make a report in favor of it, to be spread upon the records of the country.

The Member has immediately thanked me and said, "I have another bill which I am just as anxious to get through as the

former one;" and thereupon he tells me what bill it is. We send to the document room for it; he indorses upon it, "I want this bill next preferred," and he signs his name to it, and then this bill is taken up and considered on the proof in the case.

Now, Mr. Chairman, that is the method of procedure in our committee. The committee is fairly evenly divided on questions of politics; but the question of politics has never been sprung in

the committee since I have been a member of it, and I have been such for eight years. Our anxiety is to give every Member his fair pro rata of bills reported by the committee.

We are anxious to give the Democratic side their fair pro rata; and there are Members on that side who will bear witness that I actually go over among them and suggest to them, "Why don't you make a preference of some of your pension bills and give us a chance to report them for you?" For it happens that my constituency in this House—the States that I am considered as representing on my subcommittee—are largely Democratic States; and I am anxious that the Democratic Members of this House shall have a fair showing before the Invalid Pensions Committee, and I have asserted their rights in this respect uniformly, not only in the committee, but on the floor of this House.

Mr. ROBINSON of Indiana. I am in accord with the sentiment of the gentleman and bear testimony to the fairness of the com-

mittee, and I want to ask him this question: Can he give us information as to how many bills are introduced by House Members and also how many Senate bills are introduced-the total number

per Congress

Mr. GIBSON. There are at present about 5,000 private pension bills that have been introduced in the House and about 2,000 in the

Mr. ROBINSON of Indiana. And about the number per annum or per Congress that the committee is able to consider and pass upon

Mr. GIBSON. We pass upon about 1,500, and lay aside about 200 of those 1,500.

Mr. ROBINSON of Indiana. Is there not some way that that committee can devise that the soldiers may have their claims

passed upon more rapidly or have a prompt adjudication?

Mr. GIBSON. That is not the object of my rising to make these remarks. I want to state to the House what the general rules are under which the Invalid Pension Committee acts in pass-

ing upon private pension bills.

Mr. ROBINSON of Indiana. Then only about 10 per cent of those introduced receive the consideration of Congress?

those introduced receive the consideration of Congress?

Mr. GIBSON. I will state to the gentleman that a great many Members introduce private bills that they know have no very special merit, but do it to satisfy their constituents. I plead guilty to that charge myself; but Members introduce many bills that are exceedingly meritorious, and they are very anxious to have them passed on by the House. A great many bills have no special merit and are never brought before our committee, because the men who introduce them know that they will be turned down, and therefore they select some of their most meritorious bills for our consideration. The Members themselves cull them out, and then we consider them carefully, and if found meritorious we rethen we consider them carefully, and if found meritorious we report them, and it is this class of bills that are now on the Calendar.

Now, Mr. Chairman, I want to go a step further and say why it is that we report bills. On what grounds do we act? I will take the first bill passed this morning. It is the case of Mark A. Shelton, a bill introduced by the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. DE ARMOND], a prominent Member upon the Democratic side, and DE ARMOND, a prominent member upon the Democratic side, and it well illustrates the principles of our action. Who is this man Mark A. Sheldon? In the first place he served in the Mexican war from June, 1846, to June, 1847. He was a Mexican war soldier with an honorable discharge. In the next place, he served in the Union Army during the war of the rebellion from March, 1862, to March, 1863, and was honorably discharged.

A year's service in the Mexican war and a year's service in the civil war. How much pension is he drawing? Twelve dollars a month, under the act of 1890. He could also have drawn it under the Mexican pension law, which now gives every Mexican soldier \$12 a month; but he was already drawing that under the act of 1890 as a civil-war soldier and was getting that pension of \$13 a month when we increased Mexican-war pensions to \$12 a month.

Now, Mr. Chairman and gentlemen, let us look at the condition of this man as shown by the report in the case. He is 77 years of age, practically blind in both eyes, suffering from piles, disease of the heart, and rheumatism; has to be helped by somebody from room to room; can not travel the streets without a guide and help, and he is to-day dependent chiefly upon the charity of his friends for the food he eats, the roof he sleeps under, and the clothes he wears.

Mr. Chairman, shall it be said by Members of the Congress of the United States that we are "wasting our time," that we are "burdening Members unnecessarily" when we take up the ques-tion of relieving this hero of two wars—the war with Mexico,

dear to the heart of every Democrat, the war for the Union, dear to the heart of every Republican? Shall it be said unchallenged on this floor that we are "frittering away our time," that we are "wasting the time of the House" in considering cases like this? Washing the time of the House "in considering cases like this? What better business could we be engaged in than in giving adequate relief to a soldier who served twelve months in the Mexican war and twelve months in the civil war, now blind, helpless from rheumatism, afflicted with heart disease and piles, and having no means of support excepting a \$12 pension and the charity of his friends? This, Mr. Chairman, is a fair sample of the bills we are setting on here to day. acting on here to-day.

Now, Mr. Chairman, somebody will say, Well, why does he not go to the Pension Bureau? Mr. Chairman, these disabilities were not contracted in the Army of the United States; therefore he has no standing under any law at the Bureau. His rheumatism was not contracted under the flag; his blindness was not contracted under the flag; h fore this committee to-day and you will find that the great mass of them are cases where men are drawing under the new law, as we call it, the act of June 27, 1890, \$12, or, if drawing under the old law, is drawing some small pension, and in each case is suffering from some extraordinary disease that incapacitates him from doing anything, that renders him helpless and necessitates the regular attendance of another research lar attendance of another person.

We take the pension which he gets under the law as a basis of action and then give him something for the di-ability he is suffering from, which was not incurred in the war and for which he can not draw any pension at the Pension Bureau.

Now, Mr. Chairman, in conclusion, shall we say that a man who has rendered good service to the country, but who is to-day suffering a disability—I will say blindness, for those cases are very frequent; I will say rheumatism, for those cases are still more frequent, or I will say paralysis, for those cases are very numerous—necessitating the regular aid and help of another person every hour in the day, shall we say that that man shall be dependent upon the charity of his neighbors for his support when here is a great country in whose behalf he risked his life and his health, well able to support him?

I am proud to say that this proposition has never been seriously disputed in this House, but both this House and the other branch of Congress have uniformly come to the help of these men and Now, Mr. Chairman, in conclusion, shall we say that a man

of Congress have uniformly come to the help of these men and given them sufficient means to enable them to live with a moderate degree of comfort, without being dependent on the charity of their friends or being driven into the poorhouses of the land.

[Applause.]
Mr. CALDERHEAD. Mr. Chairman, I do not intend to prolong this discussion. I have noticed more than once in the last six years in this House that a discussion of this kind could be sprung at about this hour on pension afternoons and stop the passage of these bills for an hour or two hours, and every minute of time that is taken in this kind of discussion is just so much taken from the time of the actual passing of these bills. That is one reason why every member of the committee does not appear upon the floor for the defense of the action of the committee. The committee does its work as fairly as it is able to do it, and presents the result to the House.

There is ample opportunity for any man who desires to do so to ascertain when its work is unfair or when the report upon any bill does not show a meritorious case, and to make an objection to it. There was one implication made by a quibble upon words which I wish to correct. The chairman of the committee, in reply to a question as to why so many of these bills were brought in by this committee, answered, "Because the claimants were not able to get justice at the Department," and immediately somebody stated that the Department was doing injustice. The chairman did not mean that. The general laws do not provide justice for all claimants. No general law can do anything of that kind, or do that much.

The general law goes as far as it can go as a general law. When there are a million of claimants now upon the pension roll, it is there are a million of claimants now upon the pension roll, it is very evident there must be a great many whose cases do not come within the provisions of any law, either by reason of the fact that the law does not provide pensions for the disability which they exhibit or by reason of the fact they are unable to furnish the evidence necessary to satisfy the Bureau of that thing, and upon that question it is right that the Bureau should require the evidence to be satisfactory. We give the Commissioner a hundred dence to be satisfactory. We give the Commissioner a hundred and thirty-eight or a hundred and forty million dollars to expend. It would be an easy matter by lax application of the rules of evi-dence in cases to squander millions and to make the pension roll

As a matter of necessity the rules of evidence in the Department must be strict and the applicant must comply with the let-ter of the law to become entitled to a pension, and no officer would be tolerated who would undertake to enlarge the law by his own arbitrary action. The Pension Bureau does justice as far as

the law permits it to do, or at least as far as they think the law permits. When it fails, the work of our committee begins. We do it as well as we can and bring the result before the House, and unless we are doing injustice the work of our committee ought not to be obstucted, on an afternoon like this, by discussions which are merely made for partisan purposes, or merely made to fill the RECORD and satisfy the constituencies of those unfriendly. [Applause.]
The CHAIRMAN. The question is on agreeing to the amend-

ments.

The question was taken; and the amendments were agreed to. The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM M. UNDERHILL.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 8058) granting an increase of pension to William M. Underhill. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William M. Underhill, late of Company A, Fifth Regiment Michigan Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 6, after the word "late," strike out the word "of" and insert in lieu thereof the words "first lieutenant."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MARTHA M. DEL B. CUNNINGHAM.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 7699) granting an increase of pension to Martha Del' Banco Cun-

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Martha del' Banco Cunningham, widow of John Scott Cunningham, late pay director, United States Navy, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6, after the word "Martha," strike out the words "del' Banco" and insert in lieu thereof the words "M. del B."

In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Martha M. del B. Cunningham."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

EDWIN A. FORMAN.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6705) granting an increase of pension to Edwin A. Foreman. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Edwin A. Foreman, late of Company A. Twenty-third Regiment Michigan Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the word "Foreman" and insert in lieu thereof the ord "Forman."
In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "forty".

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Edwin A. Forman."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CHARLES H. BELL.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 190) granting an increase of pension to Charles H. Bell. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles H. Bell, late of Company D, Eleventh Regiment New Hampshire Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

STEPHEN GASCOIGNE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 744) granting an increase of pension to Stephen Gascoigne.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Stephen Gascoigne, late of

Company E. First Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infantry, and Company B. Seventeenth Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$36 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN SWENSON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 745) granting a pension to John Swenson.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John Swenson, late of Company G, One hundred and fourth Regiment Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

FERDINAND WIEDEMANN.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 930) granting an increase of pension to Ferdinand Wiedemann.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Ferdinand Wiedemann, late of Company E. Twentieth Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

BARNEY L. BROOKINS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4365) granting a pension to Barney L. Brookins. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Barney L. Brookins, late of Company D, Fifty-eighth Regiment New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twelve."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM W. JACKSON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 1543) granting an increase of pension to William W. Jackson.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William W. Jackson, late captain and commissary of subsistence, United States Volunteers, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

Mr. SULLOWAY. Mr. Chairman, I move that this bill be laid aside to be reported to the House with the recommendation that it be recommitted to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

The motion was agreed to.

IRVING W. COOMBS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 547) granting an increase of pension to Irving W. Coombs.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Irving W. Coombs, late of Company H, Fifteenth Regiment New Hampshire Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$16 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

SILAS MESERVE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 471) granting an increase of pension to Silas Meserve.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Silas Meserve, late of Company F. Twentieth Regiment Maine Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

WILLIAM H. HOWARD.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 7682) granting an increase of pension to William H. Howard.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William H. Howard, late of Company K, Fourth Regiment Iowa Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty." $\!\!\!\!$

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILHELMINA MILLER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 134) granting a pension to Wilhelmina Miller.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Wilhelmina Miller, widow of Erhart Miller, late of Company C, Sixty-fifth Regiment New York Volunteer Artillery, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$24 per month,

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the fol-

Strike out affacter the Chactery Strike out affact the Chactery of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Wilhelmina Miller, widow of Echart Miller, late of artillery company, Sixty-fifth Regiment New York Volunteer Militia, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$8 per month."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JAMES A. HOOVER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 1491) granting an increase of pension to James A. Hoover. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James A. Hoover, late second lieutenant Company D, Second Regiment West Virginia Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CHARLES STERMER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 929) granting an increase of pension to Charles Stermer.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles Stermer, late of Company A. First Regiment Connecticut Volunteer Heavy Artillery, and 1 ay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JAMES P. JOHNSON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5699) granting an increase of pension to James P. Johnson. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James P. Johnson, late private, Company C. Twenty-sixth Regiment Indiana Volunteers, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$90 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6 strike out the word "private" and insert in lieu thereof the word

In line 7 strike out the word "Volunteers" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Volunteer Infantry."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

AMY C. BOSWORTH.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 1334) granting a pension to Amy C. Bosworth.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Amy C. Bosworth, widow of George W. Bosworth, late captain Company F, Eighteenth Regiment New Hampshire Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$20 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 9, after the word "month," insert the words "in lieu of that she is now receiving."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Amy C. Bosworth."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CHARLES F. HOLT.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 182) granting an increase of pension to Charles F. Holt.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles F. Holt, late of Company G, Second Regiment New Hampshire Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

MARY E. MELDRUM.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4457) granting an increase of pension to Mary E. Meldrum, The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Mary E. Meldrum, widow of Albert B. Meldrum, late first lieutenant Company E, Fifth Regiment New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$25 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 7 strike out the words "Company E" and insert in lieu thereof the words "Companies I and E."
In line 8 strike out the word "twenty-five" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twelve."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MARY E. CUTTS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 1826) granting an increase of pension to Mary E. Cutts. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Mary E. Cutts, widow of James Madison Cutts, late captain Company F, First Battalion. Eleventh Regiment United States Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving, and \$2 per month additional on account of each of the minor children of said James Madison Cutts until they reach the age of 16 years.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MINNIE GUSLER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4045) granting a pension to Minnie Gusler.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Minnie Gusler, helpless child of George Gusler, deceased, late a private in Company F, One hundred and ninety-sixth Ohio Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6, after the word "helpless," insert the words "and dependent."
In same line strike out the word "deceased."
In line 7 strike out the words "a private in" and insert in lieu thereof the word "of."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ELIZABETH C. PAQUIN.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 1429) granting an increase of pension to Elizabeth C. Paquin.
The bill was read, as follows:

authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Elizabeth C. Paquin, widow of Cyril O. Paquin, late of Company A, and hospital steward, Fourth Regiment Iowa Volunteer Cavalry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CALVIN DAWS.

The next business was the bill (S. 1335) granting an increase of pension to Calvin Daws

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Calvin Daws, late of Company A, Twentieth Regiment Maine Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

HESTER E. MOONEY.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 3815) granting an increase of pension to Hester E. Mooney. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc.. That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Hester E. Mooney, widow of James J. Mooney, late lieutenant-colonel Forty-second Regiment New

York Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$40 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 8 strike out the word "forty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CLARENCE E. BULLARD.

The next business was the bill (S. 1437) granting an increase of pension to Clarence E. Bullard.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Clarence E. Bullard, late of Company B, Sixth Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infantry, and second lieutenant Company C. Thirty-sixth Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ANDREW C. RANARD.

The next business was the bill (S. 959) granting an increase of pension to Andrew C. Ranard.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Andrew C. Ranard, late of Company I, Twenty-second Regiment Indiana Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

HAMILTON E. BURRITT.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 4276) granting an increase of pension to H. E. Burritt.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of H. E. Burritt, late of Company I, Fifth Regiment Minnesota Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the letter "H." and insert in lieu thereof the word "Hamilton."
In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."
Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Hamilton E. Burritt."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN M. STANYAN.

The next business was the bill (S. 1259) granting an increase of pension to John M. Stanyan.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John M. Stanyan, late captain Company B, Eighth Regiment New Hampshire Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM PAUL.

The next business was the bill (S. 1402) granting an increase of pension to William Paul.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William Paul, late of Company D, Twenty-seventh Regiment Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM BIERER.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 3037) granting a pension to William Bieber.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William Bieber, the helpless and dependent son of Oscar Bieber, late of Company K, Seventeenth Regiment Massachusetts Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 6 strike out the word "the."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MAMIE THAYER.

The next business was the bill $(S.\,814)$ granting a pension to Mamie Thayer.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Mamie Thayer, helpiess and dependent child of Galen H. Thayer, late of Company E, Third Regiment Michigan Volunteer Cavalry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month, payable to her duly constituted guardian.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

DEXTER T. DRAKE.

The next business was the bill (H. R.1487) granting an increase of pension to D. T. Drake.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of D. T. Drake, late of Company H, Second Regiment New York Artillery, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$40 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6 strike out the letter "D." and insert in lieu thereof the word "Dexter."

"Dexter."
In same line strike out the words "of Company H." and insert in lieu thereof the words "first lieutenant Company G, Tenth Regiment, and Company H."
In line 7, before the word "Artillery," insert the words "Volunteer Heavy."
In same line strike out the word "forty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."
Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Dexter T. Drake."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOSEPH A. SOULE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 2183) granting an increase of pension to Joseph A. Soule.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Joseph A. Soule, late of Company E. Eighteenth Regiment Massachusetts Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "twenty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twelve."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

DANA COOK.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 1497) granting an increase of pension to Dana Cook. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Dana Cook, late of Company D, Sixth Regiment Vermont Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 7, after the word "Infantry," insert the words "and One hundred and seventy-first Company, Second Battalion, Veteran Reserve Corps."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

W. NEIL DENNISON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 821) granting an increase of pension to W. Neil Dennison.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of W. Neil Dennison, late captain, Second Regiment United States Artillery, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

TRACY M. JOHNSON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5199) granting a pension to Tracy M. Johnson.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Tracy M. Johnson, incompetent and dependent son of Arthur M. Johnson, late of Company E. First Regiment Ohio Light Artillery, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$12 per month

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

Strike out all of lines 6, 7, 8, and 9 and insert in lieu thereof the following: "of Emma M. Johnson, widow of Arthur M. Johnson, late of Company E, First Regiment Ohio Volunteer Light Artillery, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving: Provided, however, That in the event of the death of Tracy M. Johnson, helpless and dependent child of said Arthur M. Johnson, the additional pension herein granted shall cease and determine."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN L. BEVERIDGE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 847) granting an increase of pension to John L. Beveridge.

The bill was real, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John L. Beveridge, late colonel Seventeenth Regiment Illinois Volunteer Cavairy, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

JOHN G. FAIRCHILD.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 2923) granting an increase of pension to John G. Fairchild.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John G. Fairchild, late of Company B, Eighth Regiment Ohio Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CHARLES C. CHASE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 865) granting an increase of pension to Charles C. Chase.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles C. Chase, late captain of Company G. First Regiment Maine Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6, after the word "captain," strike out the word "of."
In line 7 strike out the words "Maine Cavalry" and insert in lieu thereof
the words "District of Columbia Volunteer Cavalry."
In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word
"twenty-four."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JAMES A. TEMPLETON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 798) granting an increase of pension to James A. Templeton.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc.. That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James A. Templeton, late of Company D, First Regiment Vermont Volunteers, war with Mexico, and Company A, First Regiment Vermont Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

GEORGE W. M'MULLEN.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 589) granting an increase of pension to George W. McMullen.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of George W. McMullen, late of Company H, Twenty-ninth Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

GEORGE JEFFREY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 8187) granting an increase of pension to George Jeffrey.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of George Jeffrey, late of Company A. Fifth Regiment New York Heavy Artillery Volunteers, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 7 strike out the word "Volunteers." In same line, before the word "Heavy," insert the word "Volunteer."

The bill as amended was ordered to laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN B. CARTER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 898) granting an increase of pension to John B. Carter. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John B. Carter, late of Company E. Twelfth Regiment Iowa Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ELLA P. KERSTETER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 681) granting an increase of pension to Ella P. Kerstetter.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Ella P. Kerstetter, widow of Thomas T. Kerstetter, late of Companies E and B, Twelfth Regiment United States Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6 strike out the word "Kerstetter" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Kersteter."

In same line strike out the letter "T." and the word "Kerstetter" and insert in lieu thereof the letter "J." and the word "Kerstetter."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Elia P. Kersteter."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ROBERT S. CARNS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4114) granting an increase of pension to Robert Carns. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Robert Carns, late of Company K, Eighty-eighth Regiment Pennsylvania Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$35 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6, after the word "Robert," insert the letter "S."
In same line, after the word "late," strike out the word "of" and insert in lieu thereof the words "first lieutenant."
In line 8 strike out the word "thirty-five" and insert in lieu thereof the

word "thirty."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Robert S. Carns."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOSEPH HINKLE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4251) granting an increase of pension to Joseph Hinkle.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Joseph Hinkle, late of Company B, One hundred and sixty-sixth Regiment Pennsylvania Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 7 strike out the words "Volunteer Infantry" and insert in lieu thereof the words "Drafted Militia."

In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ANSON P. WILLIAMSON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 587) granting an increase of pension to Anson P. Williamson. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Anson P. Williamson, late of Company C, Twenty-seventh Regiment Missouri Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

NANCY S. MARSH.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 484) granting a pension to Nancy S. Marsh.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions

and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Nancy S. Marsh, widow of Luther R. Marsh, late unassigned, Twelfth Regiment Maine Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$8 per month.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JAMES E. BARNARD.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 565) granting an increase of pension to James E. Barnard. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James E. Barnard, late of Company K, Ninth Regiment New Hampshire Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

HENRY D. TOWSLEY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 2111) granting a pension to Henry D. Tousley. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Henry D. Tousley, late private in the First Battery Vermont Light Artillery, and pay him a pension of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

Strike out all all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the following:

"That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Henry D. Towsley, late of First Independent Battery Vermont Volunteer Light Artillery, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Henry D. Towsley."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

OLIVE J. BAILEY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 478) granting an increase of pension to Olive J. Bailey. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Olive J. Bailey, widow of Chandler B. Bailey, late first lieutenant Company K, Thirtieth Regiment Maine Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$17 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

BYRON D. BABCOCK.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 473) granting an increase of pension to Byron D. Babcock. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Byron D. Babcock, late of Company G. Sixteenth Regiment Maine Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN A. SILLS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4325) granting an increase of pension to John Amer Sills. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John Amer Sills, late of Company E., Second Maryland Potomac Home Brigade, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$25 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the fol-

Strike out all after the enacting clause and most in the lowing:

"That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John A. Sills, late of Company E, Second Regiment Potomac Home Brigade Maryland Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to John A. Sills."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MARY E. PENNOCK.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 3298) granting a pension to Mary E. Pennock.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Mary E. Penncck, widow of

Cyrus Pennock, late of Company B, Sixteenth Regiment Kansas Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 7 strike out the word "Infantry" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Cavalry."
In line 8 strike out the word "twelve" and insert in lieu thereof the word "eight."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN SHAFER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6036) granting an increase of pension to John Shafer.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John Shafer, late of Company H, One hundred and twenty-first Regiment Ohio Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now re-

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 7, after the word "Infantry," insert the words "and Fortieth Company, Second Battalion, Veteran Reserve Corps."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN A. REEDS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6701) granting an increase of pension to John A. Reeds. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John A. Reeds, late of Company H. Twelfth Regiment Kansas Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ROBERT L. MILES.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5634) granting an increase of pension to Robert L. Miles. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Robert L. Miles, late first lieutenant of Company G, Twenty-eighth Regiment Iowa Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$72 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6, before the word "Company," strike out the word "of."
In same line strike out the letter "G" and insert in lieu thereof the letter

In line 8 strike out the word "seventy-two" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

GEORGE W. DAY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 1316) granting an increase of pension to George W. Day.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of George W. Day, late of Company I, Twelfth Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "forty."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM PITTENGER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 3032) granting an increase of pension to William Pittenger.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William Pittenger, late of Company G, Second Regiment Ohio Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$55 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

SERENUS KILBOURNE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 8748) for the relief of Serenus Kilbourne.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That Serenus Kilbourne, of Battery L, First United States Artillery, shall be held not to have deserted on July 31, 1865, but shall be held to have been discharged as of that date, and that the Secretary of War is hereby authorized to issue to him an honorable discharge in accordance herewith: Provided, That no pay, bounty, or other emoluments shall become due or payable by virtue of the passage of this act.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CYNTHIA THOMAS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 2427) to grant a pension to Cynthia Thomas.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Cynthia Thomas, widow of Charles Thomas, alias John Young, late of Company D, Eighth Regiment Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

Change the title so as to read: "Granting a pension to Cynthia Thomas."
In line 7, after the word "Regiment." insert "United States;" and in the same line, after the word "Infantry." insert "Florida Indian war."
In line 8 strike out "twelve" and insert "eight."

The amendments were agreed to. The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

DUDLEY R. W. WILLIAMS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 2932) granting a pension to Dudley R. W. Williams.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Dudley R. W. Williams, late of Captain Parker's company, Iowa Volunteers, war with Mexico, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 7, after the word "Iowa," insert "Mounted."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

REUBEN A. FINNELL.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6879) for the relief of R. A. Finnell.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is b sreby, directed to place the name of R. A. Finnell, a soldier of the Washington Territory Indian war, on the pension roll, and pay him a pension of \$20 a month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

Change the title so as to read: "A bill granting a pension to Reuben A.

Change the little so as britten and the solution of the little solution. Strike out all in the bill after the word "hereby," in line 3, and substitute the following: "authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Reuben A. Finnell, late of Company B, First Regiment Washington Territory Volunteers, Oregon and Washington Territory Indian war, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$8 per month."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ALFRED C. ISACHSEN.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 475) to grant a pension to Alfred C. Isachsen.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Alfred C. Isachsen, late of Company F, First Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infantry, war with Spain, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MARY G. BONESTEEL.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 8740) granting an increase of pension to Mary G. Bonesteel.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Mary G. Bonesteel, widow of Charles Hartwell Bonesteel, late major, Twenty-third United States Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$40 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 7, after "Twenty-third," insert "Regiment." In line 8 strike out "forty" and insert "thirty-five."

Add to the end of the bill the words "and \$2 per month additional on account of the minor child of said Charles Hartwell Bonesteel until she reaches the age of 16 years.

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN S. SINES.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 660) granting an increase of pension to John Sines.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John Sines, late of Company B, Fifth Regiment United States Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

Insert the initial "S." in claimant's name where it appears in the title and body of the bill.

In line 7 strike out the word "Volunteer;" and in the same line, after the word "Infantry," insert "war with Mexico."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ROBERT P. BAKER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 703) granting a pension to Robert P. Baker.

The bill was read, as follows:

Baker, a veteran soldier of the United States Army in the Mexican war, and pay him a pension of \$30 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

Change the title so as to read "Granting an increase of pension to Robert P. Baker."

Strike out all in the bill after the word "roll," in line 4, and substitute the following: "subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Robert P. Baker, late of Captain Dagley's company, Texas Mounted Volunteers, war with Mexico, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM P. HEREFORD.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5617) granting an increase of pension to William P. Hereford.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name William P. Hereford, of the Second Regiment of the United States Dragoons in the Florida war, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In lines 6 and 7 strike out "of the Second Regiment of the United States Dragoons in the Florida war" and insert in lieu thereof "late of Company A, Second Regiment, United States Dragoons, Florida Indian war."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ADALINE SHAW LOVEJOY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5534) granting an increase of pension to Adaline Shaw Lovejoy. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Adaline Shaw Lovejoy, widow of John B. Lovejoy, late of Capt. John Jones's company, Georgia Volunteers, Cherokee Indian war, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 9 strike out "twenty" and insert "twelve."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

SAMUEL R. WASSON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4031) granting an increase of pension to Samuel R. Wasson.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Samuel R. Wasson, late of Company G. First Ohio Infantry, Mexican war, and pay him a pension of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

follows:

In line 6, after the word "First," insert "Regiment;" and in lines 6 and 7 strike out "Infantry" and insert "Volunteer Infantry."

In line 7 strike out "Mexican," and after the word "war" insert "with Mexico."
Also in line 7 strike out "of fifty" and insert "at the rate of twenty."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

PATRICK CANNON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 1859) granting an increase of pension to Patrick Cannon.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Patrick Cannon, late of Company H. Fifth Regiment United States Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

HARRY HALLER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6582) granting an increase of pension to Harry Haller.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Harry Haller, late of Company H. Tenth Regiment United States Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of §35 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 7 strike out "Volunteer." In line 8 strike out "thirty-five" and insert "thirty."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JANE ELIZABETH BULLOCK.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5538) granting a pension to Jane Elizabeth Bullock.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Jane Elizabeth Bullock, and to pay her a pension at the rate of \$30 per month.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as as follows:

Strike out all in the bill after the word "Bullock," in line 6, and substitute therefor the following: "widow of Charles W. Bullock, late of Captain Goodloe's company, Second Regiment Texas Mounted Volunteers, war with Mexico, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$8 per month.

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CHARLES S. BELLOWS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6032) granting an increase of pension to Charles S. Bellows.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles S. Bellows, late of Company A, One hundred and sixth Regiment Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MATILDA LAFFERTY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 8728) granting a pension to Matilda Lafferty.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Matilda Lafferty, widow of Edward Lafferty, late captain of Company A, Seventy-first Regiment Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and of Company E, One hundred and forty-ninth Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$20 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

Strike out all of line 7. In line 8 strike out the words "Infantry, and of." In line 9, before the word "Illinois," insert the word "Regiment."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

GEORGE W. KERBY.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 3367) granting an increase of pension to George W. Kerby.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of George W. Kerby, late of Independent Company, Patapsco Guards, Maryland Volunteer Infantry, and

pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

SAMUEL M. PERRY.

The next business was the bill (S. 14) granting an increase of pension to Samuel M. Perry.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Samuel M. Perry, late of Company H. Fourteenth Regiment New Hampshire Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM W. ROWLETT.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 7563) granting an increase of pension to W. W. Rowlett.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of W. W. Rowlett, late of Company C, Second Regiment Kentucky Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read,

In line 6 strike out the letter "W." and insert in lieu thereof the word "William."
In line 8 strike the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."
Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to William W. Rowlett."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

HENRY S. M'ALISTER.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 734) granting an increase of pension to Henry S. McAlister. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Henry S. McAlister, late of Company A, Sixth Regiment Massachusetts Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$40 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CHARLES M. EVERETT.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 3617) for the relief of Charles M. Everett.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles M. Everett, late of Company E, New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the fol-

Strike out all after the emeting cause.

Strike out all after the emeting cause.

That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles M. Everett, late of Company E, One hundred and twenty-fourth Regiment New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$40 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Charles M. Everett."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM T. CONANT.

The next business was the bill (S. 451) granting an increase of pension to William T. Conant.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William T. Conant, late of Company E. Thirty-second Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

FRANK J. M'LAUGHLIN.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 2477) granting an increase of pension to Frank J. McLaughlin.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Frank J. McLaughlim, late of Company E. Forty-seventh Regiment Jowa Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

BENJAMIN F. GRIGSBY.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 5609) granting an increase of pension to B. F. Grigsby.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place the name of B. F. Grigsby, of Chattanooga, Tenn., late a private in Company I, Second Regiment Tennessee Infantry, on the pension roll of the United States, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he now receives, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the fol-

Strike out all after the enacting clause and meet in the lowing:

"That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Benjamin F. Grigsby, late of Company I, Second Regiment Tennessee Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Benjamin F. Grigsby."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

HARRISON H. HAKES.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 7095) granting an increase of pension to Harrison H. Hakes. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Harrison H. Hakes, late of Company B. Eighth Regiment Illinois Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 6, after the word "late," strike out the word "of" and insert in lieu thereof the words "first lieutenant."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ANDREW BLACK.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 7798) granting an increase of pension to Andrew Black. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc. That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Andrew Black, late first-class firemen, U.S. S. Pittsburg. United States Navy, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 3, after the word "hereby," insert the words "authorized and." In line 6 strike out the words "first-class firemen" and insert in lieu thereof the words "of the."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ALBERT W. BULLOCK.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 452) granting an increase of pension to Albert W. Bullock.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Albert W. Bullock, late of Company B, Twenty-second Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

HORACE E. DAVIS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H.R. 8342) granting a pension to Horace E. Davis. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Horace E. Davis, late of Company G, Second Regiment New York Volunteer Mounted Rifles, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."

In the same line, after the word "month," insert the words "in lieu of that he is now receiving."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Horace E. Davis."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ELIZABETH HARDY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 3272) granting an increase of pension to Elizabeth Hardy.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Elizabeth Hardy, widow of David L. Hardy, late captain of Company M, First Regiment Colorado Volunteer Cavalry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that which she is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 7 strike out the word "of." In line 9 strike out the word "which."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

HARRIS A. P. LEWIS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 1827) granting an increase of pension to Harris A. P. Lewis. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Harris A. P. Lewis, late of Company H, Twenty-third Regiment Maine Volunteer Infantry, and Company B, Thirty-first Regiment Maine Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN PANGRATZ.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 1156) granting an increase of pension to John Pangratz.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place the name of John Pangratz, late a private in Company E, Sixteenth New York Volunteer Cavalry, on the pension roll, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the fol-

Strike out all after the enacing chause and insert in feet thefeot following:

"That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John Pangratz, late of Company E. Sixteenth Regiment New York Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ELIZA S. SMITH.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 8123) granting a pension to Eliza Stoddard Smith.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Eliza Stoddard Smith, widow of Samuel B. Smith, late of Company, Eleventh Regiment Ohio Volunteer Infantry, and Ninety-third Regiment Ohio Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$50 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the word "Stoddard" and insert in lieu thereof the letter "S."

In same line, after the word "late," strike out the word "of" and insert in lieu thereof the word "captain."

In line 7, after the word "Company," insert the letter "K."

In same line strike out the words "Eleventh Regiment Ohio Volunteer Infantry, and."

In line 9 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

HENRY BARRETT.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 7355) granting an increase of pension to Henry Barrett.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Henry Barrett, late of Company I, Fifty-third Regiment Pennsylvania Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CATHARINE M. M'CLANAHAN.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4578) granting a pension to Catharine M. McClanahan.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Catharine M. McClanahan, widow of John W. McClanahan, late colonel of the Fifty-third Regiment Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$36 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 7 strike out the words "of the."
In line 8 strike out the word "thirty-six" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CYRENIUS DENNIS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 7477) granting an increase of pension to Cyrenins Dennis.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, instructed to place on the pension roll the name of Cyrenins Dennis, Company I. Seventy-fifth Regiment Illinois Volunteer Infantry, in civil war, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the fol-

Strike out an arter the case of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Cyrenius Dennis, late of Company C, Seventy-fifth Regiment Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$17 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CHARLES P. CLARKE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 3345) granting an increase of pension to Charles P. Clarke.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles P. Clarke, late captain of Company F, Ninety-ninth Pennsylvania Volunteers, United States Army, and to pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6, after the word "captain," strike out the word "of." In line 7. before the word "Pennsylvania," insert the word "Regiment." In same line strike out the words "Volunteers, United States Army," and sert in lieu thereof the words "Volunteer Infantry." In same line strike out the word "to."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM Y. M. WILKERSON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4987) granting an increase of pension to William Y. M. Wilkerson. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William Y. M. Wilkerson, late of Company C, Fourteenth Regiment-Missouri Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$12 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "twelve" and insert in lieu thereof the word seventeen."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

DAVID E. BURBANK.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 9) granting an increase of pension to David E. Burbank.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of David E. Burbank, late first licutenant of Company E, Sixteenth Regiment New Hampshire Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ANDREW J. PIERCE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 456) granting an increase of pension to Andrew J. Pierce.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Andrew J. Pierce, late of Company G, First Regiment United States Volunteer Sharpshooters, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

EMMA H. HIGLEY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 529) granting a pension to Emma H. Higley.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll the name of Emma H. Higley, widow of Alonzo Higley, late of the Tenth Regiment Ohio Volunteer Cavairy, and pay her a pension of \$30 per month.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the fol-

Strike out an after the chacking strike out and the lowing:

"That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Emma H. Higley, widow of Alonzo Higley, late of the Tenth Regiment Ohio Volunteer Cavalry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JAMES K. BLYE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 727) granting an increase of pension to James K. Blye.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James K. Blye, late of Company A, Eleventh and Sixteenth Regiments New Hampshire Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In lines 6 and 7 strike out the word "Sixteenth" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Sixth."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MARY DEWIRE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 2194) granting a pension to Mary Dewire.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Mary Dewire, widow of John Dewire, late of Company I, Second Regiment Nebraska Volunteers, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$16 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 7 strike out the word "Volunteers" and insert in lieu thereof the words "Volunteer Cavalry."

In line 8 strike out the word "sixteen" and insert in lieu thereof the word "eight."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN HERZOG.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6025) granting an increase of pension to John Herzog. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John Herzog, late of Company F, Twenty-fifth Regiment New York Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

WILLIAM T. FILLER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5720) granting an increase of pension to William T. Filler.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William T. Filler, late of Company E, One hundred and thirty-eighth Regiment Pennsylvania Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$35 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "thirty-five" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM CLARK.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 13) granting an increase of pension to William Clark.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc. That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William Clark, late of Company F, Second Regiment Vermont Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CYRUS DAVIDHEISER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R.

The next obsiness on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 7757) granting an increase of pension to Cyrus Dandheiser.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Cyrus Dandheiser, late private, Independent Battery D, Pennsylvania Volunteer Light Artillery, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the word "Dandheiser" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Davidheiser."

In the same line strike out the word "private" and insert in lieu thereof the word "of."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Cyrus Davidheiser."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ANNIE H. ZOLL.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 586) granting a pension to Annie H. Zoll.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Annie H. Zoll, helpless and dependent child of Rodolphus B. Zoll, late of Company D. Ninety-eighth Regiment Ohio Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CHAR'ES H. MILLER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the b'll (H. R. 7594) granting an increase of pension to Charles H. Miller.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles H. Miller, late of Company K, Fourth Regiment West Virginia Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$25 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows: In line 8 strike out the word "twenty-five" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

HARRIETT B. RILEY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R.

The next obsiness on the Frivate Calendar was the offi (H. R. 1855) granting a pension to Harriett B. Riley.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Harriett B. Riley, widow of William E. Riley, late captain Company K. First Regiment Connecticut Volunteer Cavalry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$20 per month.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

ANSON HEFFRON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6028) granting an increase of pension to Anton Heffron.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Anton Heffron, late of Company L, Seventy-ninth Regiment New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$72 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the word "Anton" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Anson."

In same line strike out the letter "L" and insert in lieu thereof the let

In same line strike out the letter "L" and insert in lieu thereof the let ter "A."

In same line strike out the word "Seventy-ninth" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Twenty-fourth."

In line 7 strike out the word "Infantry" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Cavalry."

In line 8 strike out the word "seventy-two" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to

Anson Heffron.

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

GEORGE W. BLANCHARD.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 1906) granting an increase of pension to George W. Blanchard.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of George W. Blanchard, late of Company G. Second Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

RUDOLPH SIEBELIST.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 937) granting an increase of pension to Rudolph Siebelist.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Rudolph Siebelist, late of Company H, Twenty-sixth Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

FRANK LEWIS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 3407) granting an increase of pension to Frank Lewis.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Frank Lewis, late of Company A, First Regiment Maine Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$40 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "forty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 7439) granting a pension to Helen M. Bates.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Helen M. Bates, widow of George C. Bates, late of Company G. Thirteenth Regiment Massachusetts Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the letter "C" and insert in lieu thereof the letter "E." In line 9, after the word "month," insert the words "in lieu of that she is now receiving."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Helen M. Bates."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CHARLES JOHNSON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 8207) granting an increase of pension to Charles Johnson. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles Johnson, late of Company G, One hundred and tenth Pennsylvania Volunteer Infantry, and Company E. Fourth Delaware Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 7, before the word "Pennsylvania," insert the word "Regiment."
In line 8, after the word "Fourth," insert the word "Regiment."
In line 9 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JAMES ANDERSON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 2040) granting a pension to James Anderson.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James Anderson, late of Company C. One hundred and thirteenth Regiment Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word

"twelve."
In same line, after the word "month," insert the following: "the same to be paid to him under the rules of the Pension Bureau as to mode and times of payment, without any deduction or rebate on account of former alleged overpayments or erroneous payments of pension."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ROYAL A. S. KINGSLEY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 555) granting an increase of pension to Royal A. S. Kingsley. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Royal A. S. Kingsley, late of Company A. Seventy-ninth Regiment Indiana Volunteer Infantry, and Company D. Fifty-first Regiment Indiana Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CHARLES WIGERT.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6023) granting an increase of pension to Charles Wigert.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles Wigert, late of Company B, One hundred and thirty-ninth Regiment New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$40 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "forty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

THOMAS SPRINGER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6342) granting a pension to Thomas Springer.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll the name Thomas Springer, late of Company H, One hundred and fifth Regiment Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension of \$50 per month from and after the passage of this act: Provided, That said pension shall be in lieu of the pension of \$12 per month heretofore allowed said Thomos Springer under act of June 27, 1890.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the follow-

Strike out all after the enacting clause and the clause and the clause ing:

"That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Thomas Springer, late of Company H, One hundred and fifth Regiment Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Thomas Springer."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

EMMA L. NAGLE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6089) granting an increase of pension to Emma Louisa Nagle.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Emma Louisa Nagle, widow of Frederick Nagle, late of Company C, Third Regiment Maryland Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6 strike out the word "Louisa" and insert in lieu thereof the letter

In line 5 strike out the word "Louisa" and insert in lieu thereof the letter "L."
In line 7, before the word "Maryland," insert the words "Potomac Home Brigade."
Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Emma L. Nagle."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

EDWARD A. WILBUR.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5972) granting an increase of pension to Edward A. Wilbur.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Edward A. Wilbur, late of Company I, Fiftieth Regiment Pennsylvania Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ORLO H. LYON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 2122) granting a pension to O. H. Lyon.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of O. H. Lyon, late of Third Independent Battery Iowa Light Artillery, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the letter "O." and insert in lieu thereof the word "Orlo."

Orio."
In same line before the word "Third" insert the word "captain."
In same line before the word "Light" insert the word "Volunteer."
Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting a pension to Orio H. Lyon."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

GEORGIE S. BARBOUR.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. \$029) granting a pension to Georgia S. Barbour. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Georgia S. Barbour, widow of Thomas S. Barbour, late of the Dick Fulton. Mississippi Regiment, Marine Brigade, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$8 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6 strike out the word "Georgia" and insert in lieu thereof the word

in line 5 strike out the word "Georgia" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Georgie."

In line 7 before the word "Dick" insert the word "ram."
In same line strike out the word "Regiment."
Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting a pension to Georgie S. Barbour."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN BULLAMORE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 578) granting an increase of pension to John Bullamore,

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John Bullamore, late of Company G, Second Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

ADOLPH HEISER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5718) granting an increase of pension to Adolph Heiser.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Adolph Heiser, late of Company F, Thirty-seventh Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infaniry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per menth in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

FRANKLIN MOORE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5367) granting an increase of pension to Franklin Moore.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Franklin Moore, late major, Second Regiment Illinois Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$72 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In lines 7 and 8 strike out the word "seventy-two" and insert in lieu thereof the word "forty."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ABRAHAM J. YEOMANS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 2852) granting an increase of pension to Abraham J. Yeomans.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Abraham J. Yeomans, late lieutenant Company I, One hundred and thirty-second New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6, before the word "lieutenant," insert the word "second." In line 7, before the words "New York," insert the word "Regiment."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CHARLES BEATTIE.

The next business was the bill (S. 458) granting an increase of pension to Charles Beattie.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles Beattie, late first lieutenant Company F, Fourteenth Regiment Wisconsin Volunteen Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MARY WURTZ.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 4323) granting an increase of pension to Mary Wurtz.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Mary Wurtz, widow of Peter Wurtz, late of Company A, One hundred and twentieth Regiment Indiana Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ALPHEUS D. BROWN.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 6061) granting an increase of pension to Alphus D. Brown.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Alphus D. Brown, late of Company D, Eleventh Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and afterwards of Company G, Twenty-third Regiment Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the word "Alphus" and insert in lieu thereof the word

In line 6 strike out the word "Alphus" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Alpheus."

In the same line, after the word "Eleventh," insert the word "Regiment."

In line 7 strike out the words "afterwards of."

In line 9 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Alpheus D. Brown."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JAMES W. DAVIS.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 3616) granting a pension to James W. Davis.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James W. Davis, late of Company F. Fifty-sixth New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6, before the words "New York," insert the word "Regiment."
In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word
"sixteen."
In same line, after the word "month," insert the words "in lieu of that he

is now receiving."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to James W. Davis."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JAMES H. HARGIS.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 9583) granting an increase of pension to James H. Hargis, sr.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James H. Hargis, sr., late of Company C, Fifty-fifth Regiment Kentucky Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the word "senior."
In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."
Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to James H. Hargis."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MARY CHENOWITH.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 7732) granting a pension to Mary Chenowith.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Mary Chenowith, mother of W. M. Chenowith, late of Company A, Thirteenth Regiment Missouri Volunteers, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$25 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6, before the word "mother," insert the word "dependent."
In same line strike out the letter "W" and insert in lieu thereof the word
"William."
In line 7 strike out the word "Volunteers" and insert in lieu thereof the
words "Volunteer Infantry."
In line 8 strike out the word "twenty-five" and insert in lieu thereof the
word "twenty."
Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to
Mary Chenowith."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM BAILEY.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 7447) granting an increase of pension to William Bailey. The Clerk read as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll. subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William Bailey, late of Company D. Eighth Regiment Illinois Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$45 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6 strike out the letter "D" and insert in lieu thereof the letter "B."
In line 8 strike out the word "forty-five" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN DALY.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 9030) granting a pension to John Daly.

The Clerk read as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John Daly, late captain Company I, One hundred and fourth Regiment of New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "of."
In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."
In same line, after the word "month," insert the words "in lieu of that he is now receiving."
Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to John Daly."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JAMES R. HAUPTLY.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 5555) granting an increase of pension to James R. Hauptley.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James R. Hauptley, late of Company C. One hundred and seventeenth Regiment Illinois Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6 strike out the word "Hauptley" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Hauptly."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to James R. Hauptly."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

THERESA NEBRICH.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 6994) granting an increase of pension to Theresa Nebrich. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Theresa Nebrich, widow of Henry Nebrich, late musician in regimental band, Twenty-first Regiment New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 7 strike out the words "in regimental band."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

HENRY EDWARDS.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 8717) granting a pension to Henry Edwards.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Henry Edwards, late private in Company E, Eighteenth Regiment, and Company D, Thirteenth Regiment, Indiana Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

Strike out all of lines 6, 7, 8, and 9, and insert in lieu thereof the following:
"of Henry Edwards, late of Company E, Eighteenth Regiment, and Company D, Thirteenth Regiment, Indiana Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Henry Edwards."

The amendments were agreed to. The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

DAVID Z. BEIDLER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6426) granting a pension to David J. Beidler.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of David J. Beidler, late of Company D, Ninth Regiment Ohio Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6 strike out the letter "J" and insert in lieu thereof the letter "Z."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to
David Z. Beidler."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

DAVID WARENTZ.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5883) granting an increase of pension to David Warentz.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to increase the pension of David Warentz, late a private in Company B, Seventy-ninth Indiana Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension of \$30 per month in lieu of the pension now received by him, said increase of pension to be paid subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the fol-

Strike out an arter the chacking tasters.

Strike out an arter the energy of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of David Warentz, late of Company B, Seventy-ninth Regiment Indiana Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment was agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOSHUA HARLAN.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5865) granting an increase of pension to Joshua Harlan. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions

and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Joshua Harlan, late of Company I, Fifth Regiment Indiana Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

PHILIP SNOW.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5818) granting an increase of pension to Philip Snow.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Philip Snow, late of Company F, Eleventh Regiment Pennsylvania Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."

The amendment was agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOSEPH J. MURRAY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5179) granting a pension to Joseph J. Murray.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Joseph J. Murray, late of Company L. Fourteenth Regiment Illinois Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

JAMES W. HIBBERT.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4915) granting an increase of pension to James W. Hibbert. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James W. Hibbert, of Company I, Fifty-first Regiment Pennsylvania Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$36 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6, after the word "Hibbert," insert the word "late."
In line 8 strike out the word "thirty-six" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JAMES KELEHER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 5391) granting a pension to James Keleher.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place the name of James Keleher, late a private in Company G, Eighteenth New York Cavalry, upon the pension roll of the United States, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month from and after the passage of this act.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the following:

"That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension noll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James Keleher, late of Company G, Eighteenth Regiment New York Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to James Keleher."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

DAVID P. M'DONALD.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4759) granting an increase of pension to David P. McDonald.

The bill was read, as follows: Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of David P. McDonald, late of Company B, Sixth Regiment Kansas Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

WILLIAM J. SHEPARD.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4526) granting an increase of pension to William J. Shepherd.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William J. Shepherd, late of 3172) granting an increase of pension to Robert E. Pogue.

Company B, Fifth Regiment Tennessee Mounted Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out the word "Shepherd" and insert in lieu thereof the word "Shepard" In same line strike out the letter "B" and insert in lieu thereof the letter "D."

In line 7, before the word "Mounted," insert the word "Volunteer."
In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."
Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to William J. Shepard."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

CALEB ARNETT.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4136) granting a pension to Caleb Arnett.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Caleb Arnett, late of Company C. Eleventh Regiment Indiana Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$75 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "seventy-five" and insert in lieu thereof the word "forty."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN HAWKER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 3521) granting an increase of pension to John Hawker.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John Hawker, late chaplain of Twenty-ninth Regiment Michigan Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 6, after the word "chaplain," strike out the word "of."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM J. HART.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 3411) granting an increase of pension to William J. Hart.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William J. Hart, late of Company G. Tenth Regiment New York Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read.

In line 6, after the word "late," strike out the word "of" and insert in lieu thereof the words "first lieutenant."
In line 7 strike out the word "Infantry" and insert in lieu thereof the words "Heavy Artillery."
In line 8 strike out the word "thirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MARY A. CRAIGUE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 3337) granting an increase of pension to Mary A. Craigue.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations thereof, the name of Mary A. Craigue, widow of William W. Craigue, late a member of Company D, Seventeenth Maine Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$20 per month.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert:

"That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Mary A. Craigue, widow of William W. Craigue, late of Company D, Seventeenth Regiment Maine Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ROBERT E. POGUE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Robert E. Pogue, late of Company C. Second Regiment Kentucky Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6, before the word "Company," strike out the word "of" and insert in lieu thereof the words "second lieutenant."

In line 8 strike out the word "tnirty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty-four."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN WATT.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 2809) granting an increase of pension to John Watt.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John Watt, late of Company H, Eleventh Illinois Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6, after the word "Eleventh," insert the word "Regiment."
In same line, before the word "Cavalry," insert the word "Volunteer."
In line 7 strike out the word "thirty-six" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

GEORGE WINTZ.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 2553) granting an increase of pension to George Wintz.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of George Wintz, late of Company B, One hundred and eighteenth Regiment Ohio Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "thirty," and insert in lieu thereof the word enty-four.

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

HARRIET A. COOK.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 1883) granting a pension to Harriet A. Cook. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Harriet A. Cook, daughter of Edward Cook, late of Company E, Thirty-third Regiment Wisconsin Volunteer Infantry, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 a month, said Harriet A. Cook being now, and having been since she was five years old, physically disabled and mentally irresponsible, without means, and unable to earn a living by her own labor.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6, before the word "daughter," insert the words "helpless and dependent."
In line 8, after the word "dollars," strike out the word "a" and insert in lieu thereof the word "per."
In line 9 strike out the words "said Harriet A. Cook being now and having been" and all of lines 10, 11, and 12.

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

SAMUEL W. SEARLES.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 1330) granting a pension to Samuel W. Searles.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Samuel W. Searles, late private of Company K. Twenty-third Regiment of Infantry, New York Volunteers, late sergeant of Company L, Second Regiment of Veteran Cavalry, New York Volunteers, and pay him a pension of \$30 per month.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

Strike out all of lines 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 and insert in lieu thereof the following: "of Samuel W. Searles, late of Company K, Twenty-third Regiment New York Volunteer Infantry, and Company L, First Regiment New York Veteran Volunteer Cavalry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$12 per month."

The amendment was agreed to. The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 812) granting an increase of pension to Charles F. Emery.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll the name of Charles F. Emery, late captain and assistant quartermaster, United States Volunteers, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows.

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the fol-

Strike out all after the characteristics of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Charles F. Emery, late captain and assistant quartermaster, United States Volunteers, and pay him apension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN J. FLEMING.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 7079) granting an increase of pension to John J. Fleming. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John J. Fleming, late of Company D. One hundred and forty-eighth Regiment Pennsylvania Volunteer infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In line 8 strike out the word "fifty" and insert in lieu thereof the word "thirty."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ALDRIDGE PATTERSON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 1938) granting an increase of pension to Aldridge Patterson.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Aldridge Patterson, late of Company E, Second Regiment Ohio Volunteers, war with Mexico, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ANN A. DEVORE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 1760) granting a pension to Ann A. Devore.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Ann A. Devore, widow of Elbert Devore, late of Capt. T. J. Hibbler's company, First Regiment South Carolina Volunteer Infantry, Seminole Indian war, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 9 strike out "twelve" and insert in lieu thereof the word "eight."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

AUSTIN ALMY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 200) granting an increase of pension to Austin Almy.
The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Austin Almy, late of Company D, Tenth Regiment United States Infantry, war with Mexico, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$24 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

In line 8 strike out "twenty-four" and insert in lieu thereof the word "twenty."

The amendment was agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOSEPHINE L. WEBBER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 1825) granting a pension to Josephine L. Webber.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Josephine L. Webber, widow of William W. Webber, late private, United States Marine Corps, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

MARIE A. RASK.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 1559) granting an increase of pension to Marie A. Rask.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Marie A. Rask, widow of Olof H. Rask, late first lieutenant, United States Marine Corps, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$5 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOEL C. SHEPHERD.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (S. 99) granting an increase of pension to Joel C. Shepherd. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Joel C. Shepherd, late of Company D, Sixth Regiment United States Infantry, war with Mexico, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$16 per month in lieu of that he is now re-

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN M. LAWTON.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 754) granting a pension to John M. Lawton.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John M. Lawton, and pay him a pension of \$15 a month in lieu of any pension now paid him.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as

Strike out all in the bill after the word "Lawton," in line 6, and insert the following: "late of Company C, Third Regiment United States Volunteer Engineers, war with Spain, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$8 per month."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MARY HUFF.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6352) granting a pension to Mary Huff and her five children. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Mary Huff, and her five children, widow of George W. Huff, late of Eleventh Battery Field Artillery, Fifth Regiment United States Army, and pay her a pension at the rate of 20 per month and 22 a month for each child.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

Strike out of the title the words "and her five children." Strike out all in the bill after the words "Mary Huff," in line 6, and substitute therefor the following: "widow of George W. Huff, late of the Eleventh Battery, United States Field Artillery, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month, and \$2 each per month additional on account of the minor children of the said George W. Huff until they reach the age of 16 years."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MICHAEL LANDY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 195) granting a pension to Michael J. Landy.

195) granting a pension to Michael J. Landy.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Michael J. Landy, late a sailor on the U. S. war ship Colorado during the Virginius massacre in 1873, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

Strike out all in the bill after the words "the name of," in lines 5 and 6, and substitute the following: "Michael Landy, late landsman, United States Navy, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$5 per month."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting a pension to Michael Landy."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

THOMAS JOYCE.

The next business on the Private-Calendar was the bill (H. R. 8850) granting a pension to Thomas Joyce.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll the name of Thomas Joyce, late a drummer in Company D. Second Regiment of New York Infantry, in the Florida war, at the rate of \$72 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

Amend the title so as to read: "Granting an increase of pension to Thomas Joyce."

Strike out all in the bill after the words "pension roll," in line 4, and insert the following: "subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Thomas Joyce, late of Company B, Second Regiment United States Infantry, Florida Indian war, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving."

Mr. SMITH of Illinois. Mr. Chairman, I move to amend the committee amendment by striking out in line 11 the word "twenty" and inserting in lieu thereof the word "forty." In support of that I wish the attention of the committee for just a moment. I never attempt to delay legislation on matters of this kind, but I want to say one word in reference to this proposed amendment. This old soldier is 92 years of age. His wife is about 89. I have known them all my life. They have in all in worldly goods a little house and lot, the value of which is not, as the report shows, exceeding \$100. The old soldier has been totally blind, as the report shows, for eleven years. Under those circumstances, he being 92 and his wife about the same age, with no means of support and no children to support them or care for them, and as the sands of their lives are about run, it seems to me that we should give them \$40 a month for the few remaining days they

have.

Mr. LOUDENSLAGER. Mr. Chairman, I trust the amendment of the gentleman from Illinois will not prevail. This is purely and simply a service-pension bill. None of the disabilities of this man were incurred in the service, nor is this an exceptional or extraordinary case. There are a large number of these old soldiers of the Mexican war who are very far advanced in years, beyond 80 years; some of them. They are very feeble; some of them are paralyzed and several of them are totally blind. This is the maximum amount that has been reported from the Committee on Pensions, which has been recommended by the committee and the House of Representatives, and I trust this committee will not make an exception of this bill.

committee will not make an exception of this bill.

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment to the amendment offered by the gentleman from Illinois.

The question was taken, and the amendment was rejected.

The CHAIRMAN. The question now recurs on the committee amendments.

The question was taken, and the amendments were agreed to. The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JAMES F. WHEELER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 4946) to restore James F. Wheeler to the pension roll.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc.. That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to restore James F. Wheeler, of Greenwood, Miss., to the pension roll at the rate of \$12 per month, his restoration to date from September 17, 1902, on which date he was dropped from said roll, and that he be paid at that rate from the date of his last payment next before the 17th day of September, 1902.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting a pension to James F. Wheeler."

Strike out all in the bill after the words "directed to," in line 4, and substitute therefor the following: "place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of James F. Wheeler, late of Company K, First Regiment Texas Volunteer Rifles, war with Mexico, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$12 per month."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

SUSIE C. G. SEABURY.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 8916) for the relief of Susie G. Seabury.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Susie G. Seabury, widow of the late Samuel Seabury, lieutenant, United States Navy, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of \$27, the amount she is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Susie C. G. Seabury."

Strike out all in the bill after the words "name of," in lines 5 and 6, and insert in lieu thereof the following: "Susie C. G. Seabury, widow of Samuel Seabury, late lieutenant, United States Navy, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$35 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving, and \$2 per month additional on account of the minor child of said Samuel Seabury until she reaches the age of 16 years."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN HOLZER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6547) granting a pension to John Holzer.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions

and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John Holzer, late of Company H, First Regiment Delaware Volunteer Infantry, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month.

The amendment recommended by the committee was read, as follows:

In lines 7 and 8 strike out "and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month" and insert in lieu thereof the words "war with Spain."

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN O. RICE.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 7799) to increase the pension of John O. Rice. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John O. Rice, late of Company I, Third Tennessee Infantry, war with Mexico, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 6, after the word "Third," insert "Regiment," and after the word "Tennessee," in the same line, insert "Volunteer."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to John O. Rice."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

MARY M'CALL.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 7072) granting a pension to Mary McCall.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be if enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Mary McCall, widow of John McCall, late of Company K, First Regiment Tennessee Volunteer Cavalry, Mexican war, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 7 strike out "Mexican war" and insert "war with Mexico." In line 8 strike out "twelve" and insert "eight."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN W. BROWN.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6091) granting an increase of pension to John W. Brown. The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John W. Brown, late a member of Company C, Second Regiment Illinois Infantry, Mexican war, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$50 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 change "Company C" to "Company A."
In line 7 change "Mexican war" to "war with Mexico."
In line 8 strike out "fifty" and insert "twenty."
In line 7, after the word "Illinois," insert "Volunteer."
In line 6 strike out "a member."

The amendments were agreed to.
The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

WILLIAM P. CONNER.

The next business on the Private Calendar was the bill (H. R. 6020) granting an increase of pension to William P. Conner.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of William P. Conner, late a sergeant of Company G. Third Regiment Kentucky Volunteer Infantry, war with Mexico, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$30 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

In line 6 strike out "a sergeant;" in line 8 strike out "thirty" and insert "twenty."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

LOUIZA PHILLIPS.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 2822) granting a pension to Louisa Phillips.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Louisa Phillips, widow of William C. Phillips, late private, Captain Boston's company, Second Regiment Alabama Volunteers, in Creek war, 1836, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month from and after the passage of this act.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as

Amend the title so as to read: "Granting an increase of pension to Louiza Phillips."

Change the spelling of the Christian name of the claimant where it appears in the body of the bill to "Louiza."

Strike out all in the bill after the words "William C. Phillips," in line 6, and insert in lieu thereof the following: "late of Captain Boston's company, Alabama Volunteers, Creek Indian war, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

FRANCIS S. HOWARD.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 2916) granting an increase of pension to Francis S. Howard.

The Clerk read as follows:

Be it enacted, etc.. That the Secretary of the Interior is authorized to place upon the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of Francis S. Howard, Capt. John Loyal's independent company, Georgia Mounted Volunteers, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$25 per month in lieu of the amount he is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

In line 3, after the word "Interior," insert "be, and he," and after the word "is," in the same line, insert "hereby," and after the word "authorized," in the same line, insert "and directed." In the same line change "upon" to "on."

In line 5, after the word "Howard," insert "late of."
In line 7, after the word "Volunteers," insert, "war with Mexico," and in the same line change "twenty-five" to "twenty."

In line 8 strike out "the amount" and insert "that."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

ELIZABETH A. JONES.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 2912) granting a pension to Mrs. Elizabeth A. Jones. The Clerk read as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior is hereby authorized and directed, subject to the limitations of the pension laws, to place on the pension roll the name of Mrs. Elizabeth A. Jones, of Chattahooc see County, Ga., and to pay her a pension at the rate of \$20 per month in lieu of that which she is now receiving.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

Change the title so as to read: "A bill granting an increase of pension to Elizabeth A. Jones."

Strike out all in the bill after the word "directed," in line 4, and substitute therefor the following: "To place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws the name of Elizabeth A. Jones, widow of James H. Jones, late of Captain Urquhart's company, Georgia Volunteers, Creek Indian war, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month in lieu of that she is now receiving."

In line 3, after the word "Interior," insert "be, and he."

The amendments were agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

JOHN M. PRATT.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 3435) granting an increase of pension to John M. Pratt.
The Clerk read as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of John M. Pratt, late of Company N, Second Regiment Missouri Mounted Volunteer Infantry, war with Mexico, and pay him a pension at the rate of \$16 per month in lieu of that he is now receiving.

The bill was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recom-

MINERVA J. BURTON.

The next business was the bill (H. R. 219) granting a pension to M. J. Burton, widow of Thomas Burton.

The Clerk read as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws, the name of M. J. Burton, widow of Thomas Burton, late of Company—Fifth Regiment Tennessee Volunteer Infantry, Mexican war, and pay her a pension at the rate of \$12 per month.

The amendments recommended by the committee were read, as follows:

Change the title so as to read: "Granting a pension to Minerva J. Burton."
In line 6 strike out the initial "M." where it appears in the claimint's name and substitute therefor the Christian name "Minerva."
Fill in the blank space on line 7 with the letter "L.," and in the same line strike out "Mexican."
In line 8, after the word "war," insert "with Mexico," and in the same line strike out "twelve" and insert "eight."

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. I should like to put an inquiry to the chairman of the committee reporting this bill. This is a pension for the widow of some Mexican soldier, is it not?

Mr. LOUDENSLAGER. Yes, sir.

Mr GAINES of Tennessee. And the amount proposed is \$12.

Mr. LOUDENSLAGER. Eight dollars.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. You propose to raise it to \$12? Mr. LOUDENSLAGER. No; this is an original pension for

the regular amount.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. She is now receiving \$8?

Mr. LOUDENSLAGER. She is not receiving anything. This is an original pension which we propose to grant at the rate of \$8

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Does not the gentleman think that the widow of a soldier of the Mexican war should be entitled to a

little more than \$8 a month?

Mr. LOUDENSLAGER. I can only say in reply to the gentleman that that is what the general law gives such a widow. Her application for this pension was rejected at the Department because of a technicality. The committee now by this bill removes the technicality, but does not see why the rate should be increased at the same time.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Then the general law is wrong, and we can give a proper standard of relief in this case now. The gentleman knows that we are changing the general law in many cases right here to-day. In many of these cases (and we know nothing about them except as we may have hearsay informations the Decay has proposed to great the region because the law does the Bureau has refused to grant the pension because the law does not cover the case, we are told; yet the committee decide that the applicant should be pensioned, or in other cases that the pen-sion should be increased, because of the demands of justice as presented in the particular case.

Now, I think—and I hope my friend from New Jersey [Mr. LOUDENSLAGER] will agree with me—that we ought to give these old soldiers of the Mexican war more than \$8 a month; and after the death of such a soldier we ought to give the widow more than \$8. They have never been properly pensioned, at least not as the civil-war veterans and their widows.

The survivors of the Mexican war and their widows are now old people of sixty or seventy years at least; and the gentleman knows how little \$8 a month amounts to for the support of one of these aged widows. That sum is only equal in purchasing power to what \$5.50 were a few years ago. The gentleman knows that everything entering into the cost of living has appreciated in cost.

He also remembers that at the last session of Congress, Mr. He also remembers that at the last session of Congress, Mr. Moody, Secretary of the Navy, sent word to us by message or report, through the President, that the cost of material and labor had appreciated 30 per cent in twelve months, and therefore he held that Congress ought to increase by \$2,000,000 the amount allowed for rebuilding the Annapolis Academy, making ten millions all told, and Congress gave the two millions. There is close connection between a soldier or his widow and the Navy.

New Larbenit and Law excitors in this and entirely french.

Now, I submit—and I am serious in this and entirely frankthat in none of these cases have the old soldiers of the Mexican war received what they should have received. It does not make any difference where they may live. I understand that this widow lives in Tennessee, the volunteer State, but that does not make a particle of difference to me in considering the merits of

this question.

I say we should pay the soldier of the Mexican war more than I say we should pay the soldier of the Mexican war more than we are paying him—not simply because he was wounded—not simply because he is old—but because he did serve in that war and can not now from age maintain himself. And the same considerations apply in the case of his widow. Eight dollars a month can not support one of these widows decently, and yet many of them are obliged to live on this pittance and charity. I appeal to the gentleman in favor of a more liberal allowance. This widow must be aged and infirm widow must be aged and infirm.

Mr. LOUDENSLAGER. The question of what these old sol-

diers of the Mexican war and their widows are entitled to is a question which I should be very glad to discuss with the gentleman whenever it properly comes up.

It does not come up in this case. Widows in the civil war and widows of the Mexican war get \$8 a month. Now, this widow was denied a pension at the Department on account of some technicality.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Do you know what that techni-

cality was?

Mr. LOUDENSLAGER. It was the inability on her part to prove her legal widowhood. The committee, taking all the facts into consideration, believed that she was justly entitled to a pension. But we certainly did not think that in removing the techni-cality that we ought also to give her more money than any other widow of the Mexican war was given under the general law, and so she was given by the committee the same amount that was given to the other widows, and we thought that in doing that we

given to the other widows, and we thought were doing an act of equity and of justice.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Now, the pension of the Mexican soldier was raised from \$8 to \$12 a month as an act of grace by the last Congress—less than a year ago. Why not raise his widow's?

Mr. LOUDENSLAGER. That is not to the widow.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. I understand that; but a good wife is always better than a good husband.
Mr. LOUDENSLAGER. I think that is true, as a general

mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Now, we are here pensioning these Mexican soldiers, and have been doing it from year to year at \$8 a month; and we have given pensions to soldiers of the civil war, some of them as much as \$250 and possibly some more than that; and we gave the Mexican soldier a pension here for years and ears at \$8 until last session when, because of their getting old, although they may not have been wounded or maimed, but because old age had withered their powers of self-support, we gave \$12 a month.

And now, when the soldier is dead and leaves a widow, a grateful people and a grateful Congress, with a bankrupt Treasury, and a liberal-hearted Congress will only give his widow, at least his wife, \$8 a month! Possibly she has no home. I asked the gentleman several times about her condition, but no reply to that. Now here is a widow of a Mexican soldier who himself died probably of old age, and yet this committee only proposes to give his widow \$8 a month.

I will move to substitute \$12 for the \$8. As shaped last session, we gave the Mexican soldier himself \$12 because of his old age. Here is this soldier who died and left a widow, who was probably the greatest blessing he had on earth. She in all probability was as good as her husband, if not as happy to-day. Now, I move to strike out "eight" and insert "twelve," and appeal to the generosity of our friends to allow that amendment to be made without detaining the committee longer. I appeal to the manhood and patriotism of the members of the committee to give her that

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the committee amend-

ment.

The question was taken, and the amendment was agreed to.

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Tennessee moves to amend the committee amendment by striking out "eight" and inserting "twelve" in lieu thereof.

Mr. LOUDENSLAGER. I just desire to say a word or two before the vote is taken, so that members of the committee may thoroughly understand what they are voting for. This claimant was not the wife of this soldier during the war. She was, perhaps, never the legal wife of this soldier. She was married to him in 1850, but he had a wife living.

It is but fair to say, however, that she did not know of the former marriage. She lived with the soldier for many years, and when he died she applied for a pension, but the Pension Bureau refused her a pension because she could not prove a legal marriage.

riage.
The committee took into consideration her long life with the soldier and also the testimony that the former wife was dead at this time, and concluded to grant her a pension; but I can not conceive how it would be possible for any fair-minded man to say that this widow should have that technicality removed, and that she should receive a higher amount than the widows of other soldiers who served in that war.

Mr. RARTLETT Mr. Chairman Laineland 1.

soldiers who served in that war.

Mr. BARTLETT. Mr. Chairman, I simply desire to say this. Recognizing the justice and propriety of pensioning the widows of the Mexican-war soldiers, I myself have introduced a bill to change existing law, providing that hereafter, if that bill should pass, these widows shall receive \$12 per month instead of \$8 per month; and I trust that the gentleman from New Jersey, as chairman of that committee, will see that in justice to these few remaining widows of Mexican war soldiers that the bill is passed.

Mr. GAINES of Tennessee. Mr. Chairman, just a moment or two to reply in part to what my friend from New Jersey has said. He says that this claimant was not the legal wife of the deceased soldier because at the time she claims the marriage he

deceased soldier because at the time she claims the marriage he had another wife somewhere, and the claimant was entirely ignorant of this, and subsequently the legal wife died, but there is not any proof in this record—at least if there is the gentleman has not stated it—showing that this woman was ever unfaithful to him or he to her.

She was patriotic enough to stand by him, and when the din of war was sounded she sent him to Mexico, and he did not repudiate her when he came back, and she clung to him, and probably was
the only one who went to his grave and wept over him, and perhaps the only one to-day who goes and puts the evergreens about it.

I say, Mr. Chairman, it is a distressing thing for such a mar-

riage as that to occur. Certainly a reflection on the dead soldier, Mr. Chairman, that he was guilty of such a thing, and yet we pension him; and now a good woman, as this record must demonstrate, because we hear nothing to the contrary, simply because she forty or fifty years ago was not by every technicality of law married to him, although she was faithful to him to the grave, and honors and respects his memory to-day, here in the face of, I hope, a generous Congress he says that she was not his legal married wife, and therefore Congress should not give her the \$12 the

husband was due under the law of to-day.

Mr. Chairman, law does not make virtue nor morals, but protects each. There is nothing in this record to show, as I have said, that she was otherwise than his wife in fact, whether in law or not. There may be to-day orphan children or grandchildren clamoring around her knees, their little stomachs pinched with hunger, and here is the great Congress, with a Treasury packed with money, so much so that we have to send it out to the country with money, so much so that we have to send it out to the country banks to keep them from breaking possibly, or keep the money in circulation, and yet, Mr. Chairman, we stand here and balk; this great Congress halts on giving this aged and infirm woman what her husband was entitled to have and did receive, when, the fact is, coming from the representations of the gentleman himself, she was a better woman than he was a man, because he perpetrated a fraud on her; yet, nevertheless, wifelike and true, she clung to him like the ivy about the old and shivered oak until his last bettle was fought the last roll call was heard, and now we stand battle was fought, the last roll call was heard, and now we stand here to-day hesitating about giving such a wife as that a twelvedollar pension.

The woman is humiliated, I dare say, whenever she is visited by people, if visited at all. She is forced to avoid them because she was not his legal wife, and without her fault, although she clung to him with unremitting devotion to the last.

I do not know the unfortunate woman, I never heard of her until this bill was read from the desk, and possibly will never hear of her again; but I think that simple justice demands, as this is a peculiar case—a remarkable case of loyalty, love, and devotion of a good woman for a man who at one time in his life abused her confidence, while she was loyal and good down to his grave—that we should put a premium upon such loyalty, such love, such devotion. [Applause.]
The question was taken, and the amendment of Mr. Gaines of

Tennessee was rejected.

The bill as amended was ordered to be laid aside with a favorable recommendation.

Mr. SULLOWAY. Mr. Chairman, I move that the committee do now rise and report its action on the several bills to the House. Mr. Chairman, I move that the committee

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly the committee rose; and the Speaker having resumed the chair, Mr. GARDNER of New Jersey, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House, reported that that committee had had under consideration sundry bills upon the Private Calendar and had directed him to report back Senate bill 1543 with the recommendation that it be recommitted to the Committee on Invalid Pensions; that the committee had also directed him to report sundry other bills with amendments with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and that the bills do pass; also certain other bills without amendments with the recommendation that they do pass.

PENSION BILLS PASSED.

The House then proceeded to the consideration of the bills just reported from the Committee of the Whole House.

House bills of the following titles, reported from the Committee of the Woole House with amendments, were severally considered, the amendments agreed to, the bills as amended ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, read the third time, and passed:

H. R. 937. A bill granting an increase of pension to M. A. Shel-

ton (title amended)

H. R. 6562. A bill granting an increase of pension to Frances Thompson (title amended); H. R. 3665. A bill granting an increase of pension to Henry C.

Jones: H. R. 5611. A bill granting a pension to Juliette Westbrook; H. R. 2920. A bill granting an increase of pension to Daniel W.

Huffman; H. R. 4157. A bill granting an increase of pension to Adam Kohl-

hauff; H. R. 3836. A bill granting an increase of pension to David H. Thompson;

H. R. 138. A bill granting an increase of pension to Henry Hale; H. R. 7756. A bill granting an increase of pension to Charles Schroder;

H. R. 6947. A bill granting an increase of pension to Joseph

H. Cooper; H. R. 8376. A bill granting an increase of pension to J. J. Smith

(title amended);
H. R. 8920. A bill granting a pension to Harriet A. Tucker;
H. R. 165. A bill granting an increase of pension to Thomas

Adelsperger; H. R. 8729. A bill granting an increase of pension to Gustus S. Remick:

H. R. 6085. A bill granting a pension to Anna M. Maier-Moyer (title amended);

H. R. 4887. A bill granting a pension to George N. Thorpe (title amended)

H. R. 2016. A bill granting an increase of pension to George Gardner;

H. R. 249. A bill granting a pension to May Shields Mitchell (title amended);

H. R. 5471. A bill granting an increase of pension to A. Marion Gamble;

H. R. 4937. A bill granting an increase of pension to Emily Conklin;

H. R. 610. A bill granting an increase of pension to Samuel Alexander;

H. R. 6588. A bill granting an increase of pension to James H.

Cummings;
H. R. 8058. A bill granting an increase of pension to William M. Underhill;
M. Underhill;

H. R. 7699. A bill granting an increase of pension to Martha Del'Banco Cunningham (title amended);

H. R. 6705. A bill granting an increase of pension to Edwin A. Foreman (title amended);

H. R. 4365. A bill granting a pension to Barney L. Brookins;
 H. R. 7682. A bill granting an increase of pension to William

H. Howard; H. R. 134. A bill granting a pension to Wilhelmina Miller. H. R. 5699. A bill granting an increase of pension to James P. Johnson;

H. R. 4457. A bill granting an increase of pension to Mary E.

H. R. 4045. A bill granting a pension to Minnie Gusler;
 H. R. 3815. A bill granting an increase of pension to Hester E.

Mooney; H. R. 4276. A bill granting an increase of pension to H. E. Burritt (title amended);

H. R. 3037. A bill granting a pension to William Bieber; H. R. 1487. A bill granting an increase of pension to D. T. Drake (title amended);

H. R. 2183. A bill granting an increase of pension to Joseph A. Soule:

H. R. 1497. A bill granting an increase of pension to Dana Cook; H. R. 5199. A bill granting a pension to Tracy M. Johnson (title amended):

H. R. 865. A bill granting an increase of pension to Charles C. Chase

H. R. 8187. A bill granting an increase of pension to George Jeffrey; H. R. 681.

A bill granting an increase of pension to Ella P. Kersteter (title amended):

H. R. 4114. A bill granting an increase of pension to Robert Carns (title amended);

H. R. 4251. A bill granting an increase of pension to Joseph Hinkle; H. R. 2111. Abill granting a pension to Henry D. Tousley (title

amended):

H. R. 4325. A bill granting an increase of pension to John Amen Sills (title amended); H. R. 3298. A bill granting a pension to Mary E. Pennock;

H. R. 6036. A bill granting an increase of pension to John Shafer;

H. R. 6701. A bill granting an increase of pension to John A. Reeds:

H. R. 5634. A bill granting an increase of pension to Robert L. Miles

H. R. 1816. A bill granting an increase of pension to George W.

Day; H. R. 2427. A bill granting a pension to Cynthia Thomas (title

amended);
H. R. 2932. A bill granting a pension to Dudley R. W. Williams;
H. R. 6879. A bill for the relief of R. A. Finnell (title amended);
H. R. 475. A bill granting a pension to Alfred C. Isachsen (title amended):

H. R. 8740. A bill granting an increase of pension to Mary G. Bonesteel;

H. R. 660. A bill granting an increase of pension to John Sines (title amended);

H. R. 703. A bill granting a pension to Robert P. Baker (title amended):

H. R. 5617. A bill granting an increase of pension to William P. Hereford;

H. R. 5534. A bill granting an increase of pension to Adaline Shaw Lovejoy; H. R. 4031. A bill granting an increase of pension to Samuel R.

Wasson; H. R. 6582. A bill granting an increase of pension to Harry

Haller; H. R. 5538. A bill granting a pension to Jane Elizabeth Bullock; H. R. 8728. A bill granting a pension to Matilda Lafferty;

H. R. 7563. A bill granting an increase of pension to W. W. Rowlett (title amended):

H. R. 3617. A bill for the relief of Charles M. Everett (title amended);

H. R. 2477. A bill granting an increase of pension to Frank J. McLaughlin;

H. R. 5609. A bill granting an increase of pension to B. F. Grigsby (title amended); H. R. 7095. A bill granting an increase of pension to Harrison

H. Hakes:

H. R. 7798. A bill granting an increase of pension to Andrew

H. R. 8342. A bill granting a pension to Horace E. Davis (title amended);

H. R. 3272. A bill granting an increase of pension to Elizabeth

Hardy; H. R. 1156. A bill granting an increase of pension to John Pangratz:

H. R. 8123. A bill granting a pension to Eliza Stoddard Smith (title amended); H. R. 7355. A bill granting an increase of pension to Henry

H. R. 4578. A bill granting a pension to Catharine M. McClana.

H. R. 7477. A bill granting an increase of pension to Cyrenius Dennis (title amended);

H. R. 3345. A bill granting an increase of pension to Charles P. Clarke;

H. R 4987. A bill granting an increase of pension to William

Y. M. Wilkerson; H. R. 529. A bill granting a pension to Emma H. Higley; H. R. 727. A bill granting an increase of pension to James K. Blve;

H. R. 2194. A bill granting a pension to Mary Dewire; H. R. 5720. A bill granting an increase of pension to William T.

H. R. 7757. A bill granting an increase of pension to Cyrus

Davidheiser (title amended); H. R. 7594. A bill granting an increase of pension to Charles H.

H. R. 6028. A bill granting an increase of pension to Anton Heffron (title amended);

H. R. 3407. A bill granting an increase of pension to Frank

H. R. 7439. A bill granting a pension to Helen M. Bates (title

amended); H. R. 8207. A bill granting an increase of pension to Charles

Johnson;
 H. R. 2040. A bill granting a pension to James Anderson;
 H. R. 6023. A bill granting an increase of pension to Charles

Wigert: H. R. 6342. A bill granting a pension to Thomas Springer (title

amended); H. R. 6089. A bill granting an increase of pension to Emma

Louisa Nagle (title amended) H. R. 5972. A bill granting an increase of pension to Edward A.

Wilbur; H. R. 2122. A bill granting a pension to O. H. Lyon (title amended):

H. R. 9029. A bill granting a pension to Georgia S. Barbour

(title amended); H. R. 5718. A bill granting an increase of pension to Adolph Heiser

H. R. 5367. A bill granting an increase of pension to Franklin Moore

H. R. 2852. A bill granting an increase of pension to Abraham J. Yeomans;

H. R. 4323. A bill granting an increase of pension to Mary Wurtz; H. R. 6061. A bill granting an increase of pension to Alphus D. Brown (title amended);
H. R. 3616. A bill granting a pension to James W. Davis (title

amended);

H. R. 9583. A bill granting an increase of pension to James H. Hargis, sr. (title amended);

H. R. 7732. A bill granting a pension to Mary Chenowith (title amended):

H. R. 7447. A bill granting an increase of pension to William

Bailey; H. R. 9030. A bill granting a pension to John Daly (title

H. R. 5555. A bill granting an increase of pension to James R. Humptley (title amended);

H. R. 6994. A bill granting an increase of pension to Theresa

Nebrich; H. R. 8717. A bill granting a pension to Henry Edwards (title amended);

H. R. 6426. A bill granting a pension to David J. Beidler (title amended):

H. R. 5883. A bill granting an increase of pension to David

H. R. 5818. A bill granting an increase of pension to Philip

H. R. 4915. A bill granting an increase of pension to James W. Hibbert:

H. R. 5391. A bill granting a pension to James Keleher (title amended):

H. R. 4526. A bill granting an increase of pension to William J. Shepherd (title amended);

H. R. 4136. A bill granting an increase of pension to Caleb Ar-

H. R. 3521. A bill granting an increase of pension to John Haw-

ker; H. R. 3411. A bill granting an increase of pension to William J. Hart: H. R. 3337. A bill granting an increase of pension to Mary A.

Craigne: H. R. 3172. A bill granting an increase of pension to Robert E.

H. R. 2809. A bill granting an increase of pension to John Watt; H. R. 2553. A bill granting an increase of pension to George Wintz:

H. R. 1883. A bill granting a pension to Harriet A. Cook; H. R. 1830. A bill granting a pension to Samuel W. Searles; H. R. 812. A bill granting an increase of pension to Charles F.

Emer H. R. 7079. A bill granting an increase of pension to John J.

Fleming;
H. R. 754. A bill granting a pension to John M. Lawton;
H. R. 635?. A bill granting a pension to Mary Huff and her five children (title amended);

H. R. 195. A bill granting a pension to Michael J. Landy (title

H. R. 8850. A bill granting a pension to Thomas Joyce (title amended)

H. R. 4946. A bill to restore James F. Wheeler to the pension roll (title amended);

H. R. 8916. A bill for the relief of Susie G. Seabury (title amended):

H. R. 6547. A bill granting a pension to John Holzer. H. R. 7799. A bill to increase the pension of John O. Rice (title

amended):

H. R. 7072. A bill granting a pension to Mary McCall: H. R. 6091. A bill granting an increase of pension to John W.

Brown; H. R. 6020. A bill granting an increase of pension to William Conner:

H. R. 2822. A bill granting a pension to Louisa Phillips (title amended

H. R. 2916. A bill granting an increase of pension to Francis S. Howard:

H. R. 2912. A bill granting a pension to Mrs. Elizabeth A. Jones (title amended): and
H. R. 219. A bill granting a pension to M. J. Burton, widow of Thomas Burton (title amended).

House bills of the following titles, favorably reported from the Committee of the Whole House without amendment, were severally considered, ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, read the third time, and passed:

H. R. 689. A bill granting an increase of pension to Edgar

Chapman: H. R. 6005. A bill granting an increase of pension to George B.

H. R. 1294. A bill granting an increase of pension to William

McBrien;

H. R. 3299. A bill granting a pension to Medie M. Flanders; H. R. 5372. A bill granting a pension to Mariah Kuechler; H. R. 6442. A bill granting an increase of pension to James P. Wallace:

H. R. 198. A bill granting an increase of pension to Emmett Cole; H. R. 2923. A bill granting an increase of pension to John G. Fairchild:

H. R. 3032. A bill granting an increase of pension to William

Pettenger;
H. R. 8748. A bill for the relief of Serenus Kilbourne; H. R. 1859. A bill granting an increase of pension to Patrick Cannon;

H. R. 6032. A bill granting an increase of pension to Charles S.

H. R. 3367. A bill granting an increase of pension to George W. Kerby; H. R. 734. A bill granting an increase of pension to Henry S. McAlister;

H. R. 6025. A bill granting an increase of pension to John

Herzog; H. R. 1855. A bill granting a pension to Harriett B. Riley; H. R. 1906. A bill granting an increase of pension to George

H. R. 5865. A bill granting an increase of pension to Joshua

H. R. 5179. A bill granting a pension to Joseph J. Murray; H. R. 4759. A bill granting an increase of pension to David P. McDonald; and H. R. 3435. A bill granting an increase of pension to John M.

Pratt.

Senate bills of the following titles, favorably reported from the Committee of the Whole without amendment, were severally considered, ordered to a third reading, read the third time, and passed: S. 1498. An act granting an increase of pension to Winslow P.

S. 1497. An act granting an increase of pension to Walter F.

Chase; S. 12. An act granting an increase of pension to Francis E.

S. 190. An act granting an increase of pension to Charles H. Bell:

S. 744. An act granting an increase of pension to Stephen Gascoigne:

S. 745. An act granting a pension to John Swenson;

S. 930. An act granting an increase of pension to Ferdinand Wiedemann;

S. 547. An act granting an increase of pension to Irving W. Coombs:

S. 471. An act granting an increase of pension to Silas Meserve; S. 1491. An act granting an increase of pension to James A. Hoover;

S. 929. An act granting an increase of pension to Charles Stermer;

S. 182. An act granting an increase of pension to Charles F. Holt:

S. 1826. An act granting an increase of pension to Mary E. Cutts; S. 1429. An act granting an increase of pension to Elizabeth C. Paquin;

S. 1335. An act granting an increase of pension to Calvin Daws; S. 1437. An act granting an increase of pension to Clarence E.

S. 959. An act granting an increase of pension to Andrew C.

Ranard: S. 1259. An act granting an increase of pension to John M. Stanvan;

S. 1402. An act granting an increase of pension to William Paul:

S. 814. An act granting a pension to Mamie Thayer

S. 821. An act granting an increase of pension to W. Neil Den-

S. 847. An act granting an increase of pension to John L. Bev-

S. 798. An act granting an increase of pension to James A.

Templeton; S. 589. An act granting an increase of pension to George W. McMullen:

S. 898. An act granting an increase of pension to John B.

587. An act granting an increase of pension to Anson P. Williamson;

S. 484. An act granting a pension to Nancy S. Marsh;

S. 565. An act granting an increase of pension to James E. Barnard;

S. 478. An act granting an increase of pension to Olive J. Bailey; S. 473. An act granting an increase of pension to Byron D. Bab-

S. 14 An act granting an increase of pension to Samuel M.

Perry: S. 451. An act granting an increase of pension to William T. Conant; S. 452 An act granting an increase of pension to Albert W.

Bullock S. 1827. An act granting an increase of pension to Harris A. P.

Lewis:

S. 9. An act granting an increase of pension to David E. Burbank:

S. 456. An act granting an increase of pension to Andrew J. Pierce;

S. 13. An act granting an increase of pension to William Clark; S. 586. An act granting a pension to Annie H. Zoll; S. 937. An act granting an increase of pension to Rudolph

Siebelist. S. 555. An act granting an increase of pension to Royal A. S. Kingsley;

S. 578. An act granting an increase of pension to John Bullamore

S. 458. An act granting an increase of pension to Charles Beattie; S. 1938. An act granting an increase of pension to Aldridge Patterson:

S. 1825. An act granting a pension to Josephine L. Webber; S. 1559. An act granting an increase of pension to Marie A. Rask; and

S. 99. An act granting an increase of pension to Joel C. Shepherd.

Senate bills of the following titles, favorably reported from the Committee of the Whole, with amendments, were severally considered, the amendments agreed to, ordered to a third reading, read the third time, and passed:

S. 1334. An act granting a pension to Amy C. Bosworth (title

S. 1760. An act granting a pension to Ann A. Devore; and S. 200. An act granting an increase of pension to Austin Almy. The bill (S. 1543) granting an increase of pension to William W. Johnson was recommitted to the Committee on Invalid Pensions in accordance with the recommendation of the Committee of the Whole House.

Mr. GIBSON. I ask unanimous consent that in the case of each of these bills acted upon by the House a motion to reconsider be regarded as entered, and that the motion be laid on the

There being no objection, it was ordered accordingly.

HORSES, CARRIAGES, ETC., AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE.

Mr. JENKINS. Mr. Speaker, I desire to make a privileged report from the Committee on the Judiciary.

The Clerk read as follows:

House resolution 151.

Resolved, That the Attorney-General be, and he is hereby, requested to furnish this House, at his earliest convenience, a statement showing the number of horses, carriages, and automobiles maintained at Government expense for the use of officials in his Department, together with a statement showing the cost of said horses, carriages, automobiles, and harness, and the amount of wages paid to men acting as coachmen, footmen, and chauffeurs, whether carried on the rolls as such or in some other classification; also, the list of officials entitled to the use of said carriages.

Mr. JENKINS. Mr. Speaker, the committee report a substi-tute in lieu of the resolution; which I will ask to have read. The Clerk read as follows:

Resolved, That the Attorney-General be, and he is hereby, requested to furnish this House, at his earliest convenience, a statement showing the number of horses, carriages, and automobiles maintained at Government expense for the use of officials in his Department, together with a statement showing the cost of said horses, carriages, automobiles, and harness, the date of purchase, from what fund the payment was made, and the amount of wages paid to men acting as coachmen, footmen, and chaufteurs, whether carried on the rolls as such or in some other classification; also the list of officials entitled to the use of said carriages, and the purposes for which said vehicles are used.

The SPEAKER. The question is on agreeing to the resolution. The question was taken; and the resolution was agreed to. On motion of Mr. JENKINS, a motion to reconsider the last vote was laid on the table.

FREE ENTRY OF CERTAIN ARTICLES AND MATERIALS.

Mr. TAWNEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the bill (H. R. 9658) to provide for the withdrawal, free of duty under bond, for incorporated institutions established for religious, philosophical, educational, scientific, or literary purposes, of articles and materials exhibited at the Louisiana Purchase Exposition.

The Clerk read the bill as follows:

The Clerk read the bill as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Secretary of the Treasury be, and he is hereby, authorized to permit, at the expiration of the Louisiana Purchase Exposition, the withdrawal, free of duty, by any State or municipality or any incorporated institution established for religious, philosophical, educational, scientific, or literary purposes, of any articles and materials imported under bond for exhibition at said exposition and donated to any such institution; the exhibition bonds given on original entry of the articles at St. Louis, Mo., to be canceled only on the production of evidence satisfactory to the Secretary of the Treasury, showing the donation and the bona fide acceptance of the articles and materials, but bonds shall be given under such rules and regulations as the Secretary of the Treasury may prescribe, conditioned for the permanent preservation of the articles by the dones and for the payment of lawful duties which may accrue should any of the articles and materials aforesaid be sold, transferred, or used contrary to this provision; and such articles shall be subject, at any time, to examination and inspection by the proper officers of the customs: Provided, That the privilege thus conferred shall not be allowed to associations or corporations engaged in business of a private or commercial character: Provided further, That nothing herein contained shall prevent the withdrawal, free of duty, of the articles enumerated in and under the conditions prescribed by paragraphs 503, 638, 649, 702, and 703 of the tariff act of July 24, 1897.

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill to provide for the withdrawal, free of duty under bond, from the Louisiana Purchase Exposition of any articles and materials donated to incorporated institutions established for religious, philosophical, educational, scientific, or literary purposes, or to any State or municipal corporation."

Mr. TAWNEY. Mr. Speaker, I would state that this bill is re-

Mr. TAWNEY. Mr. Speaker, I would state that this bill is reported from the Ways and Means Committee and that it is a unanimous report. The bill has been asked for by the Treasury Department to enable articles imported for the purpose of exhibition at the Louisiana Purchase Exposition to be donated to these various institutions, including States and municipalities, which could not otherwise be done without the payment of these duties.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the approximant and third

The SPEAKER. The question is on the engrossment and third

reading of the bill.

The question was taken; and the bill ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, read the third time, and passed.

The SPEAKER. Without objection the title will be amended.

There was no objection.

On motion of Mr. Tawney, a motion to reconsider the last vote was laid on the table.

PRINTING FOR COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE LAND CLAIMS.

Mr. SMITH of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the resolution which I will send to the desk and ask to have read.

The Clerk read as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on Private Land Claims be, and hereby is, authorized to have printed such papers and documents as may be necessary for the business of said committee during the present Congress.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Illinois asks unanimous consent for the present consideration of the resolution which has

just been reported. Is there objection?

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I would ask the gentleman from Illinois if it is usual for that com-

mittee to have that resolution passed?

Mr. SMITH of Illinois. We have had it before. That committee does work occasionly, at least.

Mr. PAYNE. Has the committee had any hearings?
Mr. SMITH of Illinois. A good many—a great many.
Mr. PAYNE. At this present session of Congress?
Mr. SMITH of Illinois. We will have a hearing on Monday

Mr. PAYNE. I say during this present session, has that committee had any hearings?

Mr. SMITH of Illinois. Not yet; but we will have on Monday

Mr. PAYNE. Well, Mr. Speaker, I think we had better wait until there is occasion for it. I shall have to object.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from New York objects.

ILLUSTRATIONS FOR REPORT ON NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL.

Mr. FOSS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the order which I will send to the desk and ask to have read.

The Clerk read as follows:

Ordered, That the Public Printer be authorized to furnish such illustra-tions as may be required by the Committee on Naval Affairs in its report on the naval appropriation bill.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Illinois asks unanimous consent for the present consideration of the order which has just been reported. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER. The question is on agreeing to the order. The order was agreed to.

CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

By unanimous consent, the following change of reference was made:

The bill (S. 128) granting an increase of pension to Clara M. Gihon, from the Committee on Invalid Pensions to the Committee on Pensions.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE.

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted as follows:

To Mr. Burleson, for one week, on account of business. To Mr. Hughes of West Virginia, for ten days, on account of important business.

WITHDRAWAL OF PAPERS.

By unanimous consent, leave was granted to Mr. Wilson of Arizona to withdraw from the files of the House, without leaving copies, the papers in the case of David K. Wardwell, Fifty-seventh Congress, no adverse report having been made thereon.

ADJOURNMENT.

Then, on motion of Mr. PAYNE, at 3 o'clock and 50 minutes p. m. the House adjourned.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS.

Under clause 2 of Rule XXIV, the following executive com-munications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

A letter from the Secretary of War, transmitting, with a letter from the Chief of Engineers, report of survey of Skidaway Nar-

rows, Georgia—to the Committee on Rivers and Harbors, and ordered to be printed, with illustrations.

A letter from the assistant clerk of the Court of Claims, trans-

mitting a copy of the findings filed by the court in the case of Lee Crouch, administrator of estate of Abram Crouch, against The United States—to the Committee on War Claims, and ordered

A letter from the Secretary of the Treasury, calling attention to certain cases in which sites for public buildings can not be acquired within the limit of cost—to the Committee on Public

acquired within the limit of cost—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds, and ordered to be printed.

A letter from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting papers relating to granting annual leaves of absence to gaugers, storekeepers, and storekeepers' gaugers in the Internal-Revenue Service—to the Committee on Ways and Means, and ordered to be printed.

A letter from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting a copy of a communication from the Secretary of the Navy submitting an estimate of appropriation for naval station at Guantanamo, Cuba-to the Committee on Naval Affairs, and ordered to be printed.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS.

Under clause 2 of Rule XIII, bills and resolutions of the following titles were severally reported from committees, delivered to the Clerk, and referred to the several Calendars therein named, as follows

Mr. BOUTELL, from the Committee on Ways and Means, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 4818) providing for an additional officer in the district of Chicago, in the collection district of Indiana and Illinois, reported the same without amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 447), which said bill and report were referred to the Committee of the Whole House

on the state of the Union.

Mr. MANN, from the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 5811) to authorize the Norfolk and Western Railway Company 5811) to authorize the Norfolk and Western Railway Company to bridge the Tug Fork of Big Sandy River at certain points where the same forms the boundary line between the States of West Virginia and Kentucky or the boundary line between the States of West Virginia and Virginia, reported the same without amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 448); which said bill and report were referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. WANGER, from the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 8882) to eartherize the construction of a bridge aggress the Monor.

8889) to authorize the construction of a bridge across the Monongahela River, in the State of Pennsylvania, by the Eastern Rail-road Company, reported the same with amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 449); which said bill and report was referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. SAMUEL W. SMITH, from the Committee on the District of Columbia, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 8692) to authorize the apprehension and detention of insane persons in the District of Columbia, and providing for their temporary commitment in the Government Hospital for the Insane, and for other purposes, reported the same with amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 450); which said bill and report were referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. STEVENS of Minnesota, from the Committee on Interstate

and Foreign Commerce, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 9308) permitting the building of a dam across the Mississippi River between the counties of Wright and Sherburne,

in the State of Minnesota, reported the same with amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 452); which said bill and report were referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. BABCOCK, from the Committee on the District of Columbia, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 7869) in relation to bonds on contracts with the District of Columbia, reported the same without amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 453); which said bill and report were referred to the House Calendar.

He also, from the same committee, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 8638) to transfer jurisdiction of reservation No. 32, in the city of Washington, D. C., reported the same without amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 454); which said bill and report were referred to the House Calendar.

He also, from the same committee, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 7024) to name streets, alleys, highways, and reservations in that part of the District of Columbia outside of the city of Washington, and for other purposes, reported the same without amendment, a companied by a report (No. 455); which said bill and report were referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

Under clause 2 of Rule XXII, committees were discharged from the consideration of bills of the following titles; which were thereupon referred as follows:

A bill (H. R. 10230) for the relief of Telisha Webb-Committee on Invalid Pensions discharged, and referred to the Committee on Claims.

A bill (H. R. 10711) granting a pension to Mary Henrietta Baston—Committee on Invalid Pensions discharged, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

A bill (H, R. 10476) granting a pension to Charles B. Gallagher— Committee on Invalid Pensions discharged, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

A bill (H. R. 10488) granting an increase of pension to Jonathan W. Barber—Committee on Invalid Pensions discharged, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

A bill (H. R. 2202) to provide for the payment of arrearage of salary due to inspectors of customs for the district of Huron, Mich., and so forth—Committee on Ways and Means discharged, and referred to the Committee on Claims.

PUBLIC BILLS, RESOLUTIONS, AND MEMORIALS.

Under clause 3 of Rule XXII, bills, resolutions, and memorials of the following titles were introduced and severally referred as

By Mr. McMORRAN: A bill (H. R. 10858) to provide for the erection of a public building and acquire a site therefor at Mount Clemens, Mich.—to the Committee on Public Buildings and

By Mr. VOLSTEAD: A bill (H. R. 10859) regulating the settlement of the accounts between the United States and the several

States relative to the disposal of the public lands, and for other purposes—to the Committee on the Public Lands.

By Mr. SHULL: A bill (H. R. 10860) to provide for site and public building at South Bethlehem, Pa.—to the Committee on

Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. BINGHAM: A bill (H. R. 10861) to equalize the rank and pay of certain retired officers of the Navy and Marine Corps to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

By Mr. RICHARDSON of Alabama: A bill (H. R. 10862) to confer jurisdiction upon the Court of Claims to hear and determine the claims of churches, lodges, and educational or eleemosynary institutions arising from the late civil war—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. BOWERS: A bill (H. R. 10863) to provide for the purchase of a site and the erection of a public building thereon at Layred in the State of Mississippi—to the Committee on Public

Laurel, in the State of Mississippi-to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10864) to provide for the purchase of a site and the erection of a public building thereon at Gulfport, in the State of Mississippi—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. RIDER: A bill (H. R. 10865) to provide for placing in the public schools of the District of Columbia copies of the bust of George Washington-to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

By Mr. BABCOCK: A bill (H. R. 10866) to amend section 68 of volume 28 of the United States Statutes at Large-to the Committee on Printing.

By Mr. RUSSELL: A bill (H. R. 10867) to make it a felony for any officer of any national bank or banking institution to receive any deposit of money or other valuable property after such officer

any deposit of money or other valuable property after such officer has knowledge that such bank is insolvent or in falling circumstances—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. HOUSTON: A bill (H. R. 10868) to provide for the purchase of a site and erection of a public building thereon at Lewes, in the State of Delaware—to the Committee on Public Buildings

By Mr. MONDELL: A bill (H. R. 10869) to apply a portion of the proceeds of the sales of public lands to the endowment of schools or departments of mines and mining in connection with the colleges established in the several States under the provisions of an act approved July 2, 1862, entitled "An act donating public lands to the several States and Territories which may provide colleges for the benefit of agriculture and the mechanic arts," and

leges for the benefit of agriculture and the mechanic arts," and for similar purposes, being a further supplement to said act—to the Committee on Mines and Mining.

By Mr. OTIS: A bill (H. R. 10870) to provide for the erection of a public building at the city of Yonkers, N. Y.—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. GAINES of Tennessee: A bill (H. R. 10871) to further provide for the redemption of mutilated United States paper currency and to maintain the cleanliness of the circulation—to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By Mr. RAINEY: A joint resolution (H. J. Res. 85) to lower the height of the Government dams in the Illinois River at Kampsville and Lagrange 2 feet-to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, private bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred as

By Mr. BADGER: A bill (H. R. 10872) to correct the military record of John Marlow—to the Committee on M litary Affairs.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10373) granting a pension to Malona Brown—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10874) granting a pension to M. M. Lyndall Goddard-to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10875) granting a pension to Ellen Warner— to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10876) granting a pension to Mrs. Edward M. Hughes—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10877) to remove the charge of desertion against Thomas Albright—to the Committee on Military Affairs. Also, a bill (H. R. 10878) to remove the charge of desertion against William C. Jones—to the Committee on Military Affairs. Also, a bill (H. R. 10879) to remove the charge of desertion

against Daniel Fleming—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10880) to remove the charge of desertion Also, a bill (H. R. 10880) to remove the charge of desertion against Cornelius Hardin—to the Committee on Military Affairs. Also, a bill (H. R. 10881) to remove the charge of desertion against Thomas Egan—to the Committee on Military Affairs. Also, a bill (H. R. 10882) to remove the charge of desertion

Also, a bill (H. R. 1983) to remove the charge of desertion against Albert Stratton—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, a bill (H. R. 1983) granting an increase of pension to Minerva T. Gillispie—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 1984) granting an increase of pension to John I. Cook—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10885) granting an increase of pension to John Arnold—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10886) granting an increase of pension to Florence N. Leathem—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Florence N. Leathem—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.
Also, a bill (H. R. 10887) granting an increase of pension to
Monroe D. Bishop—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.
Also, a bill (H. R. 10838) granting an increase of pension to
William W. Clark—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.
Also, a bill (H. R. 10889) granting an increase of pension to
Lianthia T. Grumley—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.
By Mr. BURKETT: A bill (H. R. 10890) to remove the charge

of desertion from the military record of William H. Spradlingto the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. BUTLER of Pennsylvania: A bill (H. R. 10891) for the

relief of Julius A. Kaiser—to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. DANIELS: A bill (H. R. 10892) to correct the military record of David Campbell—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. DOVENER: A bill (H. R. 10893) for the relief of Elias

E. Barnes—to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. DRAPER: A bill (H. R. 10894) granting an increase of pension to Charles Ballentyne—to the Committee on Invalid

By Mr. DUNWELL: A bill (H. R. 10895) to authorize the President to appoint Col. Henry Lippincott, United States Army, retired, to the grade of brigadier-general, United States Army, on the retired list—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10896) for the relief of Lucy M. Fisher-to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. FLOOD: A bill (H. R. 10897) for the rel'ef of Bolser

H. Pullen, of McDowell, Highland County, Va.—to the Commit-

be on War Claims.

By Mr. FRENCH: A bill (H. R. 10898) granting a pension to William D. Pemberton—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. GOEBEL: A bill (H. R. 10899) granting an increase of pension to Benjamin Jelliff—to the Committee on Invalid Pen-

Also, a bill (H. R. 10900) granting a pension to Laura B. Carson—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. GOLDFOGLE: A bill (H. R. 10901) granting an increase

By Mr. GOLDFOGLE: A bill (H. R. 10901) granting an increase of pension to John Quinn—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. By Mr. HAMILTON: A bill (H. R. 10902) granting a pension to Jerry B. Gilson—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. Also, a bill (H. R. 10903) for the relief of Fannie H. Christopher—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. HARDWICK: A bill (H. R. 10904) granting a pension to Edson H. Crawford—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. By Mr. HAY: A bill (H. R. 10905) for the relief of the Salem Baptist Church, of Clarke County, Va.—to the Committee on War Claims.

War Claims.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10906) for the relief of the Mount Zion Church of United Brethren, Frederick County, Va.—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. HEPBURN: A bill (H. R. 10907) for the relief of John Lewis Young—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. HINSHAW: A bill (H. R. 10908) granting an increase of pension to Hanley B. Closson—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Pensions.
Also, a bill (H. R. 10909) granting an increase of pension ot John S. Beardsley—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.
By Mr. HOPKINS: A bill (H. R. 10910) to pay Elijah Patrick, of Salyersville, Ky., for two years' service in the late war as captain of a company of volunteers, and granting him a pension—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.
By Mr. HOWELL of Utah: A bill (H. R. 10911) granting a pension to Lewis Ward—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.
Also, a bill (H. R. 10912) granting a pension to Leonard J. Whitney—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.
By Mr. HUMPHREYS of Mississippi: A bill (H. R. 10913) for the relief of the estate of Nancy Barrow, deceased, late of Bolivar County, Miss.—to the Committee on War Claims.

the relief of the estate of Nancy Barrow, deceased, late of Bohvar County, Miss.—to the Committee on War Claims.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10914) for the relief of the estate of A. W. McAllister, deceased, late of Washington County, Miss.—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. JAMES: A bill (H. R. 10915) for the relief of J. C. Peeples—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. KLINE: A bill (H. R. 10916) for the relief of Morris F. Cawley—to the Committee on Claims.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10917) for the relief of Samuel M. Pitzer—

Also, a bill (H. R. 10917) for the relief of Samuel M. Pitzer—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. LAMB: A bill (H. R. 10918) for the relief of W. M. Coulling—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. LILLEY: A bill (H. R. 10919) granting an increase of pension to Susan E. Oliver—to the Committee on Invalid Pen-

By Mr. MANN: A bill (H. R. 10920) for the relief of Julius Frank—to the Committee on War Claims. By Mr. MOON of Tennessee: A bill (H. R. 10921) for the relief of William E. Walker—to the Committee on War Claims.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10922) for the relief of the legal representatives of Gincey Edwards, deceased—to the Committee on War

By Mr. McMORRAN: A bill (H. R. 10923) granting a pension to I. Winslow Ayer—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. PATTERSON of Pennsylvania: A bill (H. R. 10924) granting an increase of pension to Cline Morris—to the Commit-

By Mr. PORTER: A bill (H. R. 10925) directing the issue of a check in lieu of a lost check drawn in favor of the Pittsburg Shear, Knife and Machine Company, now the Heppenstall Forge and Knife Company, of Pittsburg, Pa.—to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. POWERS of Maine: A bill (H. R. 10926) granting an increase of pension to Andrew J. Gardiner—to the Committee on

Also, a bill (H. R. 10927) granting an increase of pension to Amanda J. Cowan—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. RAINEY: A bill (H. R. 10928) granting a pension to William H. Wendell—to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. RICHARDSON of Alabama: A bill (H. R. 10929) for the relief of the heirs of George Orville Ragland, deceased, late of Madison County, Ala.—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. RIXEY: A bill (H. R. 10930) for the relief of Louisa J. Gran—to the Committee on Claims.

Grau—to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. RYAN: A bill (H. R. 10931) granting an increase of pension to Christopher O'Hara—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. SMALL: A bill (H. R. 10932) granting a pension to Eliza Woolard—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. SMITH of Illinois: A bill (H. R. 10933) for the relief of

John Heberer—to the Committee on War Claims.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10934) for the relief of Emeline E. Musgrave—to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. SLEMP: A bill (H. R. 10935) for the relief of Rebecca Mayo—to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. SOUTHARD: A bill (H. R. 10936) granting an increase of pensions to Geograp P. Scott.

of pension to George R. Scott-to the Committee on Invalid Pen-

By Mr. SPIGHT: A bill (H. R. 10937) for the relief of D. W. Carpenter, of Marshall County, Miss.—to the Committee on War Claims.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10938) for the relief of the Old School Presbyterian Church, at the town of Panola, Panola County, Miss.—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. THOMAS of North Carolina: A bill (H. R. 10939) granting an increase of pension to Jesse Harrison—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. THOMPSON: A bill (R. R. 10940) to pay Joel Oswalt for stones and supplies—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. TRIMBLE: A bill (H. R. 10941) granting an increase of pension to Mary Dougherty-to the Committee on Invalid Pen-

By Mr. WATSON: A bill (H. R. 10942) granting an increase of pension to John E. Baldwin—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10943) granting a pension to Joseph Wolf—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. WILLIAMS of Illinois: A bill (H. R. 10944) to remove charge of desertion from the record of Robert Moore—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10945) granting a pension to Lola Qualls—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10946) granting a pension to Susan Wycaughto the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10947) granting a pension to Eliza M. Crisell-

to the Committee on Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10948) granting an increase of pension to John N. Matthews—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10949) granting an increase of pension to Larkin L. Hensley—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10950) granting an increase of pension to

William Clark—to the Committee on Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10951) granting an increase of pension to McDonald Bumgarner—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10952) granting an increase of pension to John F. Oruts—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10953) granting an increase of pension to Henry H. Hallman—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, the following petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

Mr. ACHESON: Resolution of the Manufacturers' Club of Philadelphia, relative to an act to regulate commerce—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, papers to accompany bill H. R. 1271, for the relief of Mrs. Jane W. Mason—to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. ADAMS of Pennsylvania: Paper relating to the services of Rev. John William Runkel during the Revolution—to the Committee on the Library.

By Mr. BADGER: Petitions of citizens of Central College and

By Mr. BADGER: Petitions of citizens of Central College and Westerville, Ohio, in favor of the passage of the Hepburn-Dolliver bill—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. BURKETT: Petition of A. V. Wilson, of Elk Creek, Nebr., in favor of Sunday closing of Lewis and Clark Exposition—to the Committee on Industrial Arts and Expositions.

Also, petition of David L. Smith, of Tecumseh, Nebr., in favor of Hepburn-Dolliver bill—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of B. W. Marsh, of Auburn, Nebr., against sale of liquor in Government buildings—to the Committee on Alcoholic Liquor Traffic.

holic Liquor Traffic.

By Mr. DRAPER: Resolution of the Central Federation of Labor, of Troy, N. Y., relating to the immigration laws—to the Committee on Immigration and Naturalization.

By Mr. EMERICH: Letter of Chicago Mailers' Union, No. 2,

favoring eight-hour and anti-injunction bills-to the Committee

Also, resolution of Amalgamated Woodworkers' International

Local Union, No. 7, of Chicago, favoring repeal of desert-land law—to the Committee on the Public Lands.

Also, letter of S. Karpen & Bros., of Chicago, favoring untaxed wood alcohol for use in arts and manufactures—to the Commit-

tee on Ways and Means.

Also, resolution of Paint Grinders' Association of the United States, relative to increasing power of Interstate Commerce Commission—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Also, letter of New York and Chicago Road Association, favoring betterment of highways—to the Committee on Agriculture.

Also, letter of the International Union of Steam Engineers,

favoring eight-hour and anti-injunction bills-to the Committee

Also, letter and resolution of Illinois Manufacturers' Associa-

Also, letter and resolution of Illinois Manufacturers' Association, favoring establishment of naval headquarters for the purchase of supplies in Chicago—to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Also, resolution of Local Union No. 135, Amalgamated Meat Cutters and Butchers of North America, favoring repeal of desertland act—to the Committee on the Public Lands.

Also, resolution of the Commercial Telegraphers' Union of America, favoring passage of an eight-hour bill and anti-injunction law—to the Committee on Labor.

Also, letter, resolution, and report of the Grand Army of the

Also, letter, resolution, and report of the Grand Army of the

Republic, in favor of a service-pension law—to the Committee on

By Mr. FITZGERALD: Papers to accompany bill H. R. 9373, to increase pension of James Pollard—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. FLOOD: Papers to accompany bill for the relief of Balser H. Pullin—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. FOSTER of Vermont: Petition of Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Worthfield, Vt., against sale of liquor in Government buildings, etc.—to the Committee on Alcoholic Liganor Traffic. Liquor Traffic

By Mr. FULLER: Resolution of Hurlbut Post, No. 164, Grand Army of the Republic, Belvidere, Ill., in favor of a service-pension law—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. GARDNER of Massachusetts: Petition of vessel owners, fishermen, and others, of Gloucester and Swampscott, Mass., praying that Congress authorize payment of bounty on dogfish sufficient to insure their extermination—to the Committee on the Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

Also, resolution of the Coal Club of Boston, favoring arbitration treaties between United States and Great Britain—to the

Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. GOLDFOGLE: Petition of Outdoor Art League of California, for the preservation of the big trees of California—to the Committee on the Public Lands.

Also, resolution of New York Board of Trade and Transportation, against repeal of the national bankruptcy bill-to the Com-

mittee on the Judiciary.
Also, petition of F. C. Linde, Hamilton & Co., in favor of Otjen-Tawney anticoupon bill—to the Committee on Ways and Means.

Also, resolution of Medal of Honor Legion of New York City, favoring passage of bills H. R. 6477 and S. 972, relative to service pension—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. HEDGE: Petition of citizens of Green Bay Township, Iowa, relative to the improvement of upper Mississippi River—to

the Committee on Rivers and Harbors.

By Mr. HINSHAW: Papers to accompany bill H. R. 8755, granting an increase of pension to Eugene Schilling—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. HITT: Resolution of E. D. Kittoe Post, No. 502, Grand

Army of the Republic, Galena, Ill., in favor of a service-pension bill—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. HUGHES of New Jersey: Resolution of Fourth Immune Command. No. 15, Spanish War Veterans, of Washington, D. C., in favor of a museum of war as a memorial—to the Committee on Public Publings and Grounds.

D. C., in favor of a museum of war as a memorial—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. LANNING: Resolution of Aaron Wilkes Post, No. 23, Grand Army of the Republic, of Trenton, N. J., in favor of a service-pension bill—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. LILLEY: Papers to accompany bill granting an increase of pension to Susan E. Oliver—to the Committee on Invalid

By Mr. LINDSAY: Resolution of the Medal of Honor Legion of New York City, favoring placing on a service-pension roll soldiers who have received medal of honor from Congress—to the

Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, resolution of the Manufacturers' Association of New York, against repeal of the national bankruptcy act—to the Com-

mittee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. MOON of Tennessee: Papers to accompany claim of William E. Walker—to the Committee on War Claims.

Also, papers to accompany claim of Gincy Edwards—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. PATTERSON of Pennsylvania: Papers to accompany bill H. R. 3399, granting a pension to Rebecca De Wald—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, papers to accompany bill granting increase of pension to Cline Morris—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. RICHARDSON of Alabama: Papers to accompany House bill for the relief of the heirs of George Orville Ragland—

to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. RIDER: Resolution of the Manufacturers' Association of New York, against repeal of the national bankruptcy law—to

of New York, against repeal of the national bankruptcy law—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. SOUTHARD: Resolutions of Volunteer Post, No. 715, of Toledo; Ford Post, No. 14, of Toledo; Wiley Post, No. 46, of Bowling Green; Bronson Post, No. 85, of Jersey City; Forsyth Post, No. 15. of Toledo; Harry Caster Post, No. 56, of Haskins; Page Post, No. 471, of Syloania; Brint and McBride Post, No. 225, of Richfield Center, and Charles B. Mitchell Post, No. 84, of Maumee, Ohio, Grand Army of the Republic, in favor of a service-pension law—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. SPIGHT: Papers to accompany bill for the relief of Old School Presbyterian Church—to the Committee on War Claims.

Also, papers to accompany bill for the relief of D. W. Carpenter—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. STANLEY: Resolution of the Tobacco Board of Trade,

Hopkinsville, Ky., relative to amending the revenue laws—to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. STEPHENS of Texas: Petition of the St. Joseph's Roman Catholic Benefit Association, of Muenster, Tex., against passage of the Hepburn-Dolliver bills—to the Committee on the Indicator.

Judiciary.

By Mr. SULLIVAN of New York: Memorial of the Upper Mississippi River Improvement Association, relative to the improvement of the upper Mississippi River—to the Committee on Rivers and Harbors

By Mr. TRIMBLE: Papers to accompany claim of Irene E. Johnson, administratrix—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. WILLIAMS of Illinois: Resolution of Alexander Post,

No. 89, Grand Army of the Republic, of Flora, Ill., in favor of the passage of a service-pension law-to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, papers to accompany bill granting a pension to Susan Wycough—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.
Also, papers to accompany bill to correct military record of Robert Moore—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, papers to accompany bill granting a pension to Lola Qualls—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, papers to accompany bill granting increase of pension to Henry H. Hallman—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, papers to accompany bill granting increase of pension to William Clark—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, resolution of Tom Smith Post, No. 345, Grand Army of the Republic, Metropolis, Ill., in favor of a service-pension bill—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

Saturday, January 23, 1904.

The House met at 12 o'clock m.
Prayer by the Chaplain, Rev. HENRY N. COUDEN, D. D.
The Journal of yesterday was read.
The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Journal will stand as approved.

CORRECTION OF THE RECORD.

Mr. WILLIAMS of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, the other day in the debate on the civil-service question I, amongst others, made the mistake of thinking that Mr. Machen, while he had not entered under the civil service, had been covered in under it later and had been kept in office by it. After a careful examination I find that I made a mistake, and I therefore wish to correct it and have risen to a greatly representative for the receiver. and have risen to a question of personal privilege for that reason,

as I do not want the matter to stand in the Record as I left it.

I ask to append to this statement Mr. Machen's official record.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection? The Chair hears none.

The record referred to by Mr. WILLIAMS of Mississippi is as

Name, August W. Machen; legal residence, Ohio; position, Superintendent Free Delivery; salary, \$3,000; Department, Post-Office; office, First Assistant Postmaster-General; date classified or made competitive, May 6, 1836; date of original appointment, August 22, 1893. Change in status, April 29, 1901, General Superintendent Free Delivery, \$3,500, to take effect July 1, 1901; May 27, 1903, removed. Exc. to nonexc.

HORSES AND CARRIAGES IN THE NAVY DEPARTMENT.

Mr. FOSS. Mr. Speaker, I desire to submit a privileged report. The Committee on Naval Affairs, to which was referred resolution 149, have directed me to report the same back with amendments and with a recommendation that the resolution as amended be adopted.

The resolution was read, as follows:

Resolved. That the Secretary of the Navy be, and he is hereby, requested to furnish to this House, at his earliest convenience, a statement showing the number of horses, carriages, and automobiles maintained at Government expense for the use of officials in his Department, together with a statement showing the cost of said horses, carriages, antomobiles, and harness, and the amount of wages paid to men acting as coachmen, footnen, and chauffeurs, whether carried on the rolls as such or in some other classification; also the list of officials entitled to the use of said carriages.

The amendments reported by the committee were to insert after the word "harness," in line 7, the words "the date of purchase, from what fund the payment was made;" and to insert at the end of the resolution the words "and the date when such service was inaugurated."

The amendments were read and agreed to.

The resolution as amended was adopted.

On motion of Mr. Foss, a motion to reconsider the vote by which the resolution was adopted was laid on the table.